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C-STAT for static analysis

The following pages contain information about:

- Introduction to C-STAT and static analysis
- Using C-STAT
- Reference information on the graphical environment
- Descriptions of compiler extensions for C-STAT
- Descriptions of C-STAT options
- Description of the C-STAT command line tools

Introduction to C-STAT and static analysis

Learn more about:

- Briefly about C-STAT and the coding rules, page 5
- The checks and their documentation, page 6
- The scope of the C-STAT checks, page 8
- Various ways to use C-STAT, page 8

BRIEFLY ABOUT C-STAT AND THE CODING RULES

C-STAT is a static analysis tool that tries to find deviations from certain coding rules by performing one or more checks for the rule. The checks are grouped in packages. The various packages are:

- STDCHECKS
  Contains checks for rules that come from CWE, as well as checks specific to C-STAT.

- CERT
  Contains checks for CERT. In addition, some CERT rules and recommendations can be verified by checks for other standard rules, see Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks, page 1225.

- SECURITY
  Contains checks for rules from SANS Top25, OWASP and CWE.
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- MISRA C:2004
  Contains checks for selected rules of the MISRA C:2004 standard. This standard identifies unsafe code constructs in the C89 standard. These checks can also be used for identifying unsafe C89 constructs in C18 or C11 code.

- MISRA C++:2008
  Contains checks for selected rules of the MISRA C++:2008 standard. This standard identifies unsafe code constructs in the 1998 C++ standard. These checks can also be used for identifying unsafe 1998 C++ constructs in C++14 code.

- MISRA C:2012
  Contains checks for selected rules of the MISRA C:2012 standard. This standard identifies unsafe code constructs in the C99 and C89 standards. These checks can also be used for identifying unsafe C89 and C99 constructs in C18 or C11 code.

Each MISRA C rule is either mandatory, required, or advisory. The checks for the mandatory and required rules are by default on, whereas the checks for the advisory rules are by default off. Each rule specifies an unsafe code construct.

Note: Some checks compute summary information per file that can be used when analyzing other files. How this information is used depends on the order in which the files are analyzed. This means that the exact number of messages can differ, for example when running C-STAT in the IDE as opposed to using the command line tools.

Note: The analysis of a specific file is terminated after a time limit that you can specify. When the time limit has been reached, the analysis will continue with the next file.

THE CHECKS AND THEIR DOCUMENTATION

A check is a programmatic way of identifying deviations from a rule. Each check has a:

- **Tag**, a unique identifier which is used for referring to the check. For example, ARR-inv-index-pos.
- **Default activation**, which can be one of Yes or No.
- **Synopsis**, for example, Array access may be out of bounds, depending on which path is executed.
- **Severity level**, which can be Low, Medium, or High.

In addition, the documentation for each check provides information about any vulnerabilities it identifies and a description of the problems that can be caused by code that fails the check, such as memory leaks, undefined or unpredictable behavior, or program crashes. Usually, there are also two source code examples: one that illustrates code that fails the check and generates a message, and one that illustrates code that passes the check. For each check, there is also information about which rules in the different coding standards that the check corresponds to.
A grid shows the severity of the problems that code that does not conform to the rule (non-conformant code) can cause, and the level of certainty that the message reflects a true error in the source code. The grid is divided into three zones—indicated with pale colors—that reflect the risks based on the severity and certainty. The actual risk for a specific check is indicated with a grid cell in strong color.

Here follow some example grids.

**Example 1—high severity and high certainty = high risk**

This grid shows a check with high severity and high certainty, which means that it very likely indicates a true bug. While all messages should be investigated, those with a high certainty are more likely to identify real problems in your source code.

**Example 2—medium severity and high certainty = medium risk**

This grid shows a check with medium severity and high certainty. A medium severity indicates that, for the code that fails the check, there is a medium risk of causing serious errors in your application. A high certainty means that it is very likely that the message reflects a true positive.
Example 3—low severity and medium certainty = low risk

This grid shows a check with low severity and medium certainty, which indicates that the code probably is safe to use. That the check fails can be due to an offense in a macro, or programmers writing safe, but unusual code.

THE SCOPE OF THE C-STAT CHECKS

The checks in C-STAT can be divided into checks performed on the source code and checks performed at link time.

Source code checks search for deviations from a coding rule in the C or C++ source code in the user project and any included user headers (included with #include "xxx"). System headers (included with #include <xxx>) and assembler source code are not searched.

Link time checks search for deviations from coding rules that specify how global and static objects (variables and functions) can be used. The search might be incomplete because the checks search the C or C++ source code for global and static objects and then C-STAT analyzes the code to see whether any deviations have occurred. If the user project contains assembler source code or third-party libraries, the search might yield false positives.

Also note that some MISRA C 2012 checks—MISRAC2012-Rule-5.2, MISRAC2012-Rule-5.3, MISRAC2012-Rule-5.4, MISRAC2012-Rule-5.5, and MISRAC2012-Rule-20.4—all have one variant for C89 and one for C99. The C89 variants are only used if the source code was compiled in C89 mode, otherwise the C99 variants are used.

Note: When you use C-STAT, the compiler options for each C/C++ source file must be the same as in the user project, otherwise the analysis might give incorrect results.

VARIOUS WAYS TO USE C-STAT

C-STAT is an integral part of the IAR Embedded Workbench IDE:

- You specify which packages of checks to perform in the Select C-STAT Checks dialog box.
- You perform a static analysis by choosing the appropriate commands from the Project>C-STAT Static Analysis menu.
You can view the result of the performed analysis in the C-STAT Messages window.

You can create a report in HTML format by choosing the appropriate commands from the Project>C-STAT Static Analysis menu.

C-STAT can also be used from the command line, which is useful if you build your project using a make file:

- ichecks.exe—use the ichecks tool to generate a manifest file that contains only the checks that you want to perform.
- icstat.exe—use the icstat tool to perform a C-STAT static analysis on a project, with the manifest file as input.
- ireport.exe—use the ireport tool to generate an HTML report of a previously performed analysis.

Finally, you can use C-STAT together with the IAR Command Line Build Utility (iarbuild.exe) for regression testing.

For more information about how to use C-STAT, see Using C-STAT, page 9.

---

**Using C-STAT**

What do you want to do?

- Getting started analyzing using C-STAT, page 9
- Generating an analysis report, page 12
- Performing regression testing, page 13
- Performing an analysis from the command line, page 14

**GETTING STARTED ANALYZING USING C-STAT**

1 Before you perform a static analysis, make sure your project builds without errors. For information about how to build a project, see the IDE Project Management and Building Guide.

2 Choose Project>Options and select the Static Analysis category. On the C-STAT Static Analysis page, click Select C-STAT Checks.
3 In the Select C-STAT Checks dialog box, select the packages of checks you want to use. For example STDCHECKS.

![Select C-STAT Checks dialog box](image)

4 For each package, select groups of checks or individual checks:

![Select C-STAT Checks dialog box](image)

For information about a specific check, select it and press F1 to open the context-sensitive online help system.

When you have made your settings, click OK and then OK again.

5 To perform an analysis, make sure the project is active and execute one of these steps:

- To analyze your project, select the project in the Workspace window and choose Project>C-STAT Static Analysis>Analyze Project.
- To analyze one or more individual files, select the file(s) in the Workspace window and choose Project>C-STAT Static Analysis>Analyze File(s).
Alternatively, use the corresponding commands on the context menu in the Workspace window instead.

**Note:** The next time you perform an analysis and if you have made changes to your source code since the previous analysis, you should first clean the database to avoid problems due to mixing old and new data in the database. Choose **Project>C-STAT Static Analysis>Clear Analysis Results**.

6 The result of the performed analysis is listed in the **C-STAT Messages** window.

For information about a specific check, select it and press F1 to open the context-sensitive online help system.

For reference information, see **C-STAT Messages window**, page 17.

**Note:** If there are any problems when analyzing, the **Build Log** window displays detailed information.

7 Double-click a C-STAT message to view the corresponding source code in the editor window:

Point at a message with the mouse pointer to get tooltip information about which check that caused the message.
8 Correct the error and click the next message in the C-STAT Messages window. Continue until all messages have been processed.

Note: C-STAT has a predefined macro, __CSTAT__, that you can use to explicitly include or exclude specific parts of source code from the analysis, see __CSTAT__, page 25. There are also specific C-STAT pragma directives that suppress one or more checks for selected source lines, see Descriptions of compiler extensions for C-STAT, page 22.

GENERATING AN ANALYSIS REPORT

1 Perform your analysis, see Getting started analyzing using C-STAT, page 9.

2 To generate your report:
   - In the IDE, choose Project>C-STAT Static Analysis and choose either Generate HTML Summary or Generate Full HTML Report depending on which type of report you want to produce.
     The report will be based on the latest performed analysis. If you have modified your source code files after the latest analysis, you might want to update the analysis before you generate the report.
   - On the command line, specify your ireport options, for example like this:
     `ireport --db cstat.db --project project1 --output tutor_report.html`
     This will generate a summary report named `tutor_report.html` from the database `cstat.db` with `project1` as an identifying name for the project. The report can be viewed in a web browser or in the IAR Embedded Workbench IDE.
This is an example of a summary report:

![C-STAT analysis summary]

**PERFORMING REGRESSION TESTING**

Regression testing is a method for testing the whole or parts of your source code after you have modified it, to verify that no errors have been added as a result of the modifications.

After you have analyzed your project using C-STAT and possibly corrected some errors, it can be useful to perform regression testing using the IAR Command Line Build Utility (`iarbuild.exe`) located in the `common\bin` directory.

To clean the database from old errors, use a command line like this:

```
 iarbuild.exe MyProject.ewp -cstat_clean Debug
```

To analyze all files in the project, use a command line like this:

```
 iarbuild.exe MyProject.ewp -cstat_analyze Debug
```
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2 C-STAT generates output information, for example:

Analyzing configuration: MyProject - Debug
Updating build tree...

Starting C-STAT analysis

Analysis completed. 164 message(s)

3 Compare the number of messages reported with the number of messages produced in previous builds. If the number has increased, new errors have been introduced as a result of earlier development.

4 In the IDE, open your project, perform the analysis, and locate the cause of the new message.

Alternatively, you can create an HTML report from the command line, for example like this:

ireport.exe --db cstat.db --project MyProject.ewp --full --output MyProject.html

This creates a report in MyProject.html, see also Generating an analysis report, page 12.

5 Typically, you might want to repeat this process during nightly builds to continuously control that existing code is not affected by new code.

For more information about the IAR Command Line Build Utility, see the IDE Project Management and Building Guide.

PERFORMING AN ANALYSIS FROM THE COMMAND LINE

To use C-STAT to perform an analysis from the command line, you need:

- ichecks.exe—use the ichecks tool to generate a manifest file that contains only the checks that you want to perform.
- icstat.exe—use the icstat tool to perform a C-STAT static analysis on a project, with the manifest file as input.

For information about the checks, see C-STAT checks, page 37.

The input to icstat consists of:

- The source files for your application, with the compiler command lines.
- The linker command line for your application.
- A file that lists the enabled checks that will be performed (or more specifically, the tags for the checks). You create this file using the ichecks tool.
- A file where the deviations from the performed checks will be stored in a database.
For an example of how to perform a static analysis using C-STAT, follow these steps based on two example source code files cstat1.c and cstat2.c. You can find these files in the directory target\src.

To perform a static analysis using C-STAT:

1. Select which checks you want to perform by creating a manifest file using ichecks, for example like this:
   
   ```bash
   ichecks --default stdchecks --output checks.ch
   ```

   The checks.ch file lists all the checks that you have selected, in this case, all checks that are enabled by default for the stdchecks package (--default). The file will look like this:

   ```
   ARR-inv-index-pos
   ARR-inv-index-ptr-pos
   ...
   ```

   To modify the file on check-level, you can manually add or delete checks from the file.

2. Make sure that your project builds without errors.

3. To analyze your application, specify your icstat commands. For example like this:

   ```bash
   icstat --db a.db --checks checks.ch analyze -- iccxxxxx compiler_opts cstat1.c
   icstat --db a.db --checks checks.ch analyze -- iccxxxxx compiler_opts cstat2.c
   icstat --db a.db --checks checks.ch link_analyze -- ilinkxxxxx linker_opts cstat1.o cstat2.o
   ```

   **Note:** iccxxxxx is the invocation of the compiler and ilinkxxxxx is the invocation of the ILINK Linker. xxxxx should be replaced with an identifier that is unique to your IAR Embedded Workbench product package. Refer to the compiler documentation that was delivered with the product, for what to replace xxxxx with.

   If your product package comes with the IAR XLINK Linker instead of the IAR ILINK Linker, ilinkxxxxx should be xlink and the filename extension o of the object file should be rxx, where xx is a numeric part that identifies your product package. Refer to the IDE Project Management and Building Guide for what to replace xx with.

   In these example command lines, --db specifies a file where the resulting database is stored, and the --checks option specifies the checks.ch manifest file. The commands will be executed serially.

   Alternatively, if you have many source files to be analyzed and want to speed up the analysis, you can use the commands command which means that you collect all your
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commands in a specific file in combination with --parallel. In this case, icstat will perform the analysis in parallel instead. The command line would then look like this:

```
icstat --db a.db --checks checks.ch commands commands.txt
--parallel 4
```

commands.txt contains:

```
analyze -- iccxxxxx compiler_opts cstat1.c
analyze -- iccxxxxx compiler_opts cstat2.c
link_analyze -- ilinkxxxxx linker_opts cstat1.o cstat2.o
```

See the note above regarding ilinkxxxxx and the filename extensions.

**Note:** The next time you perform an analysis, you should first clean the database by using the clear command to avoid problems due to mixing old and new data in the database.

4 After running icstat on the cstat1.c file, these messages are listed on the console.

```
"cstat1.c",15 Severity-High[PTR-null-fun-pos]: Function call 'f1()' is immediately dereferenced, without checking for NULL. CERT-EXP34-C,CWE-476
  15: ! - possible_null
  15: > - Entering into f1
  7: ! - Return NULL
```

```
"cstat1.c",18 Severity-Low[RED-unused-assign]: Value assigned to variable 'ch' is never used. CERT-MSC13-C,CWE-563
```

Note that the first message is followed by trace information, which describes the required execution path to trigger the deviation from the rule, including information about assumptions made on conditional statements.

5 This message is listed for the cstat2.c file:

```
"cstat2.c",16 Severity-High[ARR-inv-index]: Array 'arr' 1st subscript 20 is out of bounds [0,9]. CERT-ARR33-C,CWE-119,CWE-120,CWE-121,CWE-124,CWE-126,CWE-127,CWE-129,MISRAC++2008-5-0-16,MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1
```

Edit the source files to remove the problem and repeat the analysis.

**Note:** C-STAT has a built-in preprocessor symbol, __CSTAT__, that you can use to explicitly include or exclude specific parts of source code from the analysis. There are also specific C-STAT pragma directives that suppress one or more checks for selected source lines, see Descriptions of compiler extensions for C-STAT, page 22.
Reference information on the graphical environment

Read more about:

- C-STAT Messages window, page 17
- C-STAT Static Analysis options, page 19
- Extra Options, page 20
- Select C-STAT Checks dialog box, page 21

C-STAT Messages window

The C-STAT Messages window is automatically displayed when you perform a C-STAT analysis.

![C-STAT Messages window](image)

This window displays the result of a performed C-STAT static analysis.

See also Getting started analyzing using C-STAT, page 9.

Toolbar menu

Severity

Selects which severity level of the messages to be displayed. Choose between All (shows all messages), Medium/High (shows messages of Medium and High severity), or High (shows only messages of High severity).

Filter

Filters the messages so that only messages that contain the text you specify will be listed (the filter is case-sensitive). This is useful if you want to search the message information.

Messages

Lists the number of C-STAT messages after a performed analysis.
Progress bar
Shows the progress of the ongoing analysis.

Display area
The display area shows messages per file and linkage. The messages can be expanded and collapsed. For each file, the number of messages and the number of C-STAT pragma messages are displayed.

Message
Lists the C-STAT message for the check. For some checks, there is trace information for an execution path that was used when identifying the non-conformant code construct.

Check
The name of the check.

Severity
The severity of the check, **High**, **Medium**, or **Low**.

File
The name of the file where the non-conformant code construct is found.

Line
The line number of the non-conformant code construct.

Context menu
This context menu is available:

- **Collapse All**
- **Expand All**
- **Copy Check Name**
- **Save to File...**

These commands are available:

- **Collapse All**
  Collapses all file nodes in the C-STAT Messages window.

- **Expand All**
  Expands all file nodes in the C-STAT Messages window.

- **Copy Check Name**
  Copies the name of the selected check. Use the copied name in the C-STAT Settings dialog box to search for a specific check.
Save to File
Saves the result of a performed analysis to a text file.

**C-STAT Static Analysis options**

To open the C-STAT Static Analysis page, choose *Project>Options* and select the *Static Analysis* category.

Use this page to specify options for performing a static analysis using C-STAT.

**Select C-STAT Checks**
Opens the *Select C-STAT Checks* dialog box where you can select which checks to perform.

**Import Settings**
Opens a standard open dialog box to use for locating and opening an XML file that contains the checks to perform. The content of the file will be imported and can be modified in the *Select C-STAT Checks* dialog box.

**Export Settings**
Opens a standard save dialog box for locating and saving an XML file with your currently selected checks.

**Enable parallel analysis**
Enables C-STAT to perform analysis in parallel.

**Enable module timeout**
Specify the number of seconds after which the analysis terminates.
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**Processes**

Specify the number of processes to be used by C-STAT for performing an analysis.

**Enable false-positives analysis**

Attempts to remove false messages, commonly referred to as *false positives*.

**Limit messages per check and file**

Specify the maximum number of messages to be produced per check and file.

**Extra Options**

The **Extra Options** page provides you with a command line interface to the tool.

![Extra Options](image)

**Use command line options**

Specify additional command line arguments to be passed to the tool (not supported by the GUI).
Select C-STAT Checks dialog box

The Select C-STAT Checks dialog box is available from the C-STAT Static Analysis options page.

Use this dialog box to specify the checks to include during a C-STAT static analysis. You can select packages or groups of checks, or individual checks to perform by selecting the corresponding check boxes.

For reference information about individual checks, select a check and press F1 to open the context-sensitive help.

- **Search**
  Type a text string to be used as a filter.

- **Name**
  Lists all packages, groups, and checks. Select the ones you want to perform.

- **Severity**
  Shows the severity for each check, which can be **High**, **Medium**, or **Low**.

- **Used**
  Shows how many of the checks in the package or group that will performed during a C-STAT static analysis (only if the package or group actually is selected). The values can be **All**, **None**, or the number of selected checks out of the total amount.

- **Synopsis**
  Gives a short description of the packages, groups, and checks.
Descriptions of compiler extensions for C-STAT

Read more about:
- C-STAT directives in comments, page 22
- cstat_disable, page 23 (pragma directive)
- cstat_enable, page 24 (pragma directive)
- cstat_restore, page 24 (pragma directive)
- cstat_suppress, page 24 (pragma directive)
- __CST_AT__, page 25 (predefined macro)

C-STAT directives in comments

Syntax

//cstat op [op op]...
/*cstat op [op op]*/

Parameters

Op is one of:

- -tag
- +tag
- !tag
- #tag
- tag
tag to be replaced with the tag for a specific check, for example MISRAC2012-Rule-4.2.

Description

Use the comment characters (and the operators) to disable or enable C-STAT messages for specific checks.

Note that you can use the wildcard (*) character to match multiple tags and thus disable multiple checks.
C-STAT for static analysis

Example

```c
//cstat -MISRAC2004* -MISRAC2012-Rule-4.2
// ... // Messages about MISRA C 2012 rule 4.2 and the whole MISRA C
// 2004 package suppressed here
// ...
//cstat +MISRAC2004* +MISRAC2012-Rule-4.2
// ... // Messages about MISRA C 2012 rule 4.2 and the whole MISRA C
// 2004 package unsuppressed here
// ...

//cstat !MISRAC2004-6.3
int a;

or

int a; //cstat !MISRAC2004-6.3
```

will disable the message given by MISRA C 2004 6.3 regarding the `int a;` statement.

```c
//cstat #ARR-inv-index
void f(...)
{
...// Messages about ARR-inv-index suppressed here
}
```

cstat_disable

**Syntax**

```c
#pragma cstat_disable="tag","tag"...
```

**Parameters**

`tag`  
The tag of a C-STAT check.

**Description**

Use this pragma directive to suppress the specified C-STAT check until the end of the compilation unit or until a matching `#pragma cstat_restore` directive is encountered.

**Example**

```c
#pragma cstat_disable = "MISRAC2012-Rule-9.2",
"MISRAC2012-Rule-10.3"
// ... // Messages about rules 9.2 and 10.3 suppressed here
// ...
```

**See also**

cstat_restore, page 24
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**cstat_enable**

**Syntax**

```
#pragma cstat_enable="tag",","tag"..."
```

**Parameters**

- `tag` - The tag of a C-STAT check.

**Description**

Use this pragma directive to unsuppress the specified C-STAT check until the end of the compilation unit, or until a matching `#pragma cstat_restore` directive is encountered.

**Example**

```
#pragma cstat_enable = "MISRAC2012-Rule-10.3"
// ...
// Messages about rule 10.3 not suppressed here
// ...
```

**See also**

`cstat_restore`, page 24

**cstat_restore**

**Syntax**

```
#pragma cstat_restore="tag",","tag"..."
```

**Parameters**

- `tag` - The tag of a C-STAT check.

**Description**

Use this pragma directive to undo the effects of the most recent `cstat_enable` or `cstat_disable` directive for the same check(s).

**Example**

```
#pragma cstat_restore = "MISRAC2012-Rule-10.3"
// ...
// Messages about rule 10.3 suppressed here
// ...
```

**cstat_suppress**

**Syntax**

```
#pragma cstat_suppress="tag",","tag"..."
```

**Parameters**

- `tag` - The tag of a C-STAT check.

**Description**

Use this pragma directive to suppress the specified C-STAT check until the end of the immediately following line.
__CSTAT__

Description
A predefined macro that is defined when the code is processed for analysis. You can use it to explicitly include or exclude specific parts of source code from the analysis.

Example
```c
#ifndef __CSTAT__
/* Code here is not visible to the analysis */
#endif
```

Descriptions of C-STAT options

The following is detailed reference information about each command line option available for icstat, ichecks and ireport:

- `--all`, page 26
- `--check`, page 26
- `--checks`, page 27
- `--db`, page 27
- `--default`, page 28
- `--deterministic`, page 28
- `--exclude`, page 28
- `--fpe`, page 29
- `--full`, page 30
- `--group`, page 30
- `--output`, page 30
- `--package`, page 31
- `--parallel`, page 31
- `--project`, page 32
- `--timeout`, page 32
- `--timeout_check`, page 33
Rules for specifying a filename or directory as parameters

These rules apply for options that take a filename or directory as parameters:

- Options that take a filename as a parameter can optionally take a file path. The path can be relative or absolute. For example, to generate a check manifest to the file `cstat_checks.txt` in the directory `..\checks`:
  ```
ichecks --package misrac2012 --output ..\checks\cstat_checks.txt
  ```
- `/` can be used instead of `\` as the directory delimiter.
- By specifying `-`, input files and output files can be redirected to the standard input and output stream, respectively. For example:
  ```
ichecks --package misrac2012 --output -
  ```
  For options where it is not relevant to direct files to standard input or output, `-` is not supported.

--all

**Syntax**
--all

**For use with**
ichecks

**Description**
Causes ichecks to generate all checks (including non-default checks) to an output file. When you use the output file with icstat, icstat will perform all checks.

To set related options, choose:
Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Select Checks

--check

**Syntax**
--check tag[,...]

**Parameters**
tag
The tag of a specific check that you want to perform, for example `ARR-inv-index-pos`. You can specify one or several tags.

**For use with**
ichecks

**Description**
Causes icheck to generate the specified check to an output file. When you use the output file with icstat, icstat will perform the specified check.
To set related options, choose:
Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Select Checks

--checks

**Syntax**

--checks filename

**Parameters**

filename The name of the manifest file that contains the checks that icstat will perform. See also Rules for specifying a filename or directory as parameters.

**For use with**
icstat

**Description**

Use this option to specify the file that contains the checks to perform. You create the file using ichecks, see Performing an analysis from the command line, page 14.

This option is not available in the IDE.

--db

**Syntax**

--db filename

**Parameters**

filename icstat: The name of the file where the analysis result will be stored as a database.

ireport: The name of the database file that contains the result of a previously performed analysis.

See also Rules for specifying a filename or directory as parameters.

**For use with**
icstat, ireport

**Description**

Use this option to specify the name of the database.

This option is mandatory.

This option is not available in the IDE.
Descriptions of C-STAT options

--default
Syntax
--default package[,...]
Parameters
package

For use with
ichecks
Description
Causes ichecks to generate all default checks for the specified package to an output file. When you use the output file with icstat, icstat will perform the default checks.

To set related options, choose:
Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Select Checks

--deterministic
Syntax
--deterministic
For use with
icstat
Description
Use this option to ensure a deterministic amount of messages when running icstat with multiple threads, so that the amount of messages stays approximately the same from one analysis run to another. This option puts a limit on the option --parallel, which makes the analysis process slower.

See also
--parallel, page 31
To set this option in the IDE, use Project>Options>Static Analysis>Extra Options

--exclude
Syntax
--exclude {filename|directory}
Parameters
filename
The name of the source file to exclude. See also Rules for specifying a filename or directory as parameters.
For use with icstat

Description
Use this option to exclude one or more source files (not, for example, header files) from
the source file analysis (the command analyze); more specifically, files whose part of
their absolute path completely matches the string you specify. The --exclude option
cannot exclude files from the application linking analysis (the command
link_analyze). For more information on the analysis commands, see Summary of
icstat commands, page 34.

Example
--exclude library

Will for example, exclude E:\project\library\libxml.c, but will not exclude
E:\project\third_party_library\libxml.c or E:\project\library.c.

--exclude libxml*

Will for example, exclude E:\project\library\libxml-2.7.6.c\main.c and
E:\project\libxml.c, but will not exclude E:\project\api_libxml.c.

--exclude library\libxml

Will for example, exclude E:\project\library\libxml\main.c, but will not
exclude E:\project\libxml-2.7.6.c\main.c.

To set this option in the IDE, use Project>Options>Static Analysis>Extra Options

--fpe

Syntax  --fpe

For use with icstat

Description
Use this option to make icstat attempt to remove false messages, commonly referred
to as false positives.

Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Enable false-positive
analysis
Descriptions of C-STAT options

--full
Syntax --full
For use with ireport
Description Use this option to make ireport generate a full report in HTML, which means that all checks (suppressed and non-suppressed) are included at the end of the report.
To set this option, choose:
Project>C-STAT Static Analysis>Generate Full HTML Report

--group
Syntax --group group[,...]
Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>group</td>
<td>The group of checks that you want to perform, for example ARR for array bounds or ATH for arithmetic errors. For information about available groups, see the Options dialog box in the IAR Embedded Workbench IDE. You can specify one or several groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For use with ichecks
Description Causes ichecks to generate the specified group of checks to an output file. When you use the output file with icstat, icstat will perform the specified group of checks.
To set related options, choose:
Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Select Checks

--output
Syntax --output filename
Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>filename</td>
<td>The name of the output file. See also Rules for specifying a filename or directory as parameters.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For use with ichecks, ireport
Description

Use this option to explicitly specify a different output filename.

ichecks: By default, the generated output produced by ichecks is located in a file with the name cstat_sel_checks.txt.

ireport: By default, the generated output produced by ireport is located in a file with the name project_name.html.

For ichecks: This option is not available in the IDE.

For ireport: Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Generate Full HTML Report

or

Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Generate HTML Summary

--package

Syntax

--package package[,...]

Parameters

package

The package of checks that you want to perform. Choose between: stdchecks, misrac2004, misrac2012, or misrac++2008. You can specify one or several packages.

For use with ichecks

Description

Causes ichecks to generate the specified package of checks to an output file. When you use the output file with icstat, icstat will perform the specified package of checks.

To set related options, choose:

Project>Options>Static Analysis>C-STAT Static Analysis>Select Checks

--parallel

Syntax

--parallel threads

Parameters

threads

The maximum number of threads to use during parallel analysis.

For use with icstat
Descriptions of C-STAT options

**Description**

Use this option to specify the maximum number of threads to use during parallel analysis.

**Note:** This option might cause subsequently performed analyses to produce more or fewer messages. This is because the summary information for the source files might change depending on the order in which they are analyzed. To make the amount of messages stay approximately the same from one analysis run to another, use the option --deterministic, see --deterministic, page 28.

**Project>Options>Static Analysis>Enable parallel analysis**

**--project**

**Syntax**

--project name

**Parameters**

name A name to identify the project in the report.

**For use with**

ireport

**Description**

Use this option to specify a name for the project in the report. This option is mandatory.

This option is not available in the IDE.

**--timeout**

**Syntax**

--timeout seconds

**Parameters**

seconds The number of seconds before the analysis of a module terminates. Setting this to 0 disables the time limit entirely.

**For use with**

icstat

**Description**

By default, the analysis of a module times out and terminates after ten minutes (600 seconds). Use this option to specify a different length of time that the analysis is allowed to take before it terminates.
Project>Options>Static Analysis>Module timeout

--timeout_check

Syntax

--timeout_check seconds

Parameters

seconds The number of seconds that each check is allowed to take before the analysis for that check terminates. Setting this to 0 disables the time limit entirely.

For use with icstat

Description By default, the analysis of a check times out and terminates after two minutes (120 seconds). Use this option to specify a different length of time that each check is allowed to take before the analysis for that check terminates. This limit includes the various internal operations performed during the analysis.

To set this option in the IDE, use Project>Options>Static Analysis>Extra Options

Description of the C-STAT command line tools

Read more about:

- The icstat tool, page 33
- The ichecks tool, page 35
- The ireport tool, page 36

See the compiler documentation for information about generic syntax rules for options, exit statuses, etc.

THE ICSTAT TOOL

Use the icstat tool to perform a C-STAT static analysis on a project, with a previously produced manifest file as input. You produce the manifest file using the ichecks tool.

Invocation syntax for icstat

The invocation syntax for icstat:

icstat parameters [-- command_line]
The different parts are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syntax parts</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>commands</td>
<td>Commands that define an operation to be performed, see Summary of icstat commands, page 34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>options</td>
<td>Command line options that define actions to be performed, see Summary of icstat options, page 34. These options can be placed anywhere on the command line, but must come before --.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>command_line</td>
<td>Compiler or linker command line for the analyze and link_analyze commands.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: icstat syntax

For an example, see Performing an analysis from the command line, page 14.

Summary of icstat commands

This table summarizes the icstat commands:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Icstat commands</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>analyze</td>
<td>Analyzes a source file. The command line must end with a compiler invocation (--).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>link_analyze</td>
<td>Analyzes an application. The command line must end with a linker invocation (--).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>load</td>
<td>Outputs the analysis messages from the database file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clear</td>
<td>Clears the database file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commands cmd</td>
<td>Executes the commands in the cmd file.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: icstat commands summary

For an example, see Performing an analysis from the command line, page 14.

When running icstat with the commands analyze or link_analyze, identified deviations will be listed on stdout on the format:

Severity[check-tag]: message. Alias tags.

Summary of icstat options

This table summarizes the icstat options:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command line option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--checks</td>
<td>Specifies the manifest file, which contains the checks to perform.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--db</td>
<td>Contains analysis information (mandatory).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: icstat options summary
**C-STAT for static analysis**

Use the `ichecks` tool to generate a manifest file that contains only the checks that you want to perform. Use this file as input to the `icstat` tool.

### Invocation syntax for ichecks

The invocation syntax for `ichecks`:

```
ichecks options
```

The default name of the output file is `cstat_sel_checks.txt`.

For an example, see *Performing an analysis from the command line*, page 14.

### Summary of ichecks options

This table summarizes the `ichecks` options:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command line option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>--deterministic</code></td>
<td>Ensures a deterministic amount of messages when running <code>icstat</code> with multiple threads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>--exclude</code></td>
<td>Excludes file(s) from the analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>--fpe</code></td>
<td>Makes <code>icstat</code> attempt to remove false messages (false positives).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>--parallel</code></td>
<td>Specifies the number maximum number of threads to use during parallel analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>--timeout</code></td>
<td>Specifies the number of seconds that the analysis of a module is allowed to take before it terminates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>--timeout_check</code></td>
<td>Specifies the number of seconds that the each check is allowed to take before the analysis terminates.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: icstat options summary (Continued)

For more information, see *Descriptions of C-STAT options*, page 25.
THE IREPORT TOOL

Use the ireport tool to produce an HTML report of a previous analysis performed by C-STAT. The report presents statistics both in numbers and as tables. Two different types of reports that can be produced:

- A summary that includes information about, for example, project-wide enabled checks, the total amount of messages, suppressed checks (if any), messages for each check, etc.
- A full report that contains the same information as the summary, but also information about all suppressed and non-suppressed messages at the end of the report. The tables can be collapsed and expanded, and the columns can be sorted.

Invocation syntax for ireport

The invocation syntax for ireport:

```
ireport options
```

For an example, see *Performing an analysis from the command line*, page 14.

Summary of ireport options

This table summarizes the ireport options:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command line option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--package</td>
<td>Generates all checks for a specific package to an output file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--db</td>
<td>Specifies the database that the report will be based on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--full</td>
<td>Produces a full report, including information about suppressed and non-suppressed checks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--output</td>
<td>Specifies the name of the produced report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--project</td>
<td>Specifies a name for the project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information, see *Descriptions of C-STAT options*, page 25.
C-STAT checks

- Summary of checks
- Descriptions of checks

Summary of checks

This table summarizes the C-STAT checks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARR-inv-index-pos</td>
<td>An array access might be out of bounds, depending on which path is executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARR-inv-index-ptr-pos</td>
<td>A pointer to an array is potentially used outside the array bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARR-inv-index-ptr</td>
<td>A pointer to an array is used outside the array bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARR-inv-index</td>
<td>An array access is out of bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARR-neg-index</td>
<td>An array is accessed with a negative subscript value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARR-uninit-index</td>
<td>An array is indexed with an uninitialized variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-cmp-float</td>
<td>Floating point comparisons using == or !=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-cmp-unsign-neg</td>
<td>An unsigned value is compared to see whether it is negative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-cmp-unsign-pos</td>
<td>An unsigned value is compared to see whether it is greater than or equal to 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-assign</td>
<td>A variable is assigned the value 0, then used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-cmp-aft</td>
<td>After a successful comparison with 0, a variable is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-cmp-bef</td>
<td>A variable used as a divisor is afterwards compared with 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-interval</td>
<td>Interval analysis has found a value that is 0 and used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-pos</td>
<td>Interval analysis has found an expression that might be 0 and is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-unchk-global</td>
<td>A global variable is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-unchk-local</td>
<td>A local variable is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0-unchk-param</td>
<td>A parameter is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-div-0</td>
<td>An expression that results in 0 is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-inc-bool (C++ only)</td>
<td>Deprecated operation on bool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-malloc-overrun</td>
<td>The size of memory passed to malloc to allocate overflows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-neg-check-nonneg</td>
<td>A variable is checked for a non-negative value after being used, instead of before.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-neg-check-pos</td>
<td>A variable is checked for a positive value after being used, instead of before.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-new-overrun (C++ only)</td>
<td>An arithmetic overflow is caused by an allocation using new[].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-overflow-cast</td>
<td>An expression is cast to a different type, resulting in an overflow or underflow of its value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-overflow</td>
<td>An expression is implicitly converted to a narrower type, resulting in an overflow or underflow of its value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-shift-bounds</td>
<td>Out of range shifts were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-shift-neg</td>
<td>The left-hand side of a right shift operation might be a negative value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATH-sizeof-by-sizeof</td>
<td>Multiplying <code>sizeof</code> by <code>sizeof</code>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAST-old-style (C++ only)</td>
<td>Old style casts (other than void casts) are used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATCH-object-slicing (C++ only)</td>
<td>Exception objects are caught by value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATCH-xtor-bad-member (C++ only)</td>
<td>Exception handler in constructor or destructor accesses non-static member variable that might not exist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMA-overload (C++ only)</td>
<td>Overloaded comma operator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMENT-nested</td>
<td>Appearances of ‘/’ inside comments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONST-member-ret (C++ only)</td>
<td>A member function qualified as const returns a pointer member variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-alloc-ctor (C++ only)</td>
<td>A class member is deallocated in the class’ destructor, but not allocated in a constructor or assignment operator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-assign-op-ret (C++ only)</td>
<td>An assignment operator of a C++ class does not return a non-const reference to this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-assign-op-self (C++ only)</td>
<td>Assignment operator does not check for self-assignment before allocating member functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-assign-op (C++ only)</td>
<td>There is no assignment operator defined for a class whose destructor deallocates memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-copy-ctor (C++ only)</td>
<td>A class which uses dynamic memory allocation does not have a user-defined copy constructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-dealloc-dtor (C++ only)</td>
<td>A class member has memory allocated in a constructor or an assignment operator, that is not released in the destructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-dtor-throw (C++ only)</td>
<td>An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in a class destructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-dtor (C++ only)</td>
<td>A class which dynamically allocates memory in its copy control functions does not have a destructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-init-order (C++ only)</td>
<td>Data members are initialized with other data members that are in the same initialization list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-init-uninit (C++ only)</td>
<td>An initializer list reads the values of still uninitialized members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP-member-uninit (C++ only)</td>
<td>A member of a class is not initialized in one of the class constructors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU-ctor-call-virt (C++ only)</td>
<td>A virtual member function is called in a class constructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU-ctor-implicit (C++ only)</td>
<td>Constructors that are callable with a single argument of fundamental type are not declared explicit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU-delete-throw (C++ only)</td>
<td>An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in an overloaded delete or delete[] operator.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU-delete-void (C++ only)</td>
<td>A pointer to void is used in delete, causing the destructor not to be called.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU-dtor-call-virt (C++ only)</td>
<td>A virtual member function is called in a class destructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU-malloc-class (C++ only)</td>
<td>An allocation of a class instance with malloc() does not call a constructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU-nonvirt-dtor (C++ only)</td>
<td>A public non-virtual destructor is defined in a class with virtual methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU-return-ref-to-class-data (C++ only)</td>
<td>Member functions return non-const handles to members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECL-implicit-int</td>
<td>An object or function of the type int is declared or defined, but its type is not explicitly stated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFINE-hash-multiple</td>
<td>Multiple # or ## operators in a macro definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENUM-bounds</td>
<td>Conversions to enum that are out of range of the enumeration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-cond-assign</td>
<td>An assignment might be mistakenly used as the condition for an if, for, while, or do statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-dangling-else</td>
<td>An else branch might be connected to an unexpected if statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-loop-exit</td>
<td>An unconditional break, continue, return, or goto within a loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-main-ret-int</td>
<td>The return type of main() is not int.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-null-stmt</td>
<td>The body of an if, while, or for statement is a null statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP-stray-semicolon</td>
<td>Stray semicolons on the same line as other code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPR-const-overflow</td>
<td>A constant unsigned integer expression overflows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT-cmp-null</td>
<td>The address of a function is compared with NULL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT-literal</td>
<td>A function pointer that refers to a literal address is dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT-misuse</td>
<td>A function pointer is used in an invalid context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNC-implicit-decl</td>
<td>Functions are used without prototyping.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FUNC-unprototyped-all</td>
<td>Functions are declared with an empty () parameter list that does not form a valid prototype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNC-unprototyped-used</td>
<td>Arguments are passed to functions without a valid prototype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCLUDE-c-file</td>
<td>A .c file includes one or more .c files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT-use-signed-as-unsigned-pos</td>
<td>A negative signed integer is implicitly cast to an unsigned integer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT-use-signed-as-unsigned</td>
<td>A negative signed integer is implicitly cast to an unsigned integer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITR-end-cmp-aft (C++ only)</td>
<td>An iterator is used, then compared with end()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITR-end-cmp-bef (C++ only)</td>
<td>An iterator is compared with end() or rend(), then dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITR-invalidated (C++ only)</td>
<td>An iterator assigned to point into a container is used or dereferenced even though it might be invalidated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITR-mismatch-alg (C++ only)</td>
<td>A pair of iterators passed to an STL algorithm function point to different containers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITR-store (C++ only)</td>
<td>A container’s begin() or end() iterator is stored and subsequently used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITR-uninit (C++ only)</td>
<td>An iterator is dereferenced or incremented before it is assigned to point into a container.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-bsearch-overrun-pos</td>
<td>Arguments passed to bsearch might cause it to overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-bsearch-overrun</td>
<td>Arguments passed to bsearch cause it to overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-fn-unsafe</td>
<td>A potentially unsafe library function is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-fread-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to fread might cause a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-fread-overrun</td>
<td>A call to fread causes a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-memchr-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to memchr might cause a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-memchr-overrun</td>
<td>A call to memchr causes a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-memcpy-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to memcpy might cause the memory to overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-memcpy-overrun</td>
<td>A call to memcpy or memmove causes the memory to overrun.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIB-memset-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to memset might cause a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-memset-overrun</td>
<td>A call to memset causes a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-putenv</td>
<td>getenv used to set environment variable values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-qsort-overrun-pos</td>
<td>Arguments passed to qsort might cause it to overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-qsort-overrun</td>
<td>Arguments passed to qsort cause it to overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-return-const</td>
<td>The return value of a const standard library function is not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-return-error</td>
<td>The return value for a library function that might return an error value is not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-return-leak</td>
<td>The return values from one or more library functions were not stored, returned, or passed as a parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-return-neg</td>
<td>A variable assigned using a library function that can return -1 as an error value is subsequently used where the value must be non-negative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-return-null</td>
<td>A pointer is assigned using a library function that can return NULL as an error value. This pointer is subsequently dereferenced without checking its value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-sprintf-overrun</td>
<td>A call to sprintf causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-std-sort-overrun-pos</td>
<td>Using std::sort might cause buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-std-sort-overrun</td>
<td>A buffer overrun is caused by use of std::sort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-std-sort-overrun (C++ only)</td>
<td><strong>Using std::sort might cause buffer overrun.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strcat-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to strcat might cause destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strcat-overrun</td>
<td>A call to strcat causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strcpy-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to strcpy might cause destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strcpy-overrun</td>
<td>A call to strcpy causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strncat-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to <code>strncat</code> might cause a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strncat-overrun</td>
<td>A call to <code>strncat</code> causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strncmp-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to <code>strcmp</code> might cause a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strncmp-overrun</td>
<td>A buffer overrun is caused by a call to <code>strcmp</code>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strncpy-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to <code>strncpy</code> might cause a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB-strncpy-overrun</td>
<td>A call to <code>strncpy</code> causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOGIC-overload (C++ only)</td>
<td>Overloaded <code>&amp;</code> and `</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-delete-array-op (C++ only)</td>
<td>A memory location allocated with <code>new</code> is deleted with <code>delete[]</code>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-delete-op (C++ only)</td>
<td>A memory location allocated with <code>new []</code> is deleted with <code>delete</code> or <code>free</code>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-double-free-alias</td>
<td>Freeing a memory location more than once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-double-free-some</td>
<td>A memory location is freed more than once on some paths but not on others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-double-free</td>
<td>A memory location is freed more than once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-field</td>
<td>A struct or a class field is possibly freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-fptr</td>
<td>A function pointer is deallocated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-no-alloc-struct</td>
<td>A struct field is deallocated without first having been allocated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-no-alloc</td>
<td>A pointer is freed without having been allocated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-no-use</td>
<td>Memory is allocated and then freed without being used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-op</td>
<td>Memory allocated with <code>malloc</code> deallocated using <code>delete</code>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-struct-field</td>
<td>A struct's field is deallocated, but is not dynamically allocated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-variable-alias</td>
<td>A stack address might be freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-free-variable</td>
<td>A stack address might be freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-leak-alias</td>
<td>Incorrect deallocation causes memory leak.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEM-leak</td>
<td>Incorrect deallocation causes memory leak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-malloc-arith</td>
<td>An assignment contains both a malloc() and pointer arithmetic on the right-hand side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-malloc-diff-type</td>
<td>An allocation call tries to allocate memory based on a sizeof operator, but the destination type of the call is of a different type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-malloc-sizeof-ptr</td>
<td>malloc(sizeof(p)), where p is a pointer type, is assigned to a non-pointer variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-malloc-sizeof</td>
<td>Allocating memory with malloc without using sizeof.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-malloc-strlen</td>
<td>Dangerous arithmetic with strlen in argument to malloc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-realloc-diff-type</td>
<td>The type of the pointer that stores the result of realloc does not match the type of the first argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-return-free</td>
<td>A function deallocates memory, then returns a pointer to that memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-return-no-assign</td>
<td>A function that allocates memory's return value is not stored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-stack-global-field</td>
<td>A stack address is stored in the field of a global struct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-stack-global</td>
<td>A stack address is stored in a global pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-stack-param-ref (C++ only)</td>
<td>Stack address is stored via reference parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-stack-param</td>
<td>A stack address is stored outside a function via a parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-stack-pos</td>
<td>Might return address on the stack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-stack-ref (C++ only)</td>
<td>A stack object is returned from a function as a reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-stack</td>
<td>Might return address on the stack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-use-free-all</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM-use-free-some</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-arith-field</td>
<td>Direct access to a field of a struct, using an offset from the address of the struct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-arith-stack</td>
<td>Pointer arithmetic applied to a pointer that references a stack address</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTR-arith-var</td>
<td>Invalid pointer arithmetic with an automatic variable that is neither an array nor a pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-cmp-str-lit</td>
<td>A variable is tested for equality with a string literal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-assign-fun-pos</td>
<td>Possible NULL pointer dereferenced by a function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-assign-pos</td>
<td>A pointer is assigned a value that might be NULL, and then dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-assign</td>
<td>A pointer is assigned the value NULL, then dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-cmp-aft</td>
<td>A pointer is dereferenced, then compared with NULL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-cmp-bef-fun</td>
<td>A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced by a function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-cmp-bef</td>
<td>A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-fun-pos</td>
<td>A possible NULL pointer is returned from a function, and immediately dereferenced without checking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-null-literal-pos</td>
<td>A literal pointer expression (like NULL) is dereferenced by a function call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-overload (C++ only)</td>
<td>An &amp; operator is overloaded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-singleton-arith-pos</td>
<td>Pointer arithmetic might be performed on a pointer that points to a single object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-singleton-arith</td>
<td>Pointer arithmetic is performed on a pointer that points to a single object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-unchk-param-some</td>
<td>A pointer is dereferenced after being determined not to be NULL on some paths, but not checked on others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-unchk-param</td>
<td>A pointer parameter is not compared to NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-uninit-pos</td>
<td>Possible dereference of an uninitialized or NULL pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-uninit</td>
<td>Dereference of an uninitialized or NULL pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-alloc-zero-bytes</td>
<td>Checks that an allocation does not allocate zero bytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RED-case-reach</td>
<td>A case statement within a switch statement cannot be reached.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-cmp-always</td>
<td>A comparison using $\equiv$, $&lt;$, $\leq$, or $\geq$ is always true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-cmp-never</td>
<td>A comparison using $\equiv$, $&lt;$, $\leq$, or $\geq$ is always false.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-cond-always</td>
<td>The condition in an if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will always be true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-cond-const-assign</td>
<td>A constant assignment in a conditional expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-cond-const-expr</td>
<td>A conditional expression with a constant value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-cond-const</td>
<td>A constant value is used as the condition for a loop or if statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-cond-never</td>
<td>The condition in if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will never be true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-dead</td>
<td>A part of the application is never executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-expr</td>
<td>Some expressions, such as $x &amp; x$ and $x \mid x$, are redundant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-func-no-effect</td>
<td>A function is declared that has no return type and creates no side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-local-hides-global</td>
<td>The definition of a local variable hides a global definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-local-hides-local</td>
<td>The definition of a local variable hides a previous local definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-local-hides-member (C++ only)</td>
<td>The definition of a local variable hides a member of the class.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-local-hides-param</td>
<td>A variable declaration hides a parameter of the function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-no-effect</td>
<td>A statement potentially contains no side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-self-assign</td>
<td>In a C++ class member function, a variable is assigned to itself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-unused-assign</td>
<td>A variable is assigned a non-trivial value that is never used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-unused-param</td>
<td>A function parameter is declared but not used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RED-unused-return-val</td>
<td>There are unused function return values (other than overloaded operators).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-unused-val</td>
<td>A variable is assigned a value that is never used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED-unused-var-all</td>
<td>A variable is neither read nor written for any execution path.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE-deref-file</td>
<td>A pointer to a FILE object is dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE-double-close</td>
<td>A file resource is closed multiple times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE-file-no-close-all</td>
<td>A file pointer is never closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE-file-pos-neg</td>
<td>A file handler might be negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE-file-use-after-close</td>
<td>A file resource is used after it has been closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE-implicit-deref-file</td>
<td>A file pointer is implicitly dereferenced by a library function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE-write-ronly-file</td>
<td>A file opened as read-only is written to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZEOF-side-effect</td>
<td>sizeof expressions containing side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-order</td>
<td>Expressions that depend on order of evaluation were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-uninit-arr-all</td>
<td>Reads from local buffers are not preceded by writes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-uninit-struct-field-heap</td>
<td>A field of a dynamically allocated struct is read before it is initialized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-uninit-struct-field</td>
<td>A field of a local struct is read before it is initialized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-uninit-struct</td>
<td>A struct has one or more fields read before they are initialized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-uninit-var-all</td>
<td>A variable is read before it is assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-uninit-var-some</td>
<td>A variable is read before it is assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-volatile-reads</td>
<td>There are multiple read accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-volatile-writes</td>
<td>There are multiple write accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRUCT-signed-bit</td>
<td>There are signed single-bit fields (excluding anonymous fields).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
## Summary of checks

### Check

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWITCH-fall-through</td>
<td>There are non-empty switch cases not terminated by break and without 'fallthrough' comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROW-empty (C++ only)</td>
<td>Unsafe rethrow of exception.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROW-main (C++ only)</td>
<td>No default exception handler for try.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROW-null</td>
<td>Throw of NULL integer constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROW-ptr</td>
<td>Throw of exceptions by pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROW-static (C++ only)</td>
<td>Exceptions thrown without a handler in some call paths that lead to that point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROW-unhandled (C++ only)</td>
<td>There are calls to functions explicitly declared to throw an exception type that is not handled (or declared as thrown) by the caller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNION-overlap-assign</td>
<td>Assignments from one field of a union to another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNION-type-punning</td>
<td>Writing to a field of a union after reading from a different field, effectively re-interpreting the bit pattern with a different type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_a</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_b</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_c</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_d</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_e</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_f</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_g</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_h</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_i</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR30-C_J</td>
<td>Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR32-C</td>
<td>Ensure size arguments for variable length arrays are in a valid range.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR36-C_a</td>
<td>Do not subtract two pointers that do not refer to the same array.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR36-C_b</td>
<td>Do not compare two pointers that do not refer to the same array.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR37-C</td>
<td>Do not add or subtract an integer to a pointer to a non-array object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR38-C_a</td>
<td>Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR38-C_b</td>
<td>Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR38-C_c</td>
<td>Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR38-C_d</td>
<td>Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR38-C_e</td>
<td>Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR38-C_f</td>
<td>Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ARR39-C</td>
<td>Do not add or subtract a scaled integer to a pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL30-C_a</td>
<td>Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL30-C_b</td>
<td>Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL30-C_c</td>
<td>Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL30-C_d</td>
<td>Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL30-C_e</td>
<td>Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL31-C</td>
<td>Declare identifiers before using them.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL36-C</td>
<td>Do not declare an identifier with conflicting linkage classifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL37-C_a</td>
<td>Do not declare or define a reserved identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL37-C_b</td>
<td>Do not declare or define a reserved identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL37-C_c</td>
<td>Do not declare or define a reserved identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL38-C</td>
<td>Use the correct syntax when declaring a flexible array member.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL39-C</td>
<td>Avoid information leakage when passing a structure across a trust boundary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL40-C</td>
<td>Do not create incompatible declarations of the same function or object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-DCL41-C</td>
<td>Do not declare variables inside a switch statement before the first case label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ENV30-C</td>
<td>Do not modify the object referenced by the return value of certain functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ENV31-C</td>
<td>Do not rely on an environment pointer following an operation that may invalidate it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ENV32-C</td>
<td>All exit handlers must return normally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ENV33-C</td>
<td>Do not call system().</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ENV34-C</td>
<td>Do not store pointers returned by certain functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR30-C_a</td>
<td>Set errno to zero before calling a library function known to set errno.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR30-C_b</td>
<td>Check errno only after the function returns a value indicating failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR30-C_c</td>
<td>Check errno only after the function called is an errno-setting function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR30-C_d</td>
<td>Check return of errno setting functions for values indicating failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR32-C</td>
<td>Do not rely on indeterminate values of errno.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR33-C_a</td>
<td>Detect and handle standard library errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR33-C_b</td>
<td>Detect and handle standard library errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR33-C_c</td>
<td>Detect and handle standard library errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR33-C_d</td>
<td>Detect and handle standard library errors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR34-C_a</td>
<td>Detect errors when converting a string to a number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-ERR34-C_b</td>
<td>Detect errors when converting a string to a number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP19-C</td>
<td>No braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP30-C_a</td>
<td>Do not depend on the order of evaluation for side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP30-C_b</td>
<td>Do not depend on the order of evaluation for side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP32-C</td>
<td>Do not access a volatile object through a nonvolatile reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP33-C_a</td>
<td>Do not read uninitialized memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP33-C_b</td>
<td>Do not read uninitialized memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP33-C_c</td>
<td>Do not read uninitialized memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP33-C_d</td>
<td>Do not read uninitialized memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP33-C_e</td>
<td>Do not read uninitialized memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP33-C_f</td>
<td>Do not read uninitialized memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP34-C_a</td>
<td>Do not dereference null pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP34-C_b</td>
<td>Do not dereference null pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP34-C_c</td>
<td>Do not dereference null pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP34-C_d</td>
<td>Do not dereference null pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP34-C_e</td>
<td>Do not dereference null pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP34-C_f</td>
<td>Do not dereference null pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP34-C_g</td>
<td>Do not dereference null pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP35-C</td>
<td>Do not modify objects with temporary lifetime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP36-C_a</td>
<td>Do not cast pointers into more strictly aligned pointer types.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP36-C_b</td>
<td>Do not cast pointers into more strictly aligned pointer types.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP37-C_a</td>
<td>Call functions with the correct number and type of arguments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP37-C_b</td>
<td>Call functions with the correct number and type of arguments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP37-C_c</td>
<td>Call functions with the correct number and type of arguments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP39-C_a</td>
<td>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP39-C_b</td>
<td>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP39-C_c</td>
<td>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP39-C_d</td>
<td>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP39-C_e</td>
<td>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP40-C_a</td>
<td>Do not modify constant objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP40-C_b</td>
<td>Do not modify constant objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP42-C</td>
<td>Do not compare padding data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP43-C_a</td>
<td>Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP43-C_b</td>
<td>Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP43-C_c</td>
<td>Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP43-C_d</td>
<td>Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP44-C</td>
<td>Do not rely on side effects in operands to sizeof, _Alignof, or _Generic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP45-C</td>
<td>Do not perform assignments in selection statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP46-C</td>
<td>Do not use a bitwise operator with a Boolean-like operand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP47-C_a</td>
<td>Do not call va_arg with an argument of the incorrect type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-EXP47-C_b</td>
<td>Do not call va_arg with an argument of the incorrect type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FIO30-C</td>
<td>Exclude user input from format strings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI032-C</td>
<td>Do not perform operations on devices that are only appropriate for files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI034-C</td>
<td>Distinguish between characters read from a file and EOF or WEOF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI037-C</td>
<td>A string returned by fgets() and fgetws() might contain NULL characters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI038-C</td>
<td>A FILE object is copied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI039-C</td>
<td>Do not alternately input and output from a stream without an intervening flush or positioning call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI040-C</td>
<td>Reset strings on fgets() or fgetws() failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI041-C</td>
<td>Do not call getc(), putc(), getwc(), or putwc() with a stream argument that has side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI042-C_a</td>
<td>Close files when they are no longer needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI042-C_b</td>
<td>Close files when they are no longer needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI044-C</td>
<td>Only use values for fsetpos() that are returned from fgetpos().</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI045-C</td>
<td>Avoid TOCTOU race conditions while accessing files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI046-C_a</td>
<td>Do not access a closed file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI046-C_b</td>
<td>Do not access a closed file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI046-C_c</td>
<td>Do not access a closed file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI047-C_a</td>
<td>Use valid format strings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI047-C_b</td>
<td>Use valid format strings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FI047-C_c</td>
<td>Use valid format strings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FLP30-C_a</td>
<td>Do not use floating-point variables as loop counters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FLP30-C_b</td>
<td>Do not use floating-point variables as loop counters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FLP32-C_a</td>
<td>Prevent or detect domain and range errors in math functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FLP32-C_b</td>
<td>Prevent or detect domain and range errors in math functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FLP34-C</td>
<td>Ensure that floating-point conversions are within range of the new type.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
## Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FLP36-C</td>
<td>Preserve precision when converting integral values to floating-point type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-FLP37-C</td>
<td>Do not use object representations to compare floating-point values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT30-C_a</td>
<td>Ensure that unsigned integer operations do not wrap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT30-C_b</td>
<td>Ensure that unsigned integer operations do not wrap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT31-C_a</td>
<td>Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT31-C_b</td>
<td>Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT31-C_c</td>
<td>Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT32-C_a</td>
<td>Ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT32-C_b</td>
<td>Ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_a</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_b</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_c</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_d</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_e</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_f</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_g</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_h</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT33-C_i</td>
<td>Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT34-C_a</td>
<td>Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by greater than or equal to the number of bits that exist in the operand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT34-C_b</td>
<td>Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by greater than or equal to the number of bits that exist in the operand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT34-C_c</td>
<td>Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by greater than or equal to the number of bits that exist in the operand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT35-C</td>
<td>Use correct integer precisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-INT36-C</td>
<td>Converting a pointer to integer or integer to pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM30-C_a</td>
<td>Do not access freed memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM30-C_b</td>
<td>Do not access freed memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM30-C_c</td>
<td>Do not access freed memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM31-C</td>
<td>Free dynamically allocated memory when no longer needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM33-C_a</td>
<td>Allocate and copy structures containing a flexible array member dynamically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM33-C_b</td>
<td>Allocate and copy structures containing a flexible array member dynamically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM34-C_a</td>
<td>Only free memory allocated dynamically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM34-C_b</td>
<td>Only free memory allocated dynamically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM35-C_a</td>
<td>Allocate sufficient memory for an object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM35-C_b</td>
<td>Allocate sufficient memory for an object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM35-C_c</td>
<td>Allocate sufficient memory for an object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MEM36-C</td>
<td>Do not modify the alignment of objects by calling realloc().</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC30-C</td>
<td>Do not use the rand() function for generating pseudorandom numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC32-C</td>
<td>Properly seed pseudorandom number generators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC33-C</td>
<td>Do not pass invalid data to the asctime() function.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC37-C</td>
<td>Ensure that control never reaches the end of a non-void function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC38-C</td>
<td>Do not treat a predefined identifier as an object if it might only be implemented as a macro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC39-C</td>
<td>Do not call va_arg() on a va_list that has an indeterminate value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC40-C_a</td>
<td>Do not violate constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC40-C_b</td>
<td>Do not violate constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC40-C_c</td>
<td>Do not violate constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC40-C_d</td>
<td>Do not violate constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC40-C_e</td>
<td>Do not violate constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC41-C_a</td>
<td>Never hard code sensitive information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC41-C_b</td>
<td>Never hard code sensitive information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-MSC41-C_c</td>
<td>Never hard code sensitive information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-PRE31-C</td>
<td>Avoid side effects in arguments to unsafe macros.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-PRE32-C_a</td>
<td>Do not use preprocessor directives in invocations of function-like macros.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-PRE32-C_b</td>
<td>Do not use preprocessor directives in invocations of function-like macros.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-SIG30-C</td>
<td>Call only asynchronous-safe functions within signal handlers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-SIG31-C</td>
<td>Shared objects in a signal handler are accessed or modified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-SIG34-C</td>
<td>Do not call signal() from within interruptible signal handlers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-SIG35-C</td>
<td>Do not return from a computational exception signal handler.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR30-C</td>
<td>Do not attempt to modify string literals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_a</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_b</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_c</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_d</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_e</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_f</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_g</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR31-C_h</td>
<td>Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR32-C</td>
<td>Do not pass a non-null-terminated character sequence to a library function that expects a string.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR34-C</td>
<td>Cast characters to unsigned char before converting to larger integer sizes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERT-STR37-C</td>
<td>Arguments to character-handling functions must be representable as an unsigned char.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-memory-leak-alias</td>
<td>A memory leak is caused by incorrect deallocation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-memory-leak</td>
<td>A memory leak is caused by incorrect deallocation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-memset-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to memset might overrun the buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-memset-overrun</td>
<td>A call to memset overruns the buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-qsort-overrun-pos</td>
<td>Arguments passed to qsort might cause it to overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-qsort-overrun</td>
<td>Arguments passed to qsort cause it to overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-sprintf-overrun</td>
<td>A call to the sprintf function will overrun the target buffer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-std-sort-overrun-pos (C++ only)</td>
<td>Use of std::sort might cause a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-std-sort-overrun (C++ only)</td>
<td>A buffer overrun is caused by use of std::sort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strcat-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to the strcat function might overrun the target buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strcat-overrun</td>
<td>A call to the strcat function will overrun the target buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strcpy-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to the strcpy function might overrun the target buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strcpy-overrun</td>
<td>A call to the strcpy function will overrun the target buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strncat-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A buffer overrun might be caused by a call to strncat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strncat-overrun</td>
<td>A call to strncat causes a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strncmp-overrun-pos</td>
<td>A call to strncmp might cause a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strncmp-overrun</td>
<td>A buffer overrun is caused by a call to strncmp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strncpy-overrun-pos</td>
<td>The target buffer might be overrun by a call to strncpy function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-strncpy-overrun</td>
<td>A call to the strncpy function will overrun the target buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-alloc-size</td>
<td>A user is able to control the amount of memory used in an allocation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-copy-length</td>
<td>A tainted value is used as the size of the memory copied from one buffer to another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-copy</td>
<td>User input is copied into a buffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-index</td>
<td>An array is accessed with an index derived from user input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-offset</td>
<td>A user-controlled variable is used as an offset to a pointer without proper bounds checking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-all</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed, on all execution paths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-some</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed, on some execution paths.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEC-DIV-0-compare-after</td>
<td>After a successful comparison with 0, a variable is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-DIV-0-compare-before</td>
<td>A variable is first used as a divisor, then compared with 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-DIV-0-tainted</td>
<td>User input is used as a divisor without validation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-FILEOP-open-no-close</td>
<td>All file pointers obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions must be explicitly released.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-FILEOP-path-traversal</td>
<td>User input is used as a file path, or used to derive a file path.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-FILEOP-use-after-close</td>
<td>A file resource is used after it has been closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-INJECTION-sql</td>
<td>User input is improperly used in an SQL statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-INJECTION-xpath</td>
<td>User input is improperly used as an XPath expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-LOOP-tainted-bound</td>
<td>A user-controlled value is used as part of a loop condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-NULL-assignment-fun-pos</td>
<td>A pointer that might have been assigned the value NULL is dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-NULL-assignment</td>
<td>A pointer is assigned the value NULL, then dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-NULL-cmp-aft</td>
<td>A pointer is dereferenced, then compared with NULL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-NULL-cmp-bef-fun</td>
<td>A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced by a function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-NULL-cmp-bef</td>
<td>A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-NULL-literal-pos</td>
<td>A literal pointer expression (e.g. NULL) is dereferenced by a function call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-STRING-format-string</td>
<td>User input is used as a format string.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC-STRING-hard-coded-credential</td>
<td>The application hard codes a username or password to connect to an external component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.1</td>
<td>Code was found that does not conform to the ISO/IEC 9899:1990 standard.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_a</td>
<td>There are read accesses from local buffers that are not preceded by write accesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_b</td>
<td>On all execution paths, one or more fields are read from a struct before they are initialized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_c</td>
<td>An expression resulting in 0 is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_d</td>
<td>A variable was found that is assigned the value 0, and then used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_e</td>
<td>A variable is used as a divisor after a successful comparison with 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_f</td>
<td>A variable used as a divisor is subsequently compared with 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_g</td>
<td>A value that is determined using interval analysis to be 0 is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_h</td>
<td>An expression that might be 0 is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_i</td>
<td>A global variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-1.2_j</td>
<td>A local variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-2.1</td>
<td>Inline assembler statements were found that are not encapsulated in functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-2.2</td>
<td>Uses of // comments were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-2.3</td>
<td>The character sequence /* was found inside comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-2.4</td>
<td>Code sections in comments were found, where the comment ends in ; , { , } characters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-5.1</td>
<td>Identifiers were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters (defines, structs, unions, fields, enums, and variables).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-5.2</td>
<td>An identifier name was found that is not distinct in the first 31 characters from other names in an outer scope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-5.3</td>
<td>A typedef declaration was found with a name already used for a previously declared typedef. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-5.4</td>
<td>A class, struct, union, or enum declaration was found that clashes with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a previous declaration. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-5.5</td>
<td>An identifier is used that might clash with another static identifier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-5.6</td>
<td>Identifier reuse in different namespaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-5.7</td>
<td>An identifier in a variable, enumeration, struct, #define, or union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>definition is reused. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-6.1</td>
<td>Arithmetic is performed on objects of type plain char, without an</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>explicit signed or unsigned qualifier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-6.2</td>
<td>A signed or unsigned char is used on character data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-6.3</td>
<td>One or more of the basic types char, int, short, long, double, and float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are used without a typedef.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-6.4</td>
<td>Bitfields of plain int type were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-6.5</td>
<td>Signed bitfields consisting of a single bit (excluding anonymous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fields) were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-7.1</td>
<td>Uses of octal integer constants were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.1</td>
<td>Functions were found that are used despite not having a valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>prototype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.2</td>
<td>An implicit int was found in a declaration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.3</td>
<td>A declaration and definition for a function were found that use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>different type qualifiers. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.5_a</td>
<td>A global variable is declared in a header file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.5_b</td>
<td>One or more non-inlined functions are defined in header files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.6</td>
<td>A function declaration was found at block scope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.7</td>
<td>A global object was found that is only referenced from a single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>function. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.8_a</td>
<td>Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.8_b</td>
<td>Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.9</td>
<td>Multiple definitions or no definition were found for an external object or function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.10</td>
<td>An externally linked object or function was found referenced in only one translation unit. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-8.12</td>
<td>External arrays are declared without their size being stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-9.1_a</td>
<td>A variable is read before it is assigned a value, on all execution paths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-9.1_b</td>
<td>On some execution paths, a variable is read before it is assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-9.1_c</td>
<td>An uninitialized or NULL pointer that is dereferenced was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-9.2</td>
<td>A non-zero array initialization was found that does not exactly match the structure of the array declaration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-9.3</td>
<td>Partially initialized enum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.1_a</td>
<td>An expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a narrower or differently signed underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.1_b</td>
<td>A complex expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.1_c</td>
<td>A non-constant expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a function argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.1_d</td>
<td>A non-constant expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a return expression.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.2_a</td>
<td>An expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a narrower underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.2_b</td>
<td>An expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a narrower underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.2_c</td>
<td>A non-constant expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a function argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.2_d</td>
<td>A non-constant expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a return expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.3</td>
<td>A complex expression of integer type was found that is cast to a wider or differently signed underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.4</td>
<td>A complex expression of floating type was found that is cast to a wider or different underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.5</td>
<td>Detected a bitwise operation on unsigned char or unsigned short, that are not immediately cast to this type to ensure consistent truncation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-10.6</td>
<td>Constants of unsigned type were found that do not have a U suffix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-11.1</td>
<td>Conversions were found between a pointer to a function and a type other than an integral type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-11.3</td>
<td>A cast between a pointer type and an integral type was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-11.4</td>
<td>A pointer to object type was found that is cast to a pointer to different object type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-11.5</td>
<td>Casts were found that that remove any const or volatile qualification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.1</td>
<td>Expressions were found without parentheses, making the operator precedence implicit instead of explicit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.2_a</td>
<td>Expressions were found that depend on the order of evaluation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.2_b</td>
<td>More than one read access with volatile-qualified type was found within one sequence point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.2_c</td>
<td>More than one modification access with volatile-qualified type was found within one sequence point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.3</td>
<td>Sizeof expressions were found that contain side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.4</td>
<td>Right-hand operands of &amp;&amp; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.5</td>
<td>The operands of a logical &amp;&amp; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.6_a</td>
<td>Operands of logical operators (&amp;&amp;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.6_b</td>
<td>Uses of arithmetic operators on Boolean operands were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.7</td>
<td>Applications of bitwise operators to signed operands were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.8</td>
<td>Shifts were found where the right-hand operand might be negative, or too large.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.9</td>
<td>Uses of unary minus on unsigned expressions were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.10</td>
<td>Uses of the comma operator were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.11</td>
<td>Found a constant unsigned integer expression that overflows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.12_a</td>
<td>Found a read access to a field of a union following a write access to a different field, which effectively re-interprets the bit pattern with a different type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.12_b</td>
<td>An expression was found that provides access to the bit representation of a floating-point variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.13</td>
<td>Uses of the increment (++) and decrement (--) operators were found mixed with other operators in an expression.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
### C-STAT checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.1</td>
<td>Assignment operators were found in expressions that yield a Boolean value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.2_a</td>
<td>Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in do ... while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.2_b</td>
<td>Non-boolean termination conditions were found in for loops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.2_c</td>
<td>Non-Boolean conditions were found in if statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.2_d</td>
<td>Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.2_e</td>
<td>Non-Boolean operands to the conditional ( ? : ) operator were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.3</td>
<td>Floating-point comparisons using == or != were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.4</td>
<td>Floating-point values were found in the controlling expression of a for statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.5</td>
<td>A for loop counter variable is not initialized in the for loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.6</td>
<td>A for loop counter variable was found that is modified in the body of the loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.7_a</td>
<td>A comparison using ==, &lt;, &lt;=, &gt;, or &gt;= was found that always evaluates to true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.7_b</td>
<td>A comparison using ==, &lt;, &lt;=, &gt;, or &gt;= was found that always evaluates to false.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.1</td>
<td>A part of the application is not executed on any of the execution paths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.2</td>
<td>A statement was found that potentially contains no side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.3</td>
<td>There are stray semicolons on the same line as other code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.4</td>
<td>Uses of the goto statement were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.5</td>
<td>Uses of the continue statement were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.6</td>
<td>Multiple termination points were found in a loop.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.7</td>
<td>More than one point of exit was found in a function, or an exit point before the end of the function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.8_a</td>
<td>There are missing braces in one or more do ... while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.8_b</td>
<td>There are missing braces in one or more for statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.8_c</td>
<td>There are missing braces in one or more switch statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.8_d</td>
<td>There are missing braces in one or more while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.9</td>
<td>There are missing braces in one or more if, else, or else if statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.10</td>
<td>One or more if ... else if constructs were found that are not terminated with an else clause.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-15.0</td>
<td>Switch statements were found that do not conform to the MISRA C switch syntax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-15.1</td>
<td>Switch labels were found in nested blocks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-15.2</td>
<td>Non-empty switch cases were found that are not terminated by a break statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-15.3</td>
<td>Switch statements were found without a default clause, or with a default clause that is not the final clause.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-15.4</td>
<td>A switch expression was found that represents a value that is effectively Boolean.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-15.5</td>
<td>Switch statements without case clauses were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.1</td>
<td>Functions that are defined using ellipsis (...) notation were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.2_a</td>
<td>Functions were found that call themselves directly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.2_b</td>
<td>Functions were found that call themselves indirectly. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.3</td>
<td>Function prototypes were found that do not give all parameters a name.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.4</td>
<td>The parameter names between the function declaration and definition does not match. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.5</td>
<td>Functions were found that are declared with an empty () parameter list that does not form a valid prototype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.7</td>
<td>A function was found that does not modify one of its parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.8</td>
<td>For some execution paths, no return statement is executed in a function with a non-void return type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.9</td>
<td>One or more function addresses are taken without an explicit &amp;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.10</td>
<td>A return value for a library function that might return an error value is not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.1_a</td>
<td>A direct access to a field of a struct was found, that uses an offset from the address of the struct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.1_b</td>
<td>Detected pointer arithmetic applied to a pointer that references a stack address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.1_c</td>
<td>Detected invalid pointer arithmetic with an automatic variable that is neither an array nor a pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.2</td>
<td>A subtraction was found between pointers that address elements of different arrays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.3</td>
<td>A relational operator was found applied to an object of pointer type that does not point into the same object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.4_a</td>
<td>Pointer arithmetic that is not array indexing was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.4_b</td>
<td>Array indexing was detected applied to an object defined as a pointer type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.5</td>
<td>One or more declarations of objects were found that contain more than two levels of pointer indirection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.6_a</td>
<td>Detected the return of a stack address.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.6_b</td>
<td>Detected a stack address stored in a global pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.6_c</td>
<td>Detected a stack address stored in the field of a global struct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-17.6_d</td>
<td>Detected a stack address stored outside a function via a parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-18.1</td>
<td>Structs and unions were found that are used without being defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-18.2</td>
<td>Assignments from one field of a union to another were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-18.4</td>
<td>Unions were detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.1</td>
<td>#include directives were found that are not first in the source file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.2</td>
<td>There are illegal characters in header file names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.4</td>
<td>A macro definition was found that is not permitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.5</td>
<td>A #define or #undef was found inside a block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.6</td>
<td>#undef directives were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.7</td>
<td>Function-like macros were detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.10</td>
<td>A macro parameter was not enclosed in parentheses or used as the operand of # or ##.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.12</td>
<td>Multiple # or ## preprocessor operators were found in a macro definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.13</td>
<td>Uses were found of the # and ## operators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-19.15</td>
<td>Header files were found without #include guards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.1</td>
<td>Detected a #define or #undef of a reserved identifier in the standard library.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.2</td>
<td>One or more library functions are being overridden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_a</td>
<td>A parameter value (\leq 0) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_b</td>
<td>A parameter value (&lt;0) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### C-STAT checks

#### Table 6: Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_c</td>
<td>A parameter value (=0) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_d</td>
<td>A parameter value (&gt;1) might cause domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_e</td>
<td>A parameter value (&gt;=1) might cause domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_f</td>
<td>A parameter value (&lt;-1) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_g</td>
<td>A parameter value (&lt;=-1) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_h</td>
<td>A parameter value (&gt;255) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.3_i</td>
<td>A parameter value (min) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.4</td>
<td>Detected use of malloc, calloc, realloc, or free.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.5</td>
<td>Detected use of the error indicator errno.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.6</td>
<td>Detected use of the built-in function offsetof.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.7</td>
<td>Detected use of setjmp.h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.8</td>
<td>Use of signal.h was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.9</td>
<td>Use of stdio.h was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.10</td>
<td>Use of the functions atof, atoi, atol, or atoll was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.11</td>
<td>Use of the functions abort, exit, getenv, or system was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.12</td>
<td>Use of the time.h functions was detected: asctime, clock, ctime, difftime, gmtime, localtime, mktime, strftime, or time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.3</td>
<td>Inline assembler statements were found that are not encapsulated in functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.4</td>
<td>Code sections in comments were found where the comment ends with a '}', '}', or '}' character.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.5</td>
<td>Identifiers in the same namespace, with overlapping visibility, should be typographically unambiguous.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.6_a</td>
<td>The basic types char, int, short, long, double, and float are used without a typedef.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.6_b</td>
<td>Typedefs of basic types were found with names that do not indicate the size or signedness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.7_a</td>
<td>Returned error information should be tested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.7_b</td>
<td>Returned error information should be tested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.7_c</td>
<td>Returned error information should be tested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.8</td>
<td>The implementation of a structure is unnecessarily exposed to a translation unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.9</td>
<td>Function-like macros were detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.10</td>
<td>Header files were found without #include guards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_a</td>
<td>A parameter value (&lt;=0) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_b</td>
<td>A parameter value (&lt;0) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_c</td>
<td>A parameter value (==0) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_d</td>
<td>A parameter value (&gt;1) might cause domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_e</td>
<td>A parameter value (&gt;=1) might cause domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_f</td>
<td>A parameter value (&lt;-1) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_g</td>
<td>A parameter value (&lt;=-1) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_h</td>
<td>A parameter value (&gt;255) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_i</td>
<td>A parameter value (min) might cause a domain or range error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.12</td>
<td>Dynamic memory allocation found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_b</td>
<td>Incorrect deallocation causes memory leak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_c</td>
<td>A file pointer is never closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_d</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_e</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_f</td>
<td>A file resource is used after it has been closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_g</td>
<td>A pointer is freed without having been allocated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_h</td>
<td>A struct field is deallocated without first having been allocated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_a</td>
<td>An expression resulting in 0 is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_b</td>
<td>A variable was found that is assigned the value 0, and then used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_c</td>
<td>A variable is used as a divisor after a successful comparison with 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_d</td>
<td>A variable used as a divisor is subsequently compared with 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_e</td>
<td>A value that is determined using interval analysis to be 0 is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_f</td>
<td>An expression that might be 0 is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_g</td>
<td>A global variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_h</td>
<td>A local variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_i</td>
<td>Expressions found that depend on order of evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_j</td>
<td>A variable is read before it is assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_k</td>
<td>A variable is read before it is assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_m</td>
<td>A function pointer is used in an invalid context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_n</td>
<td>The left-hand side of a right shift operation might be a negative value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_o</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_p</td>
<td>A pointer is used after it has been freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_q</td>
<td>Might return an address on the stack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_r</td>
<td>A stack address is stored in a global pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s</td>
<td>A stack address is stored outside a function via a parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_t</td>
<td>A call to memcpy or memmove causes the memory to overrun.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### Check Synopsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_u</td>
<td>A call to memset causes a buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_v</td>
<td>A call to strcpy causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_w</td>
<td>A call to strcat causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_a</td>
<td>A case statement within a switch statement cannot be reached.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_b</td>
<td>A part of the application is never executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_a</td>
<td>A statement potentially contains no side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_b</td>
<td>A field in a struct is assigned a non-trivial value that is never used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_c</td>
<td>A variable is assigned a value that is never used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.3</td>
<td>Unused type declaration. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.4</td>
<td>Unused tag declarations were found. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.5</td>
<td>An unused macro declaration was found. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.6</td>
<td>A function was found that contains an unused label declaration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.7</td>
<td>A function parameter is declared but not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-3.1</td>
<td>The character sequences /* and // were found within a comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-3.2</td>
<td>Line-splicing was found in // comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.1</td>
<td>An external identifier was found that is not unique for the first 31 characters, but still not identical to another identifier. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.2_c89</td>
<td>Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from other names in the same scope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.2_c99</td>
<td>Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from other names in the same scope.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.3_c89</td>
<td>Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from other names in an outer scope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.3_c99</td>
<td>Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from other names in an outer scope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.4_c89</td>
<td>Macro names were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from their macro parameters or other macro names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.4_c99</td>
<td>Macro names were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from their macro parameters or other macro names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.5_c89</td>
<td>Non-macro identifiers were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from macro names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.5_c99</td>
<td>Non-macro identifiers were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from macro names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.6</td>
<td>A typedef with this name has already been declared. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.7</td>
<td>A class, struct, union, or enum declaration clashes with a previous declaration. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.8</td>
<td>One or more external identifier names were found that are not unique. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-5.9</td>
<td>An internal identifier name was found that is not unique. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-6.1</td>
<td>Bitfields of plain int type were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-6.2</td>
<td>Signed single-bit bitfields (excluding anonymous fields) were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-7.1</td>
<td>Octal integer constants are used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-7.2</td>
<td>There are unsigned integer constants without a \texttt{u} suffix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-7.3</td>
<td>The lower case character \texttt{l} was found used as a suffix on numeric constants.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### Check Synopsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-7.4_a</td>
<td>A string literal was found assigned to a variable that is not declared as constant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-7.4_b</td>
<td>A string literal was found that is modified via the array subscript operator [].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.1</td>
<td>An object or function of the type int is declared or defined, but its type is not explicitly stated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2_a</td>
<td>There are functions declared with an empty () parameter list that does not form a valid prototype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2_b</td>
<td>Function prototypes were found with unnamed parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.3</td>
<td>Multiple declarations of an object or function were found that use different names and type qualifiers. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.4</td>
<td>An extern definition is missing a compatible declaration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.5_a</td>
<td>Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.5_b</td>
<td>Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.6</td>
<td>Multiple definitions or no definition were found for an external object or function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.7</td>
<td>An externally linked object or function was found referenced in only one translation unit. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.9_a</td>
<td>A global object was found that is only referenced from a single function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.9_b</td>
<td>A global object was found that is only referenced from a single function. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.10</td>
<td>Inline functions were found that are not declared as static.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.11</td>
<td>One or more external arrays are declared without their size being stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.12</td>
<td>A duplicated implicit enumeration constant was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.13</td>
<td>A pointer was found that is not const-qualified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.14</td>
<td>The restrict type qualifier was found used in function parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_a</td>
<td>A possible dereference of an uninitialized or NULL pointer was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_b</td>
<td>Read accesses from local buffers were found that are not preceded by writes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_c</td>
<td>On all execution paths, there is a struct that has one or more fields read before they are initialized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_d</td>
<td>A field of a local struct is read before it is initialized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_e</td>
<td>On all execution paths, there is a variable that is read before it is assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_f</td>
<td>A variable was found that might read before it is assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.2</td>
<td>An initializer for an aggregate or union was found that is not enclosed in braces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.3</td>
<td>Arrays were found that are partially initialized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.4</td>
<td>An object field was found that is initialized more than once. The last initialization will overwrite previous value(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.5_a</td>
<td>Arrays, initialized with designated initializers but with no fixed length, were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-9.5_b</td>
<td>A flexible array member was found that is initialized with a designated initializer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R2</td>
<td>An operand was found that is not of essentially Boolean type, despite being interpreted as a Boolean value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R3</td>
<td>An operand was found that is of essentially Boolean type, despite being interpreted as a numeric value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R4</td>
<td>An operand was found that is of essentially character type, despite being interpreted as a numeric value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R5</td>
<td>An operand that is of essentially enum type is used in an arithmetic operation, because an enum object uses an implementation-defined integer type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R6</td>
<td>Shift and bitwise operations were found performed on operands of essentially signed type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R7</td>
<td>The right-hand operand of a shift operator is not of essentially unsigned type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R8</td>
<td>An operand of essentially unsigned typed is used as the operand to the unary minus operator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.2</td>
<td>Expressions of essentially character type were found used inappropriately in addition and subtraction operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.3</td>
<td>The value of an expression was found assigned to an object with a narrower essential type or a different essential type category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.4_a</td>
<td>Operands of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed were found, that do not have the same essential type category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.4_b</td>
<td>The second and third operands of the ternary operator do not have the same essential type category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.5</td>
<td>A value of an expression was found that is cast to an inappropriate essential type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.6</td>
<td>The value of a composite expression is assigned to an object with wider essential type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.7</td>
<td>An operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed was found, where a composite expression is used as one of the operands, but the other operand is of wider essential type.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-10.8</td>
<td>A composite expression was found whose value is cast to a different essential type category or a wider essential type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.1</td>
<td>Conversion between a pointer to a function and another type were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.2</td>
<td>A conversion from or to an incomplete type pointer was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.3</td>
<td>A pointer to object type is cast to a pointer to a different object type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.4</td>
<td>A cast between a pointer type and an integral type was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.5</td>
<td>A conversion from a pointer to void into a pointer to object was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.6</td>
<td>A conversion between a pointer to void and an arithmetic type was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.7</td>
<td>A cast between a pointer to object and a non-integer arithmetic type was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.8</td>
<td>A cast that removes a const or volatile qualification was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-11.9</td>
<td>An integer constant was found where the NULL macro should be.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-12.1</td>
<td>Implicit operator precedence was detected, without parenthesis to make it explicit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-12.2</td>
<td>Out of range shifts were found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-12.3</td>
<td>There are uses of the comma operator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.1</td>
<td>The initialization list of an array contains side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_a</td>
<td>Expressions that depend on order of evaluation were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_b</td>
<td>There are multiple read accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_c</td>
<td>There are multiple write accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.3</td>
<td>The increment (++) and decrement (--) operators are being used mixed with other operators in an expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4_a</td>
<td>An assignment might be mistakenly used as the condition for an if, for, while, or do statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4_b</td>
<td>Assignments were found in a sub-expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.5</td>
<td>There are right-hand operands of &amp;&amp; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.6</td>
<td>The operand of the sizeof operator contains an expression that has potential side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.1_a</td>
<td>A variable of essentially float type that is used in the loop condition, is then modified in the loop body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.1_b</td>
<td>A loop counter were found having floating type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.2</td>
<td>A malformed for loop was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3_a</td>
<td>The condition in an if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will always be true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3_b</td>
<td>The condition in if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will never be true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_a</td>
<td>Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in do ... while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_b</td>
<td>Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in for loops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_c</td>
<td>Non-Boolean conditions were found in if statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_d</td>
<td>Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.1</td>
<td>Uses of the goto statement were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.2</td>
<td>A goto statement is declared after the destination label.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.3</td>
<td>The destination of a goto statement is a nested code block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.4</td>
<td>One or more iteration statements are terminated by more than one break or goto statements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.5</td>
<td>One or more functions have multiple exit points or an exit point that is not at the end of the function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_a</td>
<td>There are missing braces in do ... while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_b</td>
<td>There are missing braces in for statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_c</td>
<td>There are missing braces in if, else, or else if statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_d</td>
<td>There are missing braces in switch statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_e</td>
<td>There are missing braces in while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.7</td>
<td>If ... else if constructs that are not terminated with an else clause were detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-16.1</td>
<td>Detected switch statements that do not conform to the MISRA C switch syntax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-16.2</td>
<td>Switch labels were found in nested blocks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-16.3</td>
<td>Non-empty switch cases were found that are not terminated by a break.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-16.4</td>
<td>Switch statements without a default clause were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-16.5</td>
<td>A switch was found whose default label is neither the first nor the last label of the switch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-16.6</td>
<td>Switch statements without case clauses were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-16.7</td>
<td>A switch expression was found that represents a value that is effectively Boolean.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.1</td>
<td>Inclusion of the stdarg header file was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_a</td>
<td>There are functions that call themselves directly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_b</td>
<td>There are functions that call themselves indirectly. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.3</td>
<td>Functions are used without prototyping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.4</td>
<td>For some execution paths, no return statement is executed in a function with a non-void return type.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.5</td>
<td>A function call is made with the wrong array type argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.6</td>
<td>There are array parameters with the static keyword between the [.].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.7</td>
<td>There are unused function return values (other than overloaded operators).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-17.8</td>
<td>A function parameter was found that is modified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_a</td>
<td>An array access is out of bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_b</td>
<td>An array access might be out of bounds, depending on which path is executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_c</td>
<td>A pointer to an array is used outside the array bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_d</td>
<td>A pointer to an array is potentially used outside the array bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.2</td>
<td>A subtraction was found between pointers that address elements of different arrays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.3</td>
<td>A relational operator was found applied to an object of pointer type that does not point into the same object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.4</td>
<td>An +, -, +=, or -= operator was found applied to an expression of pointer type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.5</td>
<td>Declarations that contain more than two levels of pointer indirection have been found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_a</td>
<td>Might return address on the stack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_b</td>
<td>A stack address is stored in a global pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_c</td>
<td>A stack address is stored in the field of a global struct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_d</td>
<td>A stack address is stored outside a function via a parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.7</td>
<td>Flexible array members are declared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-18.8</td>
<td>There are arrays declared with a variable length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-19.1</td>
<td>Assignments from one field of a union to another were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-19.2</td>
<td>Unions were found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
## C-STAT checks

### Check Synopsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.1</td>
<td>#include directives were found that are not first in the source file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.2</td>
<td>Illegal characters were found in the names of header files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.4_c89</td>
<td>A macro was found defined with the same name as a keyword.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.4_c99</td>
<td>A macro was found defined with the same name as a keyword.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.5</td>
<td>Found occurrences of #undef.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.6_a</td>
<td>A preprocessing directive was found within a macro argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.6_b</td>
<td>A preprocessing directive was found within a macro argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.7</td>
<td>An expansion of macro parameters was found that is not enclosed in parentheses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.10</td>
<td># and ### operators were found in macro definitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.11</td>
<td>A macro parameter immediately following a # was found that is immediately followed by a ###.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.13</td>
<td>A line was found whose first token is # but that is not a valid preprocessing directive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-20.14</td>
<td>Unbalanced ###endif preprocessing directives were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.1</td>
<td>Detected a #define or #undef of a reserved identifier in the standard library.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.2</td>
<td>One or more library functions are being overridden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.3</td>
<td>Uses of malloc, calloc, realloc, or free were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.4</td>
<td>Found uses of setjmp.h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.5</td>
<td>Uses of signal.h were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.6</td>
<td>Uses of stdio.h were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.7</td>
<td>Uses of atof, atoi, atoll, and atol were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.8</td>
<td>Uses of abort, exit, getenv, and system were found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.9</td>
<td>Uses of the library functions bsearch and qsort in stdlib.h were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.10</td>
<td>Use of the following time.h functions was found: asctime, clock, ctime, difftime, gmtime, localtime, mktime, strftime, and time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.11</td>
<td>Use of the standard header file tctrlmath.h was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.12_a</td>
<td>The exception-handling features of &lt;fenv.h&gt; are used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.12_b</td>
<td>Macros are used in &lt;fenv.h&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_a</td>
<td>A memory leak due to incorrect deallocation was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_b</td>
<td>A file pointer is never closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_a</td>
<td>A memory location is freed more than once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_b</td>
<td>Freeing a memory location more than once on some paths but not others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_c</td>
<td>A stack address might be freed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.3</td>
<td>A file was found that is open for read and write access at the same time on different streams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.4</td>
<td>A file opened as read-only is written to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.5_a</td>
<td>A pointer to a FILE object is dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.5_b</td>
<td>A file pointer was found that is implicitly dereferenced by a library function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-22.6</td>
<td>A file pointer was found that is used after it has been closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-1</td>
<td>A part of the application is never executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_a</td>
<td>The condition in if, for, while, do-while statement sequences and the ternary operator is always met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_b</td>
<td>The condition in if, for, while, do-while statement sequences and the ternary operator will never be met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_c</td>
<td>A case statement within a switch statement is unreachable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-3</td>
<td>A variable is never read or written during execution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-4_a</td>
<td>A variable is only used once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-4_b</td>
<td>A global variable is only used once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-6</td>
<td>A variable is assigned a value that is never used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-7</td>
<td>There are unused function return values (excluding overloaded operators)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-8</td>
<td>There are functions with no effect. A function with no return type and no side effects effectively does nothing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-9</td>
<td>A part of the application is never executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-11</td>
<td>A function parameter is declared but not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-2-1</td>
<td>There are assignments from one field of a union to another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-3-2</td>
<td>The return value for a library function that might return an error value is not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-7-1</td>
<td>Detected /* inside comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-7-2</td>
<td>Commented-out code has been detected. (To allow comments to contain pseudo-code or code samples, only comments that end in ;, {, or } characters are considered to be commented-out code.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-7-3</td>
<td>Commented-out code has been detected. (To allow comments to contain pseudo-code or code samples, only comments that end in ;, {, or } characters are considered to be commented-out code.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-10-1</td>
<td>Two identifiers have names that can be confused with each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-10-2 (C++ only)</td>
<td>There are identifier names that are not distinct from other names in an outer scope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-10-3</td>
<td>A typedef with this name has already been declared. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-10-4</td>
<td>A class, struct, union, or enum declaration clashes with a previous declaration. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-10-5</td>
<td>An identifier is used that might clash with another static identifier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-10-6</td>
<td>(C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There is a clash with type names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-13-2</td>
<td>Octal integer constants are used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-13-3</td>
<td>There are unsigned integer constants without a U suffix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-13-4_a</td>
<td>Suffixes on floating-point constants are lower case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-13-4_b</td>
<td>Suffixes on integer constants are lower case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-3-1-1</td>
<td>Non-inline functions have been defined in header files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-3-1-3</td>
<td>One or more external arrays are declared without their size being stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-3-9-2</td>
<td>There are uses of the basic types char, int, short, long, double, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>float without a typedef.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-3-9-3</td>
<td>An expression provides access to the bit-representation of a floating-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>point variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-4-5-1</td>
<td>Arithmetic operators are used on boolean operands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-4-5-2</td>
<td>Unsafe operators are used on variables of enumeration type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-4-5-3</td>
<td>Arithmetic is performed on objects of type plain char, without an explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>signed or unsigned qualifier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_a</td>
<td>There are expressions that depend on the order of evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_b</td>
<td>There are more than one read access with volatile-qualified type within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a single sequence point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_c</td>
<td>There are more than one modification access with volatile-qualified type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>within a single sequence point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-2</td>
<td>Parentheses to avoid implicit operator precedence are missing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-3</td>
<td>One or more cvalue expressions have been implicitly converted to a different underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-4</td>
<td>One or more implicit integral conversions have been found that change the signedness of the underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-5</td>
<td>One or more implicit floating-integral conversions were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-6 (C++ only)</td>
<td>One or more implicit integral or floating-point conversion were found that reduce the size of the underlying type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-7</td>
<td>One or more explicit floating-integral conversions of a cvalue expression were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-8</td>
<td>One or more explicit integral or floating-point conversions were found that increase the size of the underlying type of a cvalue expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-9</td>
<td>One or more explicit integral conversions were found that change the signedness of the underlying type of a cvalue expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-10</td>
<td>A bitwise operation on unsigned char or unsigned short was found, that was not immediately cast to this type to ensure consistent truncation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_a</td>
<td>Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in do ... while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_b</td>
<td>Non-boolean termination conditions were found in for loops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_c</td>
<td>Non-boolean conditions were found in if statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_d</td>
<td>Non-boolean termination conditions were found in while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-14</td>
<td>Non-boolean operands to the conditional (?:) operator were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-15_a</td>
<td>Pointer arithmetic that is not array indexing was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-15_b</td>
<td>Array indexing applied to objects not defined as an array type was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_a</td>
<td>Pointer arithmetic applied to a pointer that references a stack address was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_b</td>
<td>Invalid pointer arithmetic with an automatic variable that is neither an array nor a pointer was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c</td>
<td>An array access is out of bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_d</td>
<td>An array access might be out of bounds for some execution paths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_e</td>
<td>A pointer to an array is used outside the array bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_f</td>
<td>A pointer to an array might be used outside the array bounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-19</td>
<td>Declarations that contain more than two levels of pointer indirection have been found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-21</td>
<td>Applications of bitwise operators to signed operands were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-4 (C++ only)</td>
<td>Old style casts (other than void casts) were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-5</td>
<td>Casts that remove a const or volatile qualification were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-6</td>
<td>A cast shall not convert a pointer to a function to any other pointer type, including a pointer to function type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-7</td>
<td>A pointer to object type is cast to a pointer to a different object type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-9</td>
<td>A cast from a pointer type to an integral type was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-10</td>
<td>The increment (++) and decrement (--) operators are being used mixed with other operators in an expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-11_a (C++ only)</td>
<td>Overloaded &amp;&amp; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-11_b (C++ only)</td>
<td>Overloaded comma operators were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-3-1</td>
<td>Operands of the logical operators (&amp;&amp;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-3-2_a</td>
<td>Uses of unary minus on unsigned expressions were found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-3-2_b</td>
<td>Uses of unary minus on unsigned expressions were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-3-3 (C++ only)</td>
<td>Occurrences of overloaded &amp; operators were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-3-4</td>
<td>There are sizeof expressions that contain side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-8-1</td>
<td>Possible out-of-range shifts were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-14-1</td>
<td>There are right-hand operands of &amp;&amp; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-18-1</td>
<td>There are uses of the comma operator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-19-1</td>
<td>A constant unsigned integer expression overflows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-2-1</td>
<td>One or more assignment operators are used in sub-expressions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-2-2</td>
<td>There are floating-point comparisons that use the == or != operators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-2-3</td>
<td>There are stray semicolons on the same line as other code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_a</td>
<td>There are missing braces in do ... while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_b</td>
<td>There are missing braces in for statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_c</td>
<td>There are missing braces in switch statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_d</td>
<td>There are missing braces in while statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-1</td>
<td>There are missing braces in if, else, or else if statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-2</td>
<td>If ... else if constructs that are not terminated with an else clause were detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-3</td>
<td>Detected switch statements that do not conform to the MISRA C++ switch syntax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-4</td>
<td>Switch labels were found in nested blocks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-5</td>
<td>Non-empty switch cases were found that are not terminated by a break.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-6</td>
<td>Switch statements without a default clause, or with a default clause that is not the final clause, were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-7</td>
<td>A switch expression was found that represents a value that is effectively Boolean.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-4-8</td>
<td>One or more switch statements without a case clause were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-5-1_a</td>
<td>A loop counter were found having floating type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-5-1_b (C++ only)</td>
<td>Multiple variables are being used to control a for loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-5-2</td>
<td>A loop counter was found that might not match the loop condition test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-5-3</td>
<td>A for loop counter variable was found that is modified in the body of the loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-5-4</td>
<td>A potentially inconsistent loop counter modification was found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-5-5</td>
<td>A non-loop-counter variable was found that is assigned in the condition or expression part of a for loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-5-6</td>
<td>A non-boolean variable was detected that is modified in the loop and used as loop condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-6-1</td>
<td>The destination of a goto statement is a nested code block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-6-2</td>
<td>A goto statement is declared after the destination label.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-6-4</td>
<td>One or more loops have more than one termination point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-6-5</td>
<td>One or more functions have multiple exit points or an exit point that is not at the end of the function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-1-1</td>
<td>A local variable that is not modified after its initialization is not const qualified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-1-2</td>
<td>A parameter in a function that is not modified by the function is not const qualified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-2-1</td>
<td>There are conversions to enum type that are out of range of the enumeration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-4-3</td>
<td>There are inline assembler statements that are not encapsulated in functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_a (C++ only)</td>
<td>A stack object is returned from a function as a reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_b</td>
<td>A function might return an address on the stack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_a</td>
<td>Detected a stack address stored in a global pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_b</td>
<td>Detected a stack address in the field of a global struct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_c</td>
<td>Detected a stack address stored in a parameter of pointer or array type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_d (C++ only)</td>
<td>Detected a stack address stored via a reference parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-4_a</td>
<td>There are functions that call themselves directly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-7-5-4_b</td>
<td>There are functions that call themselves indirectly. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-0-1</td>
<td>There are declarations that contain more than one variable or constant each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-4-1</td>
<td>There are functions defined using the ellipsis (...) notation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-4-3</td>
<td>For some execution paths, no return statements are executed in functions with a non-void return type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-4-4</td>
<td>The addresses of one or more functions are taken without an explicit &amp;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_a</td>
<td>In all execution paths, variables are read before they are assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_b</td>
<td>In some execution paths, variables might be read before they are assigned a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_c</td>
<td>One or more uninitialized or NULL pointers are dereferenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-8-5-2</td>
<td>There are one or more non-zero array initializations that do not exactly match the structure of the array declaration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-9-3-1 (C++ only)</td>
<td>A member function qualified as const returns a pointer member variable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Summary of checks*
### Summary of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-9-3-2 (C++ only)</td>
<td>Member functions return non-const handles to members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-9-5-1</td>
<td>Unions were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-9-6-2</td>
<td>Bitfields of plain int type were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-9-6-3</td>
<td>Bitfields of plain int type were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-9-6-4</td>
<td>Signed single-bit bitfields (excluding anonymous fields) were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-12-1-1_a (C++ only)</td>
<td>A virtual member function is called in a class constructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-12-1-1_b (C++ only)</td>
<td>A virtual member function is called in a class destructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-12-1-3 (C++ only)</td>
<td>Constructors that can be called with a single argument of fundamental type are not declared explicit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-1-3 (C++ only)</td>
<td>Unsafe rethrow of exception.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-3-1 (C++ only)</td>
<td>There are exceptions thrown without a handler in some call paths that lead to that point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-3-2 (C++ only)</td>
<td>There are no default exception handlers for try.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-3-3 (C++ only)</td>
<td>One or more exception handlers in a constructor or destructor accesses a non-static member variable that might not exist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-3-4 (C++ only)</td>
<td>There are calls to functions that are explicitly declared to throw an exception type that are not handled (or declared as thrown) by the caller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-3-5 (C++ only)</td>
<td>Exception objects are caught by value, not by reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-5-1 (C++ only)</td>
<td>An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in a class destructor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-0-3</td>
<td>Found occurrences of #undef.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-0-4</td>
<td>Definitions of function-like macros were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-2-2 (C++ only)</td>
<td>Definitions of macros that are not include guards were found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
C-STAT checks

The following section gives detailed reference information about each check.

### Descriptions of checks

The following section gives detailed reference information about each check.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Synopsis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-2-3</td>
<td>Header files without #include guards were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-2-4</td>
<td>There are illegal characters in header file names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-2-5</td>
<td>There are illegal characters in header file names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-3-1</td>
<td>There are multiple # or ## operators in a macro definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-16-3-2</td>
<td># and ## operators were found in macro definitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-17-0-1</td>
<td>Detected a #define or #undef of a reserved identifier in the standard library.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-17-0-3</td>
<td>One or more library functions are being overridden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-17-0-5</td>
<td>Found uses of setjmp.h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-0-1 (C++ only)</td>
<td>C library includes were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-0-2</td>
<td>Uses of atof, atoi, atol and atoll were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-0-3</td>
<td>Uses of abort, exit, getenv, and system were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-0-4</td>
<td>Uses of time.h functions: asctime, clock, ctime, difftime, gmtime, localtime, mktime, strftime, and time were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-0-5</td>
<td>Uses of strcpy, strcmp, strcat, strchr, strspn, strcspn, strcpy, strpbrk, strrchr, strlen, strrev, or strlen were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-2-1</td>
<td>Uses of the built-in function offsetof were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-4-1</td>
<td>Uses of malloc, calloc, realloc, or free were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-7-1</td>
<td>Uses of signal.h were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-19-3-1</td>
<td>Uses of errno were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISRAC++2008-27-0-1</td>
<td>Uses of stdio.h were found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of checks
ARR-inv-index-pos

Synopsis
An array access might be out of bounds, depending on which path is executed.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
An element of an array is accessed, but one or more of the executable paths means that the element is outside the bounds of the array. This might corrupt data and/or crash the application, and result in security vulnerabilities. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_b, CERT-ARR30-C_b.

Coding standards
CERT ARR33-C
Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int cond;

int main(void)
{
    int a[7];
    int x;

    if (cond)
        x = 3;
    else
        x = 20;

    a[x] = 0; // x may be set to 20 in line 11
    // but a only has an interval of [0,6]
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int cond;

int main(void)
{
    int a[25];
    int x;

    if (cond)
        x = 3;
    else
        x = 20;

    a[x] = 0; // here, both possible values of
    // x are in the interval [0,24]
    return 0;
}

**ARR-inv-index-ptr-pos**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to an array is potentially used outside the array bounds.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  Medium/Medium

Full description  A pointer to an array is potentially used outside the array bounds. This might cause an invalid memory access, and might be a serious security risk. The application might also crash. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_f, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_d, CERT-ARR30-C_d.

Coding standards  CERT ARR33-C

- Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size
- CWE 119  Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120  Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 121  Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 122  Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 124  Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
- CWE 126  Buffer Over-read
- CWE 127  Buffer Under-read
- CWE 129  Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(int b) {
    int arr[11];
    int *p = arr;
    int x = (b<10 ? 8 : 11);
    p[x];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int b) {
    int arr[12];
    int *p = arr;
    int x = (b<10 ? 8 : 11);
    p[x];
}
```

**ARR-inv-index-ptr**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to an array is used outside the array bounds.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
A pointer to an array is used outside the array bounds. This will cause an invalid memory access, and might be a serious security risk. The application might also crash. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_c, CERT-ARR30-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR33-C

- Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size
- CWE 119
  - Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120
  - Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122

Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 124

Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126

Buffer Over-read
CWE 127

Buffer Under-read
CWE 129

Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    p[10];
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    p[9];
}
```

ARR-inv-index

Synopsis
An array access is out of bounds.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High
Full description

An element of an array is accessed when that element is outside the bounds of the array. This might corrupt data and/or crash the application, and result in security vulnerabilities. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_a, CERT-ARR30-C_a.

Coding standards

CERT ARR33-C

Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int a[4];
    a[7] = 0; //7 is out of bounds, since
              //a only has an interval of [0,3]
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int main(void)
{
    int a[4];
    a[3] = 0;
    return 0;
}

**ARR-neg-index**

**Synopsis**
An array is accessed with a negative subscript value.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
An array is accessed with a negative subscript value, causing an illegal memory access. This might corrupt data and/or crash the application, and result in security vulnerabilities. This check is identical to CERT-ARR30-C_e.

**Coding standards**
- CWE 120
  Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 124
  Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
- CWE 127
  Buffer Under-read

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void foo(int n)
{
    int x[n];
    int i = 0;
    if (i == 0)
        i--;
    x[i] = 5; //i is -1 at this point
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void foo(int n)
{
    int x[n];
    int i = 5;
    if (i == 0)
        i--;
    x[i] = 5;  //OK, since i is 4
}
```

**ARR-uninit-index**

**Synopsis**
An array is indexed with an uninitialized variable

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
An array is indexed with an uninitialized variable. The value of the variable is not defined, which might cause an array overrun. This check is identical to CERT-ARR30-C_f.

**Coding standards**

- CWE 665
  - Improper Initialization
- CWE 457
  - Use of Uninitialized Variable
- CWE 119
  - Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120
  - Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 121
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 122
Descriptions of checks

Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int b[20]) {
    int a;
    return b[a];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int b[20]) {
    int a;
    a = 5;
    return b[a];
}
```

**ATH-cmp-float**

**Synopsis**
Floating point comparisons using == or !=

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
A comparison for equality with a floating-point type uses the == or != operator. This might have an unexpected result because the value of the float varies with the environment and the operation. The comparison might be evaluated incorrectly,
especially if either of the floating-point numbers has been operated on arithmetically. In that case, the application logic will be compromised. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.3, MISRAC++2008-6-2-2.

**Coding standards**

CERT FLP00-C

Understand the limitations of floating point numbers

CERT FLP35-CPP

Take granularity into account when comparing floating point values

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void)
{
    float f = 3.0;
    int i = 3;
    if (f == i) //comparison of a float and an int
        ++i;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int i = 60;
    char c = 60;
    if (i == c)
        ++i;
    return 0;
}
```

**ATH-cmp-unsign-neg**

**Synopsis**

An unsigned value is compared to see whether it is negative.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**
A comparison is performed on an unsigned value, to see whether it is negative. This comparison always returns false, and is redundant.

**Coding standards**
CWE 570
Expression is Always False

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    if (x < 0)  //checking an unsigned for negativity
        return 1;
    else
        return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    if (x < 1)  //OK - x might be 0
        return 1;
    else
        return 0;
}
```

**ATH-cmp-unsign-pos**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>An unsigned value is compared to see whether it is greater than or equal to 0.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Full description
A comparison is performed on an unsigned value, to see whether it is greater than or equal to 0. This comparison always returns true, and is redundant.

Coding standards
CWE 571
Expression is Always True

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    if (x >= 0) //checking an unsigned for negativity
        return 1;
    else
        return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    if (x > 0) //OK - x might be 0
        return 1;
    else
        return 0;
}
```

ATH-div-0-assign

Synopsis
A variable is assigned the value 0, then used as a divisor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
A variable is assigned the value 0, then used as a divisor. This will cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_b, CERT-INT33-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20, b = 0, c;
    c = a / b; /* Divide by zero */
    return c;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20, b = 5, c;
    c = a / b; /* b is not 0 */
    return c;
}
```

ATH-div-0-cmp-aft

Synopsis

After a successful comparison with 0, a variable is used as a divisor.

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

Medium/High

Full description

A variable is successfully compared to 0, then used as a divisor. This will cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_e, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_c, SEC-DIV-0-compare-after, CERT-INT33-C_b.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();

    if (p == 0) /* p is 0 */
        a = 34 / p;

    return a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();

    if (p != 0) /* p is not 0 */
        a = 34 / p;

    return a;
}
```

ATH-div-0-cmp-bef

Synopsis

A variable used as a divisor is afterwards compared with 0.

Enabled by default

Yes
**Severity/Certainty**: Low/High

**Full description**: A variable is compared to 0 after it is used as a divisor, but before it is written to again. This implies that the variable's value might be 0, and might have been for the preceding statements. Because one of these statements is an operation that uses the variable as a divisor (causing a 'divide by zero' runtime error), the execution can never reach the comparison when the value is 0, making it redundant. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_f, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_d, SEC-DIV-0-compare-before, CERT-INT33-C_c.

**Coding standards**: CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

**Code examples**: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b = 1;
    b = a / p;
    if (p == 0) // Checking the value of 'p' too late.
        return 0;
    return b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b;
    if (p == 0)
        return 0;
    b = a / p; /* Here 'p' is non-zero. */
    return b;
}
```
ATH-div-0-interval

Synopsis
Interval analysis has found a value that is 0 and used as a divisor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
Interval analysis has found a value that is 0 and used as a divisor. This might cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_g, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_e, CERT-INT33-C_d.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors
CWE 369
Divide By Zero

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int foo(void)
{
  int a = 1;
  a--;
  return 5 / a; /* a is 0 */
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int foo(void)
{
  int a = 2;
  a--;
  return 5 / a; /* OK - a is 1 */
}

ATH-div-0-pos

Synopsis
Interval analysis has found an expression that might be 0 and is used as a divisor.
**Description**

Interval analysis has found an expression that contains 0 and is used as a divisor. This might cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_h, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_f, CERT-INT33-C_e.

**Full description**

Interval analysis has found an expression that contains 0 and is used as a divisor. This might cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_h, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_f, CERT-INT33-C_e.

**Coding standards**

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a-2);  // a-2 is 0
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a+2);  // OK - a+2 is 4
}
```

**ATH-div-0-unchk-global**

**Synopsis**

A global variable is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
**C-STAT checks**

**Severity/Certainty**: Medium/Low

**Full description**: A global variable is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero. This will cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error if the variable has a value of 0. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2.i, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3.g, CERT-INT33-C.f.

**Coding standards**: CWE 369

**Code examples**: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int x;
int example() {
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int x;
int example() {
    if (x != 0){
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

---

**ATH-div-0-unchk-local**

**Synopsis**: A local variable is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero.

**Enabled by default**: Yes

**Severity/Certainty**: Medium/Low
**Descriptions of checks**

**Full description**
A local variable is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero. This will cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error if the variable has a value of 0. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_j, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_h, CERT-INT33-C_g.

**Coding standards**
CWE 369
Divide By Zero

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int rand();

int example() {  
    int x = rand();
    return 5/x;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int rand();

int example() {  
    int x = rand();  
    if (x != 0){  
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

**ATH-div-0-unchk-param**

**Synopsis**
A parameter is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low

**Full description**
A parameter is used as a divisor without having been determined to be non-zero. This will cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error if the parameter has a value of 0. This check is identical to CERT-INT33-C_h.

**Coding standards**
CWE 369
Divide By Zero

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    if (x != 0) {
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

ATH-div-0

Synopsis
An expression that results in 0 is used as a divisor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
An expression that results in 0 is used as a divisor. This will cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_a.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369
Divide By Zero

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a-2);  // a-2 is 0
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;  // OK - a+2 is 4
    return 5 / (a+2);  // OK - a+2 is 4
}

**ATH-inc-bo** (C++ only)

- **Synopsis**
  - Deprecated operation on `bool`

- **Enabled by default**
  - Yes

- **Severity/Certainty**
  - Medium/High

- **Full description**
  - An undefined increment or decrement operation is performed on a `bool` value. In older versions of C++, Boolean values were modeled by a `typedef` to an integer type, allowing increment and decrement operations. These types are deprecated in Standard C++ and the operations no longer apply to the built-in C++ `bool` type.

- **Coding standards**
  - CWE 480
    - Use of Incorrect Operator

- **Code examples**
  - The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
    ```c
    int main(void)
    {
        bool x = true;
        ++x;  //this operation is undefined for a bool
    }
    ```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int x = 0;
    ++x;  //OK - x is an int
}
```

**ATH-malloc-overrun**

**Synopsis**
The size of memory passed to malloc to allocate overflows.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
The size of memory passed to malloc to allocate is the result of an arithmetic overflow. As a result, malloc will not allocate the expected amount of memory and accesses to this memory might cause runtime errors.

**Coding standards**
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 680
Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <limits.h>

void example(void) {
    int *b = malloc(sizeof(int)*ULONG_MAX*ULONG_MAX);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <limits.h>

void example(void) {
    int *b = malloc(sizeof(int)*5);
}

ATH-neg-check-nonneg

Synopsis
A variable is checked for a non-negative value after being used, instead of before.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
A function parameter or index is used in a context that implicitly asserts that it is not negative, but it is not determined to be non-negative until after it is used. If the value actually is negative when the variable is used, data might be corrupted, the application might crash, or a security vulnerability might be exposed.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(int p) {
    int *x = malloc(p); // p was an argument to malloc(),
    // so it is not negative
    if (p < 0)
        return 0;
    return p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(int p)
{
    int *x;
    if (p < 0)
        return 0;
    x = malloc(p);  // OK - p is non-negative
    return p;
}

**ATH-neg-check-pos**

**Synopsis**
A variable is checked for a positive value after being used, instead of before.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
A function parameter or index is used in a context that implicitly asserts that it is positive, but it is not compared to 0 until after it is used. If the value actually is negative or 0 when the variable is used, data might be corrupted, the application might crash, or a security vulnerability might be exposed.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(int p)
{
    int *x = malloc(p);
    // p was an argument to malloc(), so not negative
    if (p <= 0)
        return 0;
    return p;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(int p)
{
    int *x;
    if (p < 0)
        return 0;
    x = malloc(p);  // OK - p is non-negative
    return p;
}

**ATH-new-overrun (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
An arithmetic overflow is caused by an allocation using new[].

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
The new a[n] operator performs the operation sizeof(a) * n. This might cause an overflow, leading to an unexpected amount of memory being allocated. Dereferencing this memory might lead to a runtime error.

**Coding standards**
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 680
Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <new>
#include <climits>

void example(void) {
    unsigned int b = (UINT_MAX / 4) + 1;
    int *a = new int[b];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <new>

void example(void) {
    int *a = new int[10];
}
```

ATH-overflow-cast

Synopsis
An expression is cast to a different type, resulting in an overflow or underflow of its value.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
An expression is cast to a different type, resulting in an overflow or underflow of its value. This might be unintended and can cause logic errors. Because unexpected behavior is much more likely than an application crash, such errors can be very hard to find. This check is identical to CERT-INT31-C_a.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

CERT INT31-C
Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data

CWE 194
Unexpected Sign Extension

CWE 195
Signed to Unsigned Conversion Error

CWE 196
Unsigned to Signed Conversion Error

CWE 197
Numeric Truncation Error

CWE 680
Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef int I;
typedef I J;

void f(){
    J x = 375;
    char c = (char)x;  //overflows to 120
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void f(){
    int x = 35;
    char c = (char)x;
}
```

ATH-overflow

Synopsis
An expression is implicitly converted to a narrower type, resulting in an overflow or underflow of its value.

Enabled by default
Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**
An expression is implicitly converted to a narrower type, resulting in an overflow or underflow of its value. This might be unintended and can cause logic errors. Because unexpected behavior is much more likely than an application crash, such errors can be very hard to find.

**Coding standards**
- CERT INT31-C
  - Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data
- CWE 194
  - Unexpected Sign Extension
- CWE 195
  - Signed to Unsigned Conversion Error
- CWE 196
  - Unsigned to Signed Conversion Error
- CWE 197
  - Numeric Truncation Error
- CWE 680
  - Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
typedef int I;
typedef I J;

void f(){
    J x = 375;
    char c = x;  //overflows to 120
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void f(){
    int x = 35;
    char c = x;
}

ATH-shift-bounds

Synopsis
Out of range shifts were found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
The right-hand operand of a shift operator might be negative or too large. A shift operator on an n-bit argument should only shift between 0 and n-1 bits. The behavior here is undefined; the code might work as intended, or data could become erroneous. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.8, MISRAC++2008-5-8-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-12.2.

Coding standards
CERT INT34-C
Do not shift a negative number of bits or more bits than exist in the operand

CWE 682
Incorrect Calculation

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

unsigned int foo(unsigned int x, unsigned int y)
{
    int shift = 33; // too big
    return 3U << shift;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

unsigned int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    int y = 1; // OK - this is within the correct range
    return x << y;
}
**ATH-shift-neg**

**Synopsis**
The left-hand side of a right shift operation might be a negative value.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
The left-hand side of a right shift operation might be a negative value. Because performing a right shift operation on a negative number is implementation-defined, this operation might have unexpected results. This check is identical to CERT-INT34-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CWE 682
  Incorrect Calculation

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example(int x) {
    return -10 >> x;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int example(int x) {
    return 10 >> x;
}
```

**ATH-sizeof-by-sizeof**

**Synopsis**
Multiplying `sizeof` by `sizeof`.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High
Full description
sizeof is multiplied by sizeof. This is probably a programming mistake and might have been intended to be sizeof / sizeof. This code will not cause any errors, but the product of two sizeof results is not a useful value, and might indicate a misunderstanding of the intended behavior of the code.

Coding standards
CWE 480
Use of Incorrect Operator

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void foo(void)
{
    int x = sizeof(int) * sizeof(char);  //sizeof * sizeof
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void foo(void)
{
    int x = sizeof(int) * 7;  //OK
}
```

CAST-old-style (C++ only)

Synopsis
Old style casts (other than void casts) are used

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
Old style casts (other than void casts) are used. These casts override type information about the variables or pointers being cast, which might cause portability problems. A particular cast might for example not be valid on a system, but the compiler will perform the cast anyway. The new style casts static_cast, const_cast, and reinterpret_cast should be used instead because they make clear the intention of the cast. Moreover, the new style casts can easily be searched for in source code files, unlike old style casts. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-2-4.

Coding standards
CERT EXP05-CPP
Do not use C-style casts

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(float b)
{
    return (int)b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(float b)
{
    return static_cast<int>(b);
}
```

**CATCH-object-slicing (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Exception objects are caught by value

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
Class type exception objects are caught by value, leading to slicing. That is, if the exception object is of a derived class and is caught as the base, only the base class’s functions (including virtual functions) can be called. Moreover, any additional member data in the derived class cannot be accessed. If the exception is instead caught by reference, slicing does not occur. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-3-5.

**Coding standards**
CERT ERR09-CPP

- Throw anonymous temporaries and catch by reference

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef char char_t;

// base class for exceptions
class ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "base"; }
};

class ExpD1: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "type 1 exception"; }
};

class ExpD2: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "type 2 exception"; }
};

void example() {
    try {
        // ...
        throw ExpD1 ( );
        // ...
        throw ExpBase ( );
    }
    catch ( ExpBase b ) { // Non-compliant - derived type objects will be // caught as the base type
        b.who(); // Will always be 'base'
        throw b; // The exception re-thrown is of the base class, // not the original exception type
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
typedef char char_t;

// base class for exceptions
class ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "base"; }
};

class ExpD1: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "type 1 exception"; }
};

class ExpD2: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "type 2 exception"; }
};

void example() {
    try {
        // ...
        throw ExpD1 ( );
        // ...
        throw ExpBase ( );
    }
    catch ( ExpBase &b ) { // Compliant – exceptions caught by reference
        // ...
        b.who(); // "base", "type 1 exception" or "type 2 exception"
    // depending upon the type of the thrown object
    }
}

CATCH-xtor-bad-member (C++ only)

Synopsis
Exception handler in constructor or destructor accesses non-static member variable that might not exist.

Enabled by default No
Details of checks

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Low

Full description: The exception handler in a constructor or destructor accesses a non-static member function. Such members might or might not exist at this point in construction/destruction and accessing them might result in undefined behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-3-3.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int throws();

class C
{
    public:
    int x;
    static char c;
    C()
    {
        x = 0;
    }

    ~C()
    {
        try
        {
            throws();
            // Action that may raise an exception
        }
        catch (...)
        {
            if (0 == x) // Non-compliant - x may not exist at this
                       // point
                {
                    // Action dependent on value of x
                }
        }
    }
};

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
### COMMA-overload (C++ only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Overloaded comma operator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>There are overloaded versions of the comma and logical conjunction operators. These have the semantics of function calls whose sequence point and ordering semantics are different from those of the built-in versions. Because it might not be clear at the point of use that these operators are overloaded, developers might be unaware which semantics apply. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-2-11_b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>class C{</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bool x;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bool operator,(bool other);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>};</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bool C::operator,(bool other){</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>return x;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>class C{</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int x;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int operator+(int other);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>};</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int C::operator+(int other){</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>return x + other;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMENT-nested</strong></td>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appearances of /* inside comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Full description

Appearances of /* inside comments. C does not support nesting of comments. This can cause confusion when some code does not execute as expected. For example: /* A comment, end comment marker accidentally omitted */ initialize(X); /* this comment is not compliant */ In this case, X will not be initialized because the code is hidden in a comment. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-2.3, MISRAC++2008-2-7-1.

#### Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    /* This comment starts here
    /* Nested comment starts here
    */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    /* This comment starts here */
    /* Nested comment starts here */
}
```

### CONST-member-ret (C++ only)

#### Synopsis

A member function qualified as const returns a pointer member variable.

#### Enabled by default

Yes

#### Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium
C-STAT checks

Full description
A member function qualified as const returns a pointer member variable. This might violate the semantics of the function’s const qualification, as the data at that address might be overwritten, or the memory itself might be freed. This will not be identified by a compiler, because the pointer being returned is a copy even though the memory to which it refers is vulnerable. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-9-3-1.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C{
    int* foo() const {
        return p;
    }  
    int* p;
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class C{
    int* foo() {
        return p;
    }  
    int* p;
};
```

COP-alloc-ctor (C++ only)

Synopsis
A class member is deallocated in the class’ destructor, but not allocated in a constructor or assignment operator.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
A class member is deallocated in the class’ destructor but is not allocated in a constructor or assignment operator (operator=). Even if this is intentional (and the class’ pointer attributes are allocated elsewhere) it is still dangerous, because it subverts
the Resource Acquisition is Initialization convention, and consequently users of the class might accidentally misuse it.

### Coding standards

**CWE 401**

Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int *p;

public:
    MyClass(){}  //p is not allocated in 
                   //this constructor
    ~MyClass(){
        delete p;
    }
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int *p;

public:
    MyClass()
    {
        p = new int(0);  //OK - p is allocated
    }
    ~MyClass()
    {
        delete p;
    }
};
```

### COP-assign-op-ret (C++ only)

#### Synopsis

An assignment operator of a C++ class does not return a non-`const` reference to `this`.

#### Enabled by default

Yes
**Full description**
An assignment operator of a C++ class is incorrectly defined. Probably it does not return a non-`const` reference to the left-hand side of the assignment. This can cause unexpected behavior in situations where the assignment is chained with others, or the return value is used as a left-hand side argument to a subsequent assignment. A non-`const` reference as the return type should be used because it is the convention; it will not achieve any added code safety, and it makes the assignment operator more restrictive.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
class MyClass{
  int x;
  public:
    MyClass &operator=(MyClass &rhs) {
      x = rhs.x;
      return rhs; // should return *this
    }
};
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```cpp
class MyClass{
  int x;
  public:
    MyClass &operator=(const MyClass &rhs) { 
      x = rhs.x;
      return *this; // a properly defined operator=
    }
};
```

**COP-assign-op-self (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Assignment operator does not check for self-assignment before allocating member functions
Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: An assignment operator does not check for self-assignment before allocating member functions. If self-assignment occurs in a user-defined object which uses dynamic memory allocation, references to allocated memory will be lost if they are reassigned. This will most likely cause a memory leak, as well as unexpected results, because the objects referred to by any pointers are lost.

Coding standards: CERT MEM42-CPP

Ensure that copy assignment operators do not damage an object that is copied to itself.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class MyClass{
  int* p;
  MyClass& operator=(const MyClass& rhs){
    p = new int(*(rhs.p));  //reference to the old
    //memory is lost
    return *this;
  }
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class MyClass{
  int* p;
  MyClass& operator=(const MyClass& rhs){
    if (&rhs != this)  //the pointer is not reallocated
      //if the object is assigned to itself
    p = new int(*(rhs.p));
    return *this;
  }
};
```
**COP-assign-op (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
There is no assignment operator defined for a class whose destructor deallocates memory.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
There is no assignment operator defined for a class whose destructor deallocates memory, so the compiler's synthesized assignment operator will be created and used if needed. This will only perform shallow copies of any pointer values, meaning that multiple instances of a class might inadvertently contain pointers to the same memory. Although a synthesized assignment operator might be adequate and appropriate for classes whose members include only (non-pointer) built-in types, in a class that dynamically allocates memory it could easily lead to unexpected behavior or attempts to access freed memory. In that case, if a copy is made and one of the two is destroyed, any deallocated pointers in the other will become invalid. This check should only be selected if all of a class' copy control functions are defined in the same file.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int* p;
public:
    MyClass(){
    }
    ~MyClass(){
        delete p; //this class has no assignment operator
    }
};

int main(){
    MyClass *original = new MyClass;
    MyClass copy;
    copy = *original; //copy's p == original's p
    delete original; //p is deallocated; copy now has an invalid pointer
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class MyClass{
  int* p;

-MyClass(){
    delete p;  //OK - the assignment operator will
    //not be synthesized
}

MyClass& operator=(const MyClass& rhs){
    if (this != &rhs)
      p = new int;
    return *this;
}
};
```

**COP-copy-ctor (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
A class which uses dynamic memory allocation does not have a user-defined copy constructor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
A class which uses dynamic memory allocation does not have a user-defined copy constructor, so the compiler's synthesized copy constructor will be created and used if needed. This will only perform shallow copies of any pointer values, meaning that multiple instances of a class might inadvertently contain pointers to the same memory. Although a synthesized copy constructor might be adequate and appropriate for classes whose members include only (non-pointer) built-in types, in a class that dynamically allocates memory, it might easily lead to unexpected behavior or attempts to access freed memory. In that case, if a copy is made and one of the two is destroyed, any deallocated pointers in the other will become invalid. This check should only be selected if all of a class' copy control functions are defined in the same file.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int *p;
public:
    MyClass(){ //not a copy constructor
        p = new int; //one will be synthesized
    }

    ~MyClass(){
        delete p;
    }
};

int main(){
    MyClass *original = new MyClass;
    MyClass copy(*original); //copy's p == original's p
    delete original; //p is deallocated; copy now has an invalid pointer
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int *p;
public:
    MyClass(MyClass& rhs){
        p = new int;
        *p = *(rhs.p);
    }

    ~MyClass(){
        delete p;
    }
};
```

COP-dealloc-dtor (C++ only)

Synopsis

A class member has memory allocated in a constructor or an assignment operator, that is not released in the destructor.

Enabled by default

No
Description of checks

Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description:
A class member has memory allocated to it in a constructor or assignment operator, that is not released in the class' destructor. This will most likely cause a memory leak when objects of this class are created and destroyed. Even if this is intentional (and the memory is released elsewhere) it is still dangerous, because it subverts the Resource Acquisition is Initialization convention, and consequently users of the class might not release the memory at all.

Coding standards:
CWE 401: Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int *p;

public:
    MyClass() {
        p = 0;
    }

    MyClass(int i) {
        p = new int[i];
    }

    ~MyClass() {}  // p not deleted here
};

int main(void){
    MyClass *cp = new MyClass(5);
    delete cp;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int *p;

public:
    MyClass() {
        p = 0;
    }

    MyClass(int i) {
        p = new int[i];
    }

    ~MyClass() {}  // p deleted here
};

int main(void){
    MyClass *cp = new MyClass(5);
    delete cp;
}
```
class MyClass{
    int *p;

public:
    MyClass(){
        p = 0;
    }

    MyClass(int i){
        p = new int[i];
    }

    ~MyClass(){
        if(p)
            delete[] p;  //OK - p is deleted here
    }
};

int main(void){
    MyClass *cp = new MyClass(5);
    delete cp;
}

COP-dtor-throw (C++ only)

Synopsis  An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in a class destructor.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  Medium/Medium

Full description  An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in a class destructor. When the destructor is called, stack unwinding takes place. If an exception is thrown at this time, the application will crash. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-5-1.

Coding standards  CERT ERR33-CPP
    Destructors must not throw exceptions

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
class E();

class C {
~C() {
    if (!p){
        throw E();  //may throw an exception here
    }
    int* p;
};

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void do_something();
class C {
~C() {  //OK
    if (!p){
        do_something();
    }
    int* p;
};

**COP-dtor (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
A class which dynamically allocates memory in its copy control functions does not have a destructor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A class which dynamically allocates memory in its copy control functions does not have a destructor. This will most likely result in a memory leak. If memory is dynamically allocated in the constructors or assignment operators, there must be a matching destructor to free it. If a destructor is not defined, the compiler will synthesize one, which will destroy any pointers but will not release their contents back to the heap. Even if this is intentional (and the memory is released elsewhere) it is still dangerous, because it subverts the Resource Acquisition is Initialization convention, and consequently users
of the class might not release the memory at all. This check should only be used if all of a class' copy control functions are defined in the same file.

**Coding standards**

CWE 401

Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int* p;

public:
    MyClass(){
        p = new int;
    }
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class MyClass{
    int* p;

public:
    MyClass(){
        p = new int;
    }

    ~MyClass(){
        delete p;
    }
};
```

**COP-init-order (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**

Data members are initialized with other data members that are in the same initialization list.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**

Data members are initialized with other data members that are in the same initialization list. This can cause confusion, and might produce incorrect output, because data members are initialized in order of their declaration and not in the order of the initialization list.

**Coding standards**

CERT OOP37-CPP

Constructor initializers should be ordered correctly

CWE 456

Missing Initialization

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C{
    int x;
    int y;
    C():
        x(5),
        y(x)  //Initializing using another member
        {}  
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class C{
    int x;
    int y;
    C():
        x(5),
        y(5)  //OK
        {}  
};
```

**COP-init-uninit (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**

An initializer list reads the values of still uninitialized members.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
The expressions used to initialize a class member contain other class members, that have not yet been initialized themselves. The order in which they are initialized depends on the order of their declarations in the class definition and not on the order in which the members appear in the list, which might feel counter-intuitive. This might cause some of the object’s attributes to have incorrect values, leading to logic errors or an application crash if the class handles dynamic memory.

Coding standards
CWE 456
Missing Initialization

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C{
    int y;
    int x;
    C():
        x(5),
        y(x)  //x has not been initialized yet,
             //as y was defined first (line 2)
    {}  
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class C{
    int x;
    int y;
    C():
        x(5),
        y(x)  //OK - x has been initialized
    {}  
};
```

**COP-member-uninit (C++ only)**

Synopsis
A member of a class is not initialized in one of the class constructors.

Enabled by default
Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>Coding standards</th>
<th>Code examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A member of a class is not initialized in one of the class constructors. This might cause unexpected or unpredictable program behavior, and can be very difficult to identify as the cause. Because members of built-in types are not given a default initialization, constructors must initialize all members of a class. Even if this is intentional (and the attribute is initialized elsewhere) it is still dangerous, because it subverts the Resource Acquisition is Initialization convention, and consequently users of the class might not initialize the attribute. Uninitialized data can lead to incorrect program flow, and might cause the application to crash if the class handles dynamic memory. | Medium/Medium      | **CWE 456**  
Missig Initialization

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```
struct S{
    int x;
    S() {}  //this constructor should initialize x
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```
struct S{
    int x;
    S() : x(1) {}  //OK - x is initialized
};
```

### CPU-ctor-call-virt (C++ only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Enabled by default</th>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A virtual member function is called in a class constructor.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Medium/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Full description
When an instance is constructed, the virtual member function of its base class is called, rather than the function of the actual class being constructed. This might result in the incorrect function being called, and consequently incorrect data or uninitialized elements. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-12-1-1.a.

### Coding standards
CERT OOP30-CPP

Do not invoke virtual functions from constructors or destructors

### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
#include <iostream>

class A {
 public:
  A() { f(); }  //virtual member function is called
      virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
    }

class B: public A {
 public:
      virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
    }

int main(void) {
  B *b = new B();
  delete b;
  return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <iostream>

class A {
public:
    A() { }  //OK - constructor does not call any virtual
    //member functions
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
};

class B: public A {
public:
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
};

int main(void) {
    B *b = new B();
    delete b;
    return 0;
}

**CPU-ctor-implicit (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Constructors that are callable with a single argument of fundamental type are not declared `explicit`.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
Constructors that are callable with a single argument of fundamental type are not declared `explicit`. This means that nothing prevents the constructor from being used to implicitly convert from a fundamental type to the class type. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-12-1-3.

**Coding standards**
CERT OOP32-CPP

Ensure that single-argument constructors are marked "explicit"

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
class C{
    C(double x){} //should be explicit
};

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

class C{
    explicit C(double x){} //OK
};

**CPU-delete-throw (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in an overloaded `delete` or `delete[]` operator.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in an overloaded `delete` or `delete[]` operator. Because memory is often deallocated in a destructor, an exception that is thrown in a `delete` or `delete[]` operator is likely to be thrown during stack unwinding, which will cause the application to crash.

**Coding standards**
CERT ERR38-CPP
- Deallocation functions must not throw exceptions

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class E{};
class C {
    void operator delete[](void* p) {
        if (!p){
            throw E(); //may throw an exception here
        }
    }
    int* p;
};
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c++
void do_something();

class C {
  void operator delete[](void* p) { //OK
    if (!p){
      do_something();
    }
  }
  int* p;
};
```

**CPU-delete-void (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to void is used in `delete`, causing the destructor not to be called.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A pointer to void is used in `delete`. When `delete` is called on a void pointer in C++, the object is deallocated from memory but its destructor is not called.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c++
void example(void *a) {
  delete a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c++
void example(int *a) {
  delete a;
}
```
CPU-dtor-call-virt (C++ only)

Synopsis
A virtual member function is called in a class destructor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
When an instance is destroyed, the virtual member function of its base class is called, rather than the function of the actual class being destroyed. This might result in the incorrect function being called, and consequently dynamic memory might not be properly deallocated, or some other unwanted behavior might occur. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-12-1-1_b.

Coding standards
CERT OOP30-CPP
Do not invoke virtual functions from constructors or destructors

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
#include <iostream>

class A {
public:
    ~A() { f(); } // virtual member function is called
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
};

class B: public A {
public:
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
};

int main(void) {
    B *b = new B();
    delete b;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <iostream>

class A {
public:
    ~A() { }  //OK - constructor does not call any virtual
    //member functions
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
};

class B: public A {
public:
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
};

int main(void) {
    B *b = new B();
    delete b;
    return 0;
}

**CPU-malloc-class (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
An allocation of a class instance with `malloc()` does not call a constructor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
When allocating memory for a class instance with `malloc()`, no class constructor is called. Using `malloc()` creates an uninitialized object. To initialize the object at allocation, use the `new` operator.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
#include <stdlib.h>

class Foo {
public:
    void setA(int val){
        a=val;
    }
private:
    int a;
};

void main(){
    Foo *fooArray;
    //malloc of class Foo
    fooArray  = static_cast<Foo*>(malloc(5 * sizeof(Foo)));
    fooArray->setA(4);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

void main(){
    int *fooArray;
    fooArray  = static_cast<int*>(malloc(5 * sizeof(int)));
    *fooArray = 4;
}

**CPU-nonvirt-dtor (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
A public non-virtual destructor is defined in a class with virtual methods.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: A public non-virtual destructor is defined in a class with virtual methods. Calling delete on a pointer to any class derived from this one might call the wrong destructor. If any class might be a base class (by having virtual methods), then its destructor should be either be virtual or protected so that callers cannot destroy derived objects via pointers to the base.

Coding standards: CERT OOP34-CPP

Ensure the proper destructor is called for polymorphic objects

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c++
#include <iostream>

class Base
{
    public:
        Base() { std::cout << "Constructor: Base" << std::endl; }
        virtual void f(void) {}
        //non-virtual destructor:
        ~Base() { std::cout << "Destructor : Base" << std::endl; }
    };

class Derived: public Base
{
    public:
        Derived() { std::cout << "Constructor: Derived" << std::endl; }
        void f(void) { std::cout << "Calling f()" << std::endl; }
        virtual ~Derived() { std::cout << "Destructor : Derived" << std::endl; }
    };

int main(void)
{
    Base *Var = new Derived();
    delete Var;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```cpp
#include <iostream>

class Base
{
public:
    Base() { std::cout << "Constructor: Base" << std::endl;}
    virtual void f(void) {}
    virtual ~Base() { std::cout << "Destructor : Base" << std::endl; }
};

class Derived: public Base
{
public:
    Derived() { std::cout << "Constructor: Derived" << std::endl;}
    void f(void) { std::cout << "Calling f()" << std::endl; }
    ~Derived() { std::cout << "Destructor : Derived" << std::endl; }
};

int main(void)
{
    Base *Var = new Derived();
    delete Var;
    return 0;
}
```

**CPU-return-ref-to-class-data (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Member functions return non-const handles to members.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
Member functions return non-const handles to members. Implement class interfaces with member functions to retain more control over how the object state can be modified and to make it easier to maintain a class without affecting clients. Returning a handle to class-data allows clients to modify the state of the object without using any interfaces. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-9-3-2.

**Coding standards**
CERT OOP35-CPP
Do not return references to private data

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C{
  int x;
  public:
    int& foo();
    int* bar();
};

int& C::foo() {
  return x;  //returns a non-const reference to x
}

int* C::bar() {
  return &x;  //returns a non-const pointer to x
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class C{
  int x;
  public:
    const int& foo();
    const int* bar();
};

const int& C::foo() {
  return x;  //OK - returns a const reference
}

const int* C::bar() {
  return &x;  //OK - returns a const pointer
}
```

**DECL-implicit-int**

**Synopsis**

An object or function of the type `int` is declared or defined, but its type is not explicitly stated.

**Enabled by default**

No
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: An object or function of the type int is declared or defined, but its type is not explicitly stated. The type of an object or function must be explicitly stated. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.2, MISRAC2012-Rule-8.1.

Coding standards: CERT DCL31-C

Declare identifiers before using them

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(void)
{
    static y;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void)
{
    int x;
}
```

**DEFINE-hash-multiple**

Synopsis: Multiple # or ## operators in a macro definition.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Low

Full description: The order of evaluation associated with both the # and ## preprocessor operators is unspecified. Avoid this problem by having only one occurrence of either operator in any single macro definition (i.e. one #, or one ##, or neither). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.12, MISRAC++2008-16-3-1.
Descriptions of checks

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define C(x, y) x ## y /* Non-compliant */
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define A(x) x /* Compliant */
```

**ENUM-bounds**

**Synopsis**

Conversions to `enum` that are out of range of the enumeration.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

There are conversions to `enum` that are out of range of the enumeration. This check is identical to MISRA C++2008-7-2-1.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
enum ens { ONE, TWO, THREE };
void example(void)
{
    ens one = (ens)10;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
enum ens { ONE, TWO, THREE };

void example(void)
{
    ens one = ONE;
    ens two = TWO;
    two = one;
}

**EXP-cond-assign**

**Synopsis**
An assignment might be mistakenly used as the condition for an if, for, while, or do statement.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
An assignment might be mistakenly used as the condition for an if, for, while, or do statement. This condition will either always or never hold, depending on the value of the second operand. This was most likely intended to be a comparison, not an assignment. This might cause incorrect program flow, and possibly an infinite loop. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP18-C
Do not perform assignments in selection statements

CERT EXP19-CPP
Do not perform assignments in conditional expressions

CWE 481
Assigning instead of Comparing

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Descriptions of checks

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 2;
    if (x == 3)
        return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

**EXP-dangling-else**

**Synopsis**
An else branch might be connected to an unexpected if statement.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
An else branch might be connected to an unexpected if statement. An else branch is always connected with the closest possible if statement, but this might not always be the intention of the programmer. By explicitly putting braces around if statements where there might be ambiguity, you make the code more readable and your intentions clearer.

**Coding standards**
CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void foo(int x, int y){
  if (x < y)
    if (x == 1)
      ++y;
    else
      ++x;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void foo(int x, int y){
  if (x < y){
    if (x == 1)
      ++y;
  }
  else
    ++x;
}

**EXP-loop-exit**

**Synopsis**
An unconditional break, continue, return, or goto within a loop.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
There is an unconditional break, goto, continue or return in a loop. This means that some iterations of the loop will never be executed. This is most likely not the intended behavior.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Descriptions of checks

void example(void) {
    int x = 1;
    int i;

    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        x = x + 1;
        break; /* Unexpected loop exit */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(int a) {
    int x = 1;
    int i;

    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        x = x + 1;
        if (x > a) {
            break; /* loop exit is conditional */
        }
    }
}

EXP-main-ret-int

Synopsis
The return type of main() is not int.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
The return type of the main function is not int. The main function is expected to return an integer, so that the caller of the application can determine whether the application executed successfully or failed.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
int main() {return 1;}  //OK - main returns an int
```

### EXP-null-stmt

**Synopsis**
The body of an `if`, `while`, or `for` statement is a null statement.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
The body of an `if`, `while`, or `for` statement is a null statement. This might be intentional (a placeholder), but because a null statement as the body is difficult to find when debugging or reviewing code, it is good practice to use an empty block to identify a stub body. Note that if the condition expression of a `for` loop has possible side-effects, or if an `if` statement has a null body but carries an `else` clause, this check will not give a warning.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP15-C

Do not place a semicolon on the same line as an `if`, `for`, or `while` statement

CWE 483

Incorrect Block Delimitation

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i);  //Null statement as the body of this for loop
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i){  //An empty block is much
        //more readable
    }
}

**EXP-stray-semicolon**

**Synopsis**
Stray semicolons on the same line as other code

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
There are stray semicolons on the same line as other code. Before preprocessing, a null statement should only be on a line by itself; it can be followed by a comment only if the first character following the null statement is a whitespace character. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.3, MISRAC++2008-6-2-3.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP15-C

Do not place a semicolon on the same line as an if, for, or while statement

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i);  //Null statement as the
        //body of this for loop
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i){  //An empty block is much
        //more readable
    }
}
**EXPR-const-overflow**

**Synopsis**
A constant unsigned integer expression overflows.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A constant unsigned integer expression overflows. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.11, MISRAC++2008-5-19-1.

**Coding standards**
CWE 190

**Integer Overflow or Wraparound**

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    (0xFFFFFFFF + 1u);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    0x7FFFFFFF + 0;
}
```

---

**FPT-cmp-null**

**Synopsis**
The address of a function is compared with NULL.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
The address of a function is compared with NULL. This is incorrect, because the address of a function is never NULL. If the intention was to call the function, but the parentheses
were accidentally omitted, the application might behave unexpectedly because the address of the function is checked, not the return value. This means that the condition always holds, and any of the function's side-effects will not occur. If this was intentional, it is an unnecessary comparison, because a function address will never be NULL. If the function is declared but not defined, its address might fail to link if the function is called.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 480  
Use of Incorrect Operator

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo() {
    return 1;
}

int main(void) {
    if (foo == 0) { /* foo, not foo() */
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo() {
    return 0;
}

int main(void) {
    if (foo() == 0) { /* foo() returns an int */
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**FPT-literal**

**Synopsis**  
A function pointer that refers to a literal address is dereferenced.

**Enabled by default**  
No
Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: A function pointer that refers to a literal address is dereferenced. A literal address is always invalid as a function pointer, and dereferencing it is an illegal memory access that might cause the application to crash.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
typedef void (*fn)(int);

void baz(int x){
  ++x;
}

void example(void) {
  fn bar = NULL;
  /* ... */
  bar(1); //ERROR
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
Describe function pointers

Synopsis
A function pointer is used in an invalid context.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
A function pointer is used in an invalid context. It is an error to use a function pointer to do anything other than calling the function being pointed to, comparing the function pointer to another pointer using != or ==, passing the function pointer to a function, returning the function pointer from a function, or storing the function pointer in a data structure. Misusing a function pointer might result in erroneous behavior, and in junk data being interpreted as instructions and being executed as such.

Coding standards
CERT EXP16-C

Do not compare function pointers to constant values

CWE 480

Use of Incorrect Operator

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

typedef void (*fn)(int);

void baz(int x){
    ++x;
}

void example(void) {
    fn bar = NULL;
    /* ... */
    bar = baz;
    bar(1);
}
```
/* declare a function */
int foo(int x, int y){
    return x+y;
}
#pragma diag_suppress=Pa153
int foo2(int x, int y) {
    if (foo)
        return (foo)(x,y);
    if (foo < foo2)
        return (foo)(x,y);
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
typedef int (*fptr)(int, int);

int f_add(int x, int y){
    return x+y;
}

int f_sub(int x, int y){
    return x-y;
}

int foo(int opcode, int x, int y){
    fptr farray[2];
    farray[0] = f_add;
    farray[1] = f_sub;

    return (farray[opcode])(x, y);
}

int foo2(fptr f1, fptr f2){
    if (f1 == f2)
        return 1;
    else
        return 0;
}

**FUNC-implicit-decl**

**Synopsis**

Functions are used without prototyping.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/High

**Full description**

Functions are used without prototyping. Functions must be prototyped before use. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.1, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.3, CERT-DCL31-C.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards

CERT DCL31-C

Declare identifiers before using them

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void);
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

**FUNC-unprototyped-all**

Synopsis

Functions are declared with an empty () parameter list that does not form a valid prototype.

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

Medium/High

Full description

Functions are declared with an empty () parameter list that does not form a valid prototype. Functions must be prototyped before use. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.5, MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2.a.

Coding standards

CERT DCL20-C

Always specify void even if a function accepts no arguments

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void func(); /* not a valid prototype in C */
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void func(void);
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}

**FUNC-unprototyped-used**

**Synopsis**
Arguments are passed to functions without a valid prototype.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
Arguments are passed to functions without a valid prototype. This is permitted in C89, but it is unsafe because it bypasses all type checking.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL20-C
Always specify void even if a function accepts no arguments

CERT DCL31-C
Declare identifiers before using them

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void func(); /* not a valid prototype in C */
void func2(void)
{
    func(77);
    func(77.0);
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void);
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

**INCLUDE-c-file**

**Synopsis**
A .c file includes one or more .c files.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
A C file includes one or more C files. C files shall not include other C files.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include "header.c"
void example(void) {}  
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {}  
```

**INT-use-signed-as-unsigned-pos**

**Synopsis**
A negative signed integer is implicitly cast to an unsigned integer.

**Enabled by default**
No
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: A negative signed integer is implicitly cast to an unsigned integer. The result of this cast will be a large integer, and using this value might result in unexpected behavior.

Coding standards: CWE 195
Signed to Unsigned Conversion Error

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(int c) {
    int a = 5;
    if (c) {
        a = -10;
    }
    unsigned int b = a;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(int c) {
    int a = 10;
    if (c) {
        a = 5;
    }
    unsigned int b = a;
}
```

INT-use-signed-as-unsigned

Synopsis: A negative signed integer is implicitly cast to an unsigned integer.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium
**Full description**  
A negative signed integer is implicitly cast to an unsigned integer. The result of this cast will be a large integer, and using this value might result in unexpected behavior.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 195  
Signed to Unsigned Conversion Error

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a = -10;
    unsigned int b = a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a = 10;
    unsigned int b = a;
}
```

---

**ITR-end-cmp-aft (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**  
An iterator is used, then compared with `end()`.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
An iterator is used, then compared with `end()`. Using an iterator requires that it does not point to the end of a container. Subsequently comparing it with `end()` or `rend()` means that it might have been invalid at the point of dereference.

**Coding standards**  
CERT ARR35-CPP  
Do not allow loops to iterate beyond the end of an array or container

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
 Descriptions of checks

#include <vector>

int example(std::vector<int>& vec, 
            std::vector<int>::iterator iter) {

    *iter = 4;  //line 9 asserts that iter may be
    //at the end of vec

    if (iter != vec.end()) {
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <vector>

int example(std::vector<int>& vec, 
            std::vector<int>::iterator iter) {

    if (iter != vec.end()) {
        *iter = 4;
    }
    if (iter != vec.end()) {
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}

ITR-end-cmp-bef (C++ only)
Synopsis
An iterator is compared with end() or rend(), then dereferenced.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium
An iterator is compared with `end()` or `rend()`, then dereferenced. Although it is defined behavior for iterators to have a value of `end()` or `rend()`, dereferencing them at these values is undefined, and will most likely result in illegal memory access, creating a security vulnerability in the code. This error can occur if the programmer accidentally uses the wrong comparison operator, for example `==` instead of `!=`, or if the `then`- and `else`-clauses of an `if` statement have accidentally changed places.

### Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
#include <vector>

int foo(){
    std::vector<int> a(5,6);
    std::vector<int>::iterator i;
    for (i = a.begin(); i != a.end(); ++i){
    }
    *i;  //here, i == a.end()
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
#include <vector>

int foo(){
    std::vector<int> a(5,6);
    std::vector<int>::iterator i;
    *i;
    for (i = a.begin(); i != a.end(); ++i){
        *i;  //OK - i will never be a.end()
    }
}
```

### ITR-invalidated (C++ only)

#### Synopsis
An iterator assigned to point into a container is used or dereferenced even though it might be invalidated.

#### Enabled by default
Yes
An iterator is assigned to point into a container, but later modifications to that container might have invalidated the iterator. The iterator is then used or dereferenced, which might be undefined behavior. Like pointers, iterators must point to a valid memory address to be used. When a container is modified by member functions such as `insert` or `erase`, some iterators might become invalidated and therefore risky to use. Any function that can remove elements, and some functions that add elements, might invalidate iterators. Iterators should be reassigned into a container after modifications are made and before they are used again, to ensure that they all point to a valid part of the container.

**Coding standards**

CERT ARR32-CPP

- Do not use iterators invalidated by container modification

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 672

Operation on a Resource after Expiration or Release

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
#include <vector>

void example(){
    std::vector<int> a(5,6);
    std::vector<int>::iterator i;

    i = a.begin();
    while (i != a.end()){  
        a.erase(i);
        ++i;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <vector>

void example(){
    std::vector<int> a(5,6);
    std::vector<int>::iterator i;

    i = a.begin();
    while (i != a.end()){
        i = a.erase(a.begin());
    }
}
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <vector>
#include <algorithm>

void example(void) {
    std::vector<int> v, w;
    for (int i=0; i != 10; ++i) {
        v.push_back(rand() % 100);
        w.push_back(rand() % 100);
    }
    std::sort(v.begin(), w.end());  //v and w are different containers
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>
#include <vector>
#include <algorithm>

void example(void) {
    std::vector<int> v;
    for (int i=0; i != 10; ++i) {
        v.push_back(rand() % 100);
    }
    std::sort(v.begin(), v.end());  //OK
}

**ITR-store (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**  
A container's `begin()` or `end()` iterator is stored and subsequently used.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
A container's `begin()` or `end()` iterator is stored and subsequently used. If the container is modified, these iterators will become invalidated. This could result in illegal memory access or a crash. Calling `begin()` and `end()` as these iterators are needed in loops and comparisons will ensure that only valid iterators are used.
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
#include <vector>

void increment_all(std::vector<int>& v) {
    std::vector<int>::iterator b = v.begin();
    std::vector<int>::iterator e = v.end();
    // Storing these iterators is dangerous and unnecessary
    for (std::vector<int>::iterator i = b; i != e; ++i){
        ++(*i);
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
#include <vector>

void increment_all(std::vector<int>& v) {
    for (std::vector<int>::iterator i = v.begin();
        i != v.end(); ++i){
        ++(*i);  // OK
    }
}
```

**ITR-uninit (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
An iterator is dereferenced or incremented before it is assigned to point into a container.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
An iterator is dereferenced or incremented before it is assigned to point into a container. This will result in undefined behavior if the path that uses the uninitialized iterator is executed, possibly causing illegal memory access or a crash.
### Descriptions of checks

#### Coding standards

**CERT EXP33-C**

Do not reference uninitialized memory

**CWE 457**

Use of Uninitialized Variable

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c++
#include <map>

void example(std::map<int, int>& m, bool maybe) {
    std::map<int, int>::iterator i;
    *i;  // i is uninitialized
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c++
#include <map>

void example(std::map<int, int>& m) {
    std::map<int, int>::iterator i;
    i=m.begin();  // i is initialized
    *i;
}
```

#### LIB-bsearch-overrun-pos

**Synopsis**

Arguments passed to `bsearch` might cause it to overrun.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

A buffer overrun might be caused by a call to `bsearch`. This is because a buffer length being passed is greater than that of the buffer passed to either function as their first argument

**Coding standards**

CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int *b = malloc(sizeof(int));
    bsearch(b, a, 20, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int *b = malloc(sizeof(int));
    bsearch(b, a, 10, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
## LIB-bsearch-overrun

**Synopsis**
Arguments passed to `bsearch` cause it to overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A buffer overrun is caused by a call to `bsearch`. This is because a buffer length being passed is greater than that of the buffer passed to either function as their first argument.

**Coding standards**

- CWE 676
  - Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
- CWE 122
  - Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 121
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 119
  - Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 805
  - Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int *b = malloc(sizeof(int));
    bsearch(b, a, 20, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int *b = malloc(sizeof(int));
    bsearch(b, a, 10, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

**LIB-fn-unsafe**

**Synopsis**
A potentially unsafe library function is used.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A potentially unsafe library function is used, for which there is a safer alternative. This library function might create vulnerabilities like possible buffer overflow, because it does not check the size of a string before copying it into memory. The problem is that `strcpy()` and `gets()` functions are used. `strncpy()` should be used instead of `strcpy()`, and `fgets()` instead of `gets()`, because they include an additional argument in which the input's maximum allowed length is specified.

**Coding standards**

CWE 242

Use of Inherently Dangerous Function

CWE 252

Unchecked Return Value

CWE 394

Unexpected Status Code or Return Value
CWE 477
Use of Obsolete Functions

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(char* buf1) {
    scanf("%s", buf1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(char* buf1, char* buf2) {
    strncpy(buf1, buf2, 5);
}
```

**LIB-fread-overrun-pos**

Synopsis
A call to `fread` might cause a buffer overrun.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A call to `fread` might cause an overrun due to invalid arguments. `fread` takes an array as its first argument, the size of elements in the array as the second argument, and the number of elements in that array as the third. If \((\text{size} \times \text{count})\) is greater than the allocated size of the array, an overrun will occur.

Coding standards
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int b) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 5;
    } else {
        c = 11;
    }
    fread(a, sizeof(int), c, NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int b) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 10;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    fread(a, sizeof(int), c, NULL);
}
```

**LIB-fread-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to `fread` causes a buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Full description
A call to `fread` causes an overrun due to invalid arguments. `fread` takes an array as its first argument, the size of elements in the array as the second argument, and the number of elements in that array as the third. If \((\text{size} \times \text{count})\) is greater than the allocated size of the array, an overrun will occur.

#### Coding standards
- **CWE 676**
  - Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
- **CWE 122**
  - Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- **CWE 121**
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- **CWE 119**
  - Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- **CWE 805**
  - Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

#### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    fread(a, sizeof(int), 11, NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    fread(a, sizeof(int), 11, NULL);
}
```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    fread(a, sizeof(int), 10, NULL);
}

**LIB-memchr-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**
A call to `memchr` might cause a buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A call to `memchr` might cause a buffer overrun. If `memchr` is called with a size greater than the size of the allocated buffer, it will overrun and might cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**
- CWE 676
  - Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
- CWE 122
  - Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 121
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 119
  - Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 805
  - Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int b) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 21;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    memchr(a, 'a', c);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memchr(a, 'a', 10);
}

## LIB-memchr-overrun

### Synopsis
A call to `memchr` causes a buffer overrun.

### Enabled by default
Yes

### Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

### Full description
A call to `memchr` causes a buffer overrun. If `memchr` is called with a size greater than the size of the allocated buffer, it will overrun and might cause a runtime error.

### Coding standards
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805

Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memchr(a, 'a', 21);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memchr(a, 'a', 10);
}
```

**LIB-memcpy-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**
A call to `memcpy` might cause the memory to overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A call to `memcpy` might cause the memory to overrun at either the destination or the source address.

**Coding standards**

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
Descriptions of checks

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void func(int b)
{
    int *p1;
    int *p2;
    if (b) {
        p1 = malloc(20);
        p2 = malloc(10);
    } else {
        p2 = malloc(20);
        p1 = malloc(10);
    }
    memcpy(p1, p2, 4);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void func()
{
    int size = 10;
    int arr[size];
    int *ptr = malloc(size * sizeof(int));
    memcpy(ptr, arr, size);
}
```

**LIB-memcpy-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to `memcpy` or `memmove` causes the memory to overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A call to `memcpy` or `memmove` causes the memory to overrun at either the destination or the source address.

**Coding standards**
CWE 119  
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer  
CWE 120  
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')  
CWE 121  
Stack-based Buffer Overflow  
CWE 122  
Heap-based Buffer Overflow  
CWE 124  
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')  
CWE 126  
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127  
Buffer Under-read  
CWE 805  
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value  
CWE 676  
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function  

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void func()
{
    int size = 10;
    int arr1[10];
    int arr2[11];
    memcpy(arr2, arr1, sizeof(int) * (size + 1));
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
void func()
{
    int arr[10];
    int * ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    memcpy(ptr, arr, sizeof(int) * 10);
}
```

**LIB-memset-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**
A call to `memcpy` might cause a buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium
A call to `memset` might cause a buffer overrun. If `memset` is called with a size greater than the size of the allocated buffer, it will overrun and might cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**

CWE 676

Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

CWE 122

Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 121

Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805

Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int b) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 21;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    memset(a, 'a', c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int b) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 20;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    memset(a, 'a', c);
}

**LIB-memset-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to `memset` causes a buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A call to `memset` causes a buffer overrun. If `memset` is called with a size greater than the size of the allocated buffer, it will overrun and might cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memset(a, 'a', 21);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memset(a, 'a', 10);
}
```

**LIB-putenv**

**Synopsis**
putenv used to set environment variable values.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
The POSIX function `putenv()` is used to set environment variable values. The `putenv()` function does not create a copy of the string supplied to it as an argument; instead it inserts a pointer to the string into the environment array. If a pointer to a buffer of automatic storage duration is supplied as an argument to `putenv()`, the memory allocated for that buffer might be overwritten when the containing function returns and stack memory is recycled.

**Coding standards**

CERT POS34-C
Do not call `putenv()` with a pointer to an automatic variable as the argument

CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

int func(const char *var) {
    char env[1024];
    int retval = snprintf(env, sizeof(env), "TEST=%s", var);
    if (retval < 0 || (size_t)retval >= sizeof(env)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    return putenv(env); /* BUG: automatic storage is added to the global environment */
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

int func(const char *var) {
    return setenv("TEST", var, 1);
}

**LIB-qsort-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**
Arguments passed to `qsort` might cause it to overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A buffer overrun might be caused by a call to `qsort`. This is because a buffer length being passed is greater than that of the buffer passed to either function as their first argument.

**Coding standards**
CWE 676
- Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
CWE 122
- Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(int b) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 3;
    } else {
        c = 20;
    }
    qsort(a, c, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(int b) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 3;
    } else {
        c = 20;
    }
    qsor(a, c, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```
LIB-qsort-overrun

Synopsis
Arguments passed to qsort cause it to overrun.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
A buffer overrun is caused by a call to qsort. This is because a buffer length being passed is greater than that of the buffer passed to either function as their first argument.

Coding standards
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    qsort(a, 11, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    qsort(a, 3, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

**LIB-return-const**

**Synopsis**
The return value of a `const` standard library function is not used.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
The return value of a `const` standard library function is not used. Because this function is defined as `const`, the call itself has no side effects; the only yield is the return value.
If this return value is not used, the function call is redundant. These functions are inspected: `memchr()`, `strchr()`, `strpbrk()`, `strrchr()`, `strtok()`, `gmtime()`, `getenv()`, and `bsearch()`. Discarding the return values of these functions is harmless but might indicate a misunderstanding of the application logic or purpose.

### Coding standards

**CERT EXP12-C**

Do not ignore values returned by functions

**CWE 252**

Unchecked Return Value

**CWE 394**

Unexpected Status Code or Return Value

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    strchr("Hello", 'h');  // No effect
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    char* c = strchr("Hello", 'h');  // OK
}
```

### LIB-return-error

**Synopsis**

The return value for a library function that might return an error value is not used.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium
The return value for a library function that might return an error value is not used. Because this function might fail, the programmer should inspect the return value to find any error values, to avoid a crash or unexpected behavior. These functions are inspected: `malloc()`, `calloc()`, `realloc()`, and `mktime()`. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.10, MISRAC++2008-0-3-2.

**Coding standards**

CWE 252

Unchecked Return Value

CWE 394

Unexpected Status Code or Return Value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    malloc(sizeof(int)); // This function could fail, // and the return value is // not checked
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x = malloc(sizeof(int)); // OK - return value // is stored
}
```

**LIB-return-leak**

**Synopsis**

The return values from one or more library functions were not stored, returned, or passed as a parameter.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High

**Full description**

The return values from one or more library functions were not stored, returned, or passed as a parameter. If any of these functions return a pointer to newly allocated
memory, and the return value is discarded, the memory is inaccessible and thus leaked. These functions are inspected: `malloc()`, `calloc()`, and `realloc()`.

**Coding standards**

CERT MEM31-C

Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

CWE 252

Unchecked Return Value

CWE 394

Unexpected Status Code or Return Value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    malloc(1); // the return value of malloc is not // stored
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int* x = malloc(1); // OK - the return value of // malloc is being stored in x
}
```

**LIB-return-neg**

**Synopsis**

A variable assigned using a library function that can return -1 as an error value is subsequently used where the value must be non-negative.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium
A variable assigned using a library function which can return -1 as an error value is subsequently used as a subscript or a size, both of which require the value to be non-negative. This might cause a crash or unpredictable behavior. These functions are inspected: ftell(), clock(), time(), mktime(), fprintf(), printf(), sprintf(), vfprintf(), vprintf(), vsprintf(), mblen(), mbstowcs(), mbstowc(), mbstombs(), and wctomb().

Coding standards

CERT FIO04-C
Detect and handle input and output errors
CWE 252
Unchecked Return Value
CWE 394
Unexpected Status Code or Return Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <time.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    time_t time = clock();
    int *block = malloc(time); // time is used in a
    // situation requiring it to be non-
    // negative, but clock() may return -1
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <time.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    time_t time = clock();
    if (time>0){
        int *block = malloc(time); // OK - time is checked
    }
}
```

LIB-return-null

Synopsis

A pointer is assigned using a library function that can return NULL as an error value. This pointer is subsequently dereferenced without checking its value.
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabled by default</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**
A pointer is assigned using a library function that can return NULL as an error value. This pointer is subsequently dereferenced without checking its value, which might lead to a NULL dereference. Not inspecting the return value of any function returning a pointer before dereferencing it, might cause a crash. These functions are inspected: `malloc()`, `calloc()`, `realloc()`, `memchr()`, `strchr()`, `strrchr()`, `strchr()`, `strrchr()`, `strstr()`, `strtok()`, `gmtime()`, `getenv()`, and `bsearch()`.

**Coding standards**
- CERT FIO04-C
  - Detect and handle input and output errors
- CWE 252
  - Unchecked Return Value
- CWE 394
  - Unexpected Status Code or Return Value
- CWE 690
  - Unchecked Return Value to NULL Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <string.h>
void example(char c) {
    char* cp = strchr("Hello", c);
    printf("%c\n", *cp); // cp is dereferenced unconventionally, but may be NULL
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <string.h>

void example(char c) {
    char* cp = strchr("Hello", c);
    if (cp){
        printf("%c\n", *cp); // OK - cp checked against NULL
    }
}
```

**LIB-sprintf-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to `sprintf` causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
A call to the `sprintf` function causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR31-C
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>
char buf[5];

void example(void) {
    sprintf(buf, "Hello World!\n");
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>
char buf[14];

void example(void) {
    sprintf(buf, "Hello World!\n");
}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIB-std-sort-overrun-pos (C++ only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**
Using `std::sort` might cause a buffer overrun. `std::sort` can take a pointer to an array and a pointer to the end of the array as arguments, but if the pointer to the end of the array actually points beyond the end of the array being sorted, a buffer overrun might occur.

**Coding standards**
- CWE 676
  - Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
- CWE 122
  - Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 121
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
#include <algorithm>

void example(void) {
    int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
    std::sort(a, a+11);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```cpp
#include <algorithm>

void example(void) {
    int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
    std::sort(a, a+5);
}
```

LIB-std-sort-overrun (C++ only)

Synopsis
A buffer overrun is caused by use of `std::sort`.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A buffer overrun is caused by use of `std::sort`. `std::sort` can take a pointer to an array and a pointer to the end of the array as arguments, but if the pointer to the end of the array actually points beyond the end of the array being sorted, a buffer overrun will occur.

Coding standards
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <algorithm>
void example(void) {
    int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
    std::sort(a, a+11);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <algorithm>
void example(void) {
    int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
    std::sort(a, a+5);
}
```

**LIB-strcat-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**
A call to `strcat` might cause destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A call to the `strcat` function might cause a destination buffer overrun. This check is identical to CERT-STR31-C_d.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
  char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
  char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
  strcpy(str2, "") ;
  strcat(str2, str1) ;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
  char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
  char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
  strcpy(str2, "") ;
  strcat(str2, str1) ;
}
```

**LIB-strcat-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to `strcat` causes a destination buffer overrun.
Descriptions of checks

Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/High

Full description: A call to the `strcat` function causes a destination buffer overrun.

Coding standards:
- CERT STR31-C: Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator
- CWE 119: Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120: Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input (‘Classic Buffer Overflow’)
- CWE 121: Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 122: Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 676: Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,"");
    strcat(str2,str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2, "");
    strcat(str2, str1);
}

LIB-strcpy-overrun-pos

Synopsis
A call to strcpy might cause destination buffer overrun.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A call to the strcpy function might cause a destination buffer overrun. This check is identical to CERT-STR31-C_e.

Coding standards
CERT STR31-C
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126

Buffer Over-read

CWE 127

Buffer Under-read

CWE 676

Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2,str1);
}
```

**LIB-strcpy-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to `strcpy` causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>A call to the <code>strcpy</code> function causes a destination buffer overrun.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coding standards   | CERT STR31-C  
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator  
CWE 119  
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer  
CWE 120  
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')  
CWE 121  
Stack-based Buffer Overflow  
CWE 122  
Heap-based Buffer Overflow  
CWE 124  
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')  
CWE 126  
Buffer Over-read  
CWE 127  
Buffer Under-read  
CWE 676  
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function |
| Code examples      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning: |
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2,str1);
}
```

**LIB-strncat-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**  
A call to `strncat` might cause a destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
Calling `strncat` with a destination buffer that is too small will cause a buffer overrun. `strncat` takes a destination buffer as its first argument. If the remaining space of this buffer is smaller than the number of characters to append, as determined by the position of the null terminator in the source buffer or the size passed as the third argument to `strncat`, an overflow might occur resulting in undefined behavior and runtime errors.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 676

Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 121

Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 805

Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 10;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strncat(a, b, c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 10;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strncat(a, b, c);
}
```
### LIB-strncat-overrun

**Synopsis**
A call to `strncat` causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
Calling `strncat` with a destination buffer that is too small will cause a buffer overrun. `strncat` takes a destination buffer as its first argument. If the remaining space of this buffer is smaller than the number of characters to append, as determined by the position of the null terminator in the source buffer or the size passed as the third argument to `strncat`, an overflow might occur resulting in undefined behavior and runtime errors.

**Coding standards**
CWE 676
- Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

CWE 122
- Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 121
- Stack-based Buffer Overflow

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 2;
    } else {
        c = 3;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strncat(b, a, c);
}
```
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char)*9);
    strcpy(a, "hello");
    strncat(a, "world", 6);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char)*11);
    strcpy(a, "hello");
    strncat(a, "world", 6);
}
```

LIB-strncmp-overrun-pos

Synopsis
A call to `strncmp` might cause a buffer overrun.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
An incorrect string length passed to `strncmp` might cause a buffer overrun. `strncmp` limits the number of characters it compares to the number passed as its third argument, to prevent buffer overruns with non-null-terminated strings. However, if a number is
Descriptions of checks

passed that is larger than the length of the two strings, and neither string is null-terminated, it will overrun. This check is identical to CERT-STR31-C_g.

Coding standards

CWE 676

Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

CWE 122

Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 121

Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805

Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(int d) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 20;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strncmp(a, b, c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(int d) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 8;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
   strncmp(a, b, c);
}

LIB-strncmp-overrun

Synopsis
A buffer overrun is caused by a call to strncmp.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
A buffer overrun is caused by passing an incorrect string length to strncmp. strncmp limits the number of characters it compares to the number passed as its third argument, to prevent buffer overruns with non-null-terminated strings. However, if a number is passed that is larger than the length of the two strings, and neither string is null-terminated, it will overrun.

Coding standards
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805

Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    strncmp(a, b, 20);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    strncmp(a, b, 5);
}
```

**LIB-strncpy-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**
A call to `strncpy` might cause a destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A call to `strncpy` might cause a destination buffer overrun. This check is identical to CERT-STR31-C.h.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR31-C
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strncpy(str2,str1,14);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strncpy(str2, str1, 14);
}

**LIB-strncpy-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to `strncpy` causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
A call to `strncpy` causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR31-C

- Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
- Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
- Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
- Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
- Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
- Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strncpy(str2,str1,14);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strncpy(str2, str1, 14);
}
```

**LOGIC-overload (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Overloaded && and || operators

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low
There are overloaded versions of the comma and logical conjunction operators with the semantics of function calls, whose sequence point and ordering semantics are different from those of the built-in versions. It might not be clear at the point of use that these operators are overloaded, and which semantics that apply. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-2-11_a.

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C{
    bool x;
    bool operator||(bool other);
};

bool C::operator||(bool other){
    return x || other;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class C{
    int x;
    int operator+(int other);
};

int C::operator+(int other){
    return x + other;
}
```
A memory location is allocated with the `new` operator but deleted with the `delete []` operator. Use the `delete` operator instead.

**CWE 762**
Mismatched Memory Management Routines

**CWE 763**
Release of Invalid Pointer or Reference

**CWE 404**
Improper Resource Shutdown or Release

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int *p = new int;
    delete[] p;  //should be delete, not delete[]
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int *p = new int;
    delete p;
    return 0;
}
```

**MEM-delete-op (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
A memory location allocated with `new []` is deleted with `delete` or `free`.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High
Full description

A memory location allocated with the `new []` operator is deleted with the `delete` operator. Use the `delete []` operator instead. The consequence of using `delete` is that only the array element directly pointed to will be deallocated, as if it were allocated with the singular `new` operator. This will most likely cause a memory leak. If `free` is used the resulting behavior will be undefined, because there is no guarantee that `new` invokes `malloc`.

Coding standards

CWE 762
Mismatched Memory Management Routines

CWE 763
Release of Invalid Pointer or Reference

CWE 404
Improper Resource Shutdown or Release

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int *p = new int[10];
    delete p; // should be delete[]
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int *p = new int[10];
    delete [] p;
    return 0;
}
```

**MEM-double-free-alias**

**Synopsis**

Freeing a memory location more than once.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
An attempt is made to free a memory location after it has already been freed. This will most likely cause an application crash. Unlike MEM-double-free, MEM-double-free-alias examines the location that pointers point to instead of the pointers themselves. You might see reports for code that looks like this (example of a linked list where each node has a pointer to an element, elem): for (; list != NULL; list = list->next) { free(list->elem); } The warning is issued because there is no guarantee that each list node's elem field is the same.

Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

A memory location is freed more than once on some paths but not on others.
Descriptions of checks

**Severity/Certainty**: Medium/Medium

**Full description**: There is a path through the code where a memory location is attempted to be freed after it has already been freed earlier. This will most likely cause an application crash on this path. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_b.

**Coding standards**

- CERT MEM31-C
  - Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once
- CWE 415
  - Double Free

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void)
{
  int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
  free(ptr);
  if(rand() % 2 == 0)
  {
    free(ptr);
  }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void)
{
  int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
  if(rand() % 2 == 0)
  {
    free(ptr);
  }
  else
  {
    free(ptr);
  }
}
MEM-double-free

Synopsis
A memory location is freed more than once.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
An attempt is made to free a memory location after it has already been freed. This will most likely cause an application crash. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2.a.

Coding standards
CERT MEM31-C
Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

CWE 415
Double Free

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```
#include <stdlib.h>
void f(int *p) {
    free(p);
    if(p) free(p);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    int *p=malloc(4);
    free(p);
}
```

MEM-free-field

Synopsis
A struct or a class field is possibly freed.
**Descriptions of checks**

**Enabled by default**  Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  High/High

**Full description**  A struct or a class field is possibly freed. Fields are located in the middle of memory objects and thus cannot be freed. Additionally, erroneously using `free()` on fields might corrupt `stdlib`'s memory bookkeeping, affecting heap memory. This check is identical to CERT-MEM34-C_b.

**Coding standards**  CERT MEM34-C

- Only free memory allocated dynamically

CWE 590

- Free of Memory not on the Heap

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct C{
    int x;
};

int foo(struct C c) {
    int *p = &c.x;
    free(p);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct C{
    int *x;
};

int foo(struct C *c) {
    int *p = c->x;
    free(p);
}
```
MEM-free-fptr

Synopsis
A function pointer is deallocated.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A function pointer is deallocated. Function pointers are not dynamically allocated, and should thus not be deallocated. Freeing a function pointer will result in undefined behavior.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int id(int a) {
    return a;
}

void example(void) {
    int (*f)(int);
    f = &id;
    free((void *)f);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int id(int a) {
    return a;
}

void example(void) {
    int (*f)(int);
    f = &id;
}
```
### MEM-free-no-alloc-struct

**Synopsis**  
A struct field is deallocated without first having been allocated.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
A struct field is deallocated without first having been allocated. This might cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 590  
Free of Memory not on the Heap

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct test {
    int *a;
};

void example(void) {
    struct test t;
    free(t.a);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct test {
    int *a;
};

void example(void) {
    struct test t;
    t.a = malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(t.a);
}
```
**MEM-free-no-alloc**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is freed without having been allocated.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A pointer is freed without having been allocated.

**Coding standards**
CWE 590
Free of Memory not on the Heap

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *p;
    // Do stuff
    free(p);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
    // Do something
    free(p);
}
```

---

**MEM-free-no-use**

**Synopsis**
Memory is allocated and then freed without being used.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty  Medium/Medium

Full description  Memory is allocated and then freed without being used. This is probably unintentional and might indicate a copy-paste error.

Coding standards  This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
  int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
  free(p);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int * foo() { 
  return (int *) 0xF0000000;
}
void example(void) {
  int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
  *p = 1;
  free(p);
  p = foo();
  free(p);
}
```

**MEM-free-op**

Synopsis  Memory allocated with `malloc` deallocated using `delete`.

Enabled by default  Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>Memory allocated with <code>malloc()</code> or <code>calloc()</code> is deallocated using one of the <code>delete</code> operators instead of <code>free()</code>. This might cause a memory leak, or affect other heap memory due to corruption of <code>stdlib</code>'s memory bookkeeping.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coding standards   | CWE 404
Improper Resource Shutdown or Release
CWE 762
Mismatched Memory Management Routines
CWE 590
Free of Memory not on the Heap |
| Code examples      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void f() {
    void *p = malloc(200);
    delete p;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void f() {
    void *p = malloc(200);
    free(p);
}
```

**MEM-free-struct-field**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>A struct's field is deallocated, but is not dynamically allocated.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A struct's field is deallocated, but is not dynamically allocated. Regardless of whether a struct is allocated on the stack or on the heap, all non-dynamically allocated fields will be deallocated when the struct itself is deallocated (either through going out of scope or calling a function like `free()`). Explicitly freeing such fields might cause a crash, or corrupt surrounding memory. Incorrect use of `free()` might also corrupt stdlib's memory bookkeeping, affecting heap memory allocation.

Coding standards
CWE 590
Free of Memory not on the Heap

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
struct test {
    int a[10];
};

void example(void) {
    struct test t;
    free(t.a);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
struct test {
    int *a;
};

void example(void) {
    struct test t;
    free(t.a);
}
```
MEM-free-variable-alias

Synopsis  
A stack address might be freed.

Enabled by default  
Yes

Severity/Certainty  
High/High

Full description  
A stack address might be freed. Stack variables are automatically deallocated when they go out of scope. Consequently, explicitly freeing them might cause a crash or corrupt the surrounding stack data. Erroneously using `free()` on stack memory might also corrupt `stdlib`'s memory bookkeeping, affecting heap memory.

Coding standards  
CERT MEM34-C

Only free memory allocated dynamically

CWE 590

Free of Memory not on the Heap

Code examples  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void){
  int x=0;
  free(&x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
  int *p;
  p = (int *)malloc(sizeof( int));
  free(p);
}
```

MEM-free-variable

Synopsis  
A stack address might be freed.

Enabled by default  
Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**
A stack address might be freed. Stack variables are automatically deallocated when they go out of scope. Consequently, explicitly freeing them might cause a crash or corrupt the surrounding stack data. Erroneously using `free()` on stack memory might also corrupt `stdlib`'s memory bookkeeping, affecting heap memory. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_c, CERT-MEM34-C_a.

**Coding standards**
- CERT MEM34-C
  - Only free memory allocated dynamically
- CWE 590
  - Free of Memory not on the Heap

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int x=0;
    free(&x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    p = (int *)malloc(sizeof( int));
    free(p);
}
```

---

**MEM-leak-alias**

**Synopsis**
Incorrect deallocation causes memory leak.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: Memory is allocated, but then the pointer value is lost due to reassignment or its scope ending, without a guarantee of the value being propagated or the memory being freed. There must be no possible execution path during which the value is not freed, returned, or passed into another function as an argument, before it is lost. This is a memory leak. Note: If alias analysis is disabled, you must enable the non-alias version of this check, MEM-leak.

Coding standards: CERT MEM31-C
- Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

CWE 401
- Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

CWE 772
- Missing Release of Resource after Effective Lifetime

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = NULL; //losing reference to the allocated memory
    free(ptr);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (rand() < 5) {
        free(ptr);
    } else {
        free(ptr);
    }
    return 0;
}

MEM-leak

Synopsis
Incorrect deallocation causes memory leak.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/Low

Full description
Memory is allocated, but then the pointer value is lost due to reassignment or its scope ending, without a guarantee of the value being propagated or the memory being freed. There must be no possible execution path during which the value is not freed, returned, or passed into another function as an argument, before it is lost. This is a memory leak. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_a, SEC-BUFFER-memory-leak, CERT-MEM31-C.

Coding standards
CERT MEM31-C
  Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

CWE 401
  Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

CWE 772
  Missing Release of Resource after Effective Lifetime

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = NULL; // losing reference to the allocated memory
    free(ptr);
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (rand() < 5) {
        free(ptr);
    } else {
        free(ptr);
    }
    return 0;
}

**MEM-malloc-arith**

**Synopsis**
An assignment contains both a `malloc()` and pointer arithmetic on the right-hand side.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
An assignment contains both a `malloc()` and pointer arithmetic on the right-hand side. If this is unintentional, the start of the allocated memory block might be lost, and a buffer overflow is possible.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    p = (int *)malloc(255) + 10;  // pointer arithmetic
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    p = (int *)malloc(255);
    return 0;
}
```

### MEM-malloc-diff-type

**Synopsis**
An allocation call tries to allocate memory based on a `sizeof` operator, but the destination type of the call is of a different type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
This might be an error, and will result in an allocated memory chunk that does not match the destination pointer or array. This might easily result in an invalid memory dereference, and crash the application.

**Coding standards**
CERT MEM35-C
- Allocate sufficient memory for an object

CWE 131
Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int* foo(){
    return malloc(sizeof(char)*10);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
char* foo(){
    return malloc(sizeof(char)*10);
}
```

**MEM-malloc-sizeof-ptr**

**Synopsis**
`malloc(sizeof(p))`, where `p` is a pointer type, is assigned to a non-pointer variable.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
The argument given to `malloc()` is the size of a pointer, but the use of the return address does not suggest a double-indirection pointer. Allocating memory to an `int*`, for example, should use `sizeof(int)` rather than `sizeof(int*)`. Otherwise, the memory allocated might be smaller than expected, potentially leading to an application crash or corruption of other heap memory. This check is identical to CERT-MEM35-C_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP01-C
Do not take the size of a pointer to determine the size of the pointed-to type
CERT ARR01-C
Do not apply the sizeof operator to a pointer when taking the size of an array

CWE 467

Use of sizeof() on a Pointer Type

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *p = (int*)malloc(sizeof(p)); //sizeof pointer
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *p = (int*)malloc(sizeof(*p));
}
```

MEM-malloc-sizeof

Synopsis

Allocating memory with `malloc` without using `sizeof`.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

Full description

Memory was allocated with `malloc()` but the `sizeof` operator might not have been used. Using `sizeof` when allocating memory avoids any machine variations in the sizes of data types, and consequently avoids under-allocating. To pass this check, assign the address of the allocated memory to a `char` pointer, because `sizeof(char)` always returns 1.

Coding standards

CERT MEM35-C

Allocate sufficient memory for an object

CWE 131

Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x = malloc(4);  //no sizeof in malloc call
    free(x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x = malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
}
```

**MEM-malloc-strlen**

**Synopsis**

Dangerous arithmetic with `strlen` in argument to `malloc`.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

Dangerous arithmetic with `strlen` in an argument to `malloc`. It is usual to allocate a new string using `malloc(strlen(s)+1)`, to allow for the null terminator. However, it is easy to type `malloc(strlen(s+1))` by mistake, leading to `strlen` returning a length one less than the length of `s`, or if `s` is empty, exhibit undefined behavior.

**Coding standards**

CWE 131

Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
MEM-realloc-diff-type

Synopsis
The type of the pointer that stores the result of realloc does not match the type of the first argument.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
The type of the pointer that stores the result of realloc does not match the type of the first argument. Subsequent accesses to this memory might be misaligned and cause a runtime error. This check is identical to CERT-MEM35-C_c.

Coding standards
CWE 131
Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *a, int new_size) {
    unsigned int *b;
    b = realloc(a, sizeof(int) * new_size);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *a, int new_size) {
    int *b;
    b = realloc(a, sizeof(int) * new_size);
}
```

### MEM-return-free

**Synopsis**
A function deallocates memory, then returns a pointer to that memory.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A function deallocates memory, then returns a pointer to that memory. If the callee of this function attempts to dereference the returned pointer, this will cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**
CWE 416
Use After Free

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int *example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(a);
    return a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MEM-return-no-assign

Synopsis
A function that allocates memory's return value is not stored.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A function that allocates a memory's return value is not stored. Not storing the returned memory means that this memory cannot be tracked, and therefore deallocated. This will result in a memory leak.

Coding standards
CWE 401
Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int *example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int));
    return a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int *allocating_fn(void) {
    return malloc(sizeof(int));
}

void example(void) {
    allocating_fn();
}
```
#include <stdlib.h>

int *allocating_fn(void) {
    return malloc(sizeof(int));
}

void example(void) {
    int *p = allocating_fn();
}

MEM-stack-global-field

Synopsis
A stack address is stored in the field of a global struct.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
The address of a variable in stack memory is being stored in a global struct. When the relevant scope or function ends, the memory will become unused, and the externally stored address will point to junk data. This is particularly dangerous because the application might appear to run normally, when it is in fact accessing illegal memory. This might also lead to an application crash, or data changing unpredictably. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_b, MISRAC2004-17.6_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_c, CERT-DCL30-C_d.

Coding standards
CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
A stack address is stored in a global pointer.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: The address of a variable in stack memory is being stored in a global variable. When the relevant scope or function ends, the memory will become unused, and the externally stored address will point to junk data. This is particularly dangerous because the application might appear to run normally, when it is in fact accessing illegal memory. This might also lead to an application crash, or data changing unpredictably. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_a, MISRAC2004-17.6_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_b, CERT-DCL30-C_c.

Coding standards: CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *px;
void example() {
    int i = 0;
    px = &i; // assigning the address of stack variable a to the global px
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *pz) {
    int x; int *px = &x;
    int *py = px; /* local variable */
    pz = px; /* parameter */
}
```

MEM-stack-param-ref (C++ only)

Synopsis
Stack address is stored via reference parameter.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
A stack address is stored outside a function via a parameter of reference type. The address of a local stack variable is assigned to a reference argument of its function. When the function ends, this memory address will become invalid. This is particularly dangerous because the application might appear to run normally, when it is in fact accessing illegal memory. This might also lead to an application crash, or data changing unpredictably. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7.5.2_d.

Coding standards
CERT DCL30-C

Declare objects with appropriate storage durations
CWE 466
Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int *&pxx) {
    int x;
    pxx = &x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *p, int *&q) {
    int x;
    int *px= &x;
    p = px; // ok, pointer
    q = p; // ok, not local
}
```

**MEM-stack-param**

**Synopsis**
A stack address is stored outside a function via a parameter.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
The address of a local stack variable is assigned to a location supplied by the caller via a parameter. When the function ends, this memory address will become invalid. This is particularly dangerous because the application might appear to run normally, when it is in fact accessing illegal memory. This might also lead to an application crash, or data changing unpredictably. Note that this check looks for any expression referring to the store located by the parameter, so the assignment `local[*parameter] = & local;` will trigger the check despite being OK. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_c, MISRAC2004-17.6_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_d, CERT-DCL30-C_e.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL30-C

Declare objects with appropriate storage durations
CWE 466
Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int **ppx) {
    int x;
    ppx[0] = &x;  // local address
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
static int y = 0;
void example3(int **ppx){
    *ppx = &y;  // OK - static address
}
```

MEM-stack-pos

Synopsis  Might return address on the stack.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  High/High

Full description  A local variable is defined in stack memory, then its address is potentially returned from the function. When the function exits, its stackframe will be considered illegal memory, and thus the address returned might be dangerous. This code and subsequent memory accesses might appear to work, but the operations are illegal and an application crash, or memory corruption, is very likely. To correct this problem, consider returning a copy of the object, using a global variable, or dynamically allocating memory. This check is identical to CERT-DCL30-C_b.

Coding standards  CERT DCL30-C

- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 562
Return of Stack Variable Address
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *example(int *a) {
    int i;
    int *p;
    if (a) {
        p = a;
    } else {
        p = &i;
    }
    return p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int g;
int *example(int *a) {
    int i;
    int *p;
    if (a) {
        p = a;
    } else {
        p = &g;
    }
    return p;
}
```

**MEM-stack-ref (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
A stack object is returned from a function as a reference.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
A local variable is defined in stack memory, then it is returned from the function as a reference. When the function exits, its stackframe will be considered illegal memory, and thus the return value of the function will refer to an object that no longer exists. Operations on the return value are illegal and an application crash, or memory corruption, is very likely. A safe alternative is for the function to return a copy of the object. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_a.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards

CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 562
Return of Stack Variable Address

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int& example(void) {
    int x;
    return x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x;
    return x;
}
```

MEM-stack

Synopsis
Might return address on the stack.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
A local variable is defined in stack memory, then its address is potentially returned from the function. When the function exits, its stack frame will be considered illegal memory, and thus the address returned might be dangerous. This code and subsequent memory accesses might appear to work, but the operations are illegal and an application crash, or memory corruption, is very likely. To correct this problem, consider returning a copy of the object, using a global variable, or dynamically allocating memory. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7.5-1_b, MISRAC2004-17.6_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_a, CERT-DCL30-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations
CWE 562
Return of Stack Variable Address

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *example(void) {
    int a[20];
    return a;  //a is a local array
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int* example(void) {
    int *p,i;
    p = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    return p;  //OK - p is dynamically allocated
}
```

MEM-use-free-all

Synopsis
A pointer is used after it has been freed.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Memory is being accessed after it has been deallocated. The application might appear to run normally, but the operation is illegal. The most likely result is a crash, but the application might keep running with erroneous or corrupt data. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_o, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-all, CERT-MEM30-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT MEM30-C
Do not access freed memory

CWE 416
Use After Free
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    *x++;  // x is dereferenced after it is freed
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;  // OK - x is reallocated
}
```

**MEM-use-free-some**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is used after it has been freed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
A pointer is used after it has been freed. This might cause data corruption or an application crash. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_e, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_p, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-some, CERT-MEM30-C_b.

**Coding standards**

CERT MEM30-C

Do not access freed memory

CWE 416

Use After Free
Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    if (rand()) {
        x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    } else {
        /* x not reallocated along this path */
    }
    (*x)++;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *(x++)
}
```

**PTR-arith-field**

**Synopsis**
Direct access to a field of a struct, using an offset from the address of the struct.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
A field of a struct is accessed directly, using an offset from the address of the struct. Because a struct might in some cases be padded to maintain proper alignment of its fields, it can be very dangerous to access fields using only an offset from the address of the struct itself. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.1.a.
**Coding standards**

CERT ARR37-C

Do not add or subtract an integer to a pointer to a non-array object

CWE 188

Reliance on Data/Memory Layout

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct S{
    char c;
    int x;
};

void main(void) {
    struct S s;
    *(&s.c+1) = 10;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct S{
    char c;
    int x;
};

void example(void) {
    struct S s;
    s.x = 10;
}
```

**PTR-arith-stack**

**Synopsis**

Pointer arithmetic applied to a pointer that references a stack address

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/High

**Full description**

A pointer is assigned a stack-based address and then used in pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.1_b, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_a.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards  

CWE 120  
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

Code examples  

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int *p = &i;
    p++;
    *p = 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int *p = &i;
    *p = 0;
}
```

PTR-arith-var  

Synopsis  
Invalid pointer arithmetic with an automatic variable that is neither an array nor a pointer.

Enabled by default  
Yes

Severity/Certainty  
Medium/High

Full description  
The address of an automatic variable is taken, and arithmetic is performed on it. This should be avoided, because memory beyond the memory that was allocated for an automatic variable is invalid, and attempting to access it can lead to an application crash. This check handles local variables, parameters and globals, including structs. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.1_c, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_b.

Coding standards  

CWE 120  
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

Code examples  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(int x) {
    *(x+10) = 5;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *x) {
    *(x+10) = 5;
}
```

**PTR-cmp-str-lit**

**Synopsis**
A variable is tested for equality with a string literal.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
A variable is tested for equality with a string literal. This compares the variable with the address of the literal, which is probably not the intended behavior. It is more likely that the intent is to compare the contents of strings at different addresses, for example with the `strcmp()` function.

**Coding standards**
CWE 597
Use of Wrong Operator in String Comparison

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main (void) {
    char *p = "String";
    if (p == "String") {
        printf("They're equal.\n");
    }
    return 0;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main (void) {
  char *p = "String";

  //OK - using string comparison function
  if (strcmp(p,"String") == 0) {
    printf("They're equal.\n");
  }

  return 0;
}
```

**PTR-null-assign-fun-pos**

**Synopsis**  
Possible NULL pointer dereferenced by a function.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/Medium

**Full description**  
A pointer variable is assigned NULL, either directly or as the result of a function call that can return NULL. This pointer is then dereferenced, either directly, or by being passed to a function that might dereference it without checking its value. This will cause an application crash. This check is identical to CERT-EXP34-C_b.

**Coding standards**  
CERT EXP34-C
- Do not dereference null pointers

CWE 476
- NULL Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#define NULL ((void*) 0)
void * malloc(unsigned long);

int * xmalloc(int size){
    int * res = malloc(sizeof(int)*size);
    if (res != NULL)
        return res;
    else
        return NULL;
}

void zeroout(int *xp, int i)
{
    xp[i] = 0;
}

int foo() {
    int * x;
    int i;
    x = xmalloc(45);
    // if (x)
    //   return -1;
    for(i = 0; i < 45; i++)
        zeroout(x, i);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
#define NULL ((void*) 0)
void * malloc(unsigned long);

int * xmalloc(int size){
    int * res = malloc(sizeof(int)*size);
    if (res != NULL)
        return res;
    else
        return NULL;
}

void zeroout(int *xp, int i)
{
    xp[i] = 0;
}

int foo() {
    int * x;
    int i;
    x = xmalloc(45);
    if (x == NULL)
        return -1;
    else {
        for(i = 0; i < 45; i++)
            zeroout(x, i);
    }
}

**PTR-null-assign-pos**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is assigned a value that might be NULL, and then dereferenced.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
A pointer is assigned a value that might be NULL, and then dereferenced. Often the source of the potential NULL pointer is a memory allocation function like malloc(), or a sentinel value provided in a user function. This check is identical to CERT-EXP34-C_c.
Coding standards

CERT EXP34-C

Do not dereference null pointers

CWE 476

NULL Pointer Dereference

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

char *getenv(const char *name)
{
    return strcmp(name, "HOME") == 0 ? "/" : NULL;
}

int ex(void)
{
    char *p = getenv("USER");
    return *p;  // p might be NULL
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void)
{
    int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (p != 0) {
        *p = 4;
    }
    return (int)p;
}
```

**PTR-null-assign**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is assigned the value NULL, then dereferenced.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High
A pointer is assigned the value `NULL`, then dereferenced. Assigning the pointer the value `NULL` might have been intentional to indicate that the pointer is no longer being used, but it is an error to subsequently dereference it, and will cause an application crash. This check is identical to CERT-EXP34-C.d.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP34-C  
Do not dereference null pointers  

CWE 476  
NULL Pointer Dereference  

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *p;
    p = NULL;
    return *p;  //dereference after assignment to NULL
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *p;
    p = NULL;
    p = (int *)1;
    return *p;
}
```

**PTR-null-cmp-aft**

**Synopsis**

A pointer is dereferenced, then compared with `NULL`.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium
Full description
A pointer is dereferenced, then compared with NULL. Dereferencing a pointer implicitly asserts that it is not NULL. Comparing it with NULL after this suggests that it might have been NULL when it was dereferenced. This check is identical to CERT-EXP34-C.e.

Coding standards
CERT EXP34-C
Do not dereference null pointers

CWE 476
NULL Pointer Dereference

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  //line 8 asserts that p may be NULL
    if (p != NULL) {
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *p) {
    if (p == NULL) {
        return;
    }
    *p = 4;
}
```

PTR-null-cmp-bef-fun

Synopsis
A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced by a function.

Enabled by default
Yes
A pointer is compared with `NULL`, then passed as an argument to a function that might dereference it. This might occur if the wrong comparison operator is used, for example if `==` instead of `!=`, or if the then- and else- clauses of an if-statement are accidentally swapped. If the function does dereference the pointer, the application will crash. If it does not, the argument is unneeded. This check is identical to CERT-EXP34-C_f.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP34-C

*Do not dereference null pointers*

CWE 476

*NULL Pointer Dereference*

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x) {
    *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (*x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x) {
    *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (*x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(x);
}
```
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL)
        *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(x);
}

## PTR-null-cmp-bef

**Synopsis**
A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced. This might occur if the wrong comparison operator is used, for example if `==` instead of `!=`, or if the then- and else-clauses of an if-statement are accidentally swapped. If the condition is evaluated and found to be true, the application will crash. This check is identical to CERT-EXP34-C_g.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP34-C
Do not dereference null pointers

CWE 476
NULL Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    if (p == NULL) {
        *p = 4;  //dereference after comparison with NULL
    }
    return 1;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    if (p != NULL) {
        *p = 4;  //OK - after comparison with non-NULL
    }
    return 1;
}

PTR-null-fun-pos

Synopsis

A possible NULL pointer is returned from a function, and immediately dereferenced without checking.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

High/Medium

Full description

A pointer that might be NULL is returned from a function, and immediately dereferenced without checking.

Coding standards

CERT EXP34-C

Do not dereference null pointers

CWE 476

NULL Pointer Dereference
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

char *getenv(const char *name)
{
    return strcmp(name, "HOME") == 0 ? "/" : NULL;
}

int ex(void)
{
    return *getenv("USER"); // getenv() might return NULL
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void)
{
    int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (p != 0) {
        *p = 4;
    }
    return (int)p;
}
```

**PTR-null-literal-pos**

**Synopsis**
A literal pointer expression (like `NULL`) is dereferenced by a function call.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A literal pointer expression (for example `NULL`) is passed as argument to a function that might dereference it. Pointer values are generally only useful if acquired at runtime, and thus dereferencing a literal address is usually unintentional, resulting in corrupted memory or an application crash.

**Coding standards**
CWE 476
NULL Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

extern int sometimes;

int bar(int *x){
    if (sometimes)
        *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    bar(NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x){
    if (x != NULL)
        *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(x);
}
```

**PTR-overload (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**

An & operator is overloaded.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Low
### C-STAT checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>The address of an object of incomplete type is taken. Because the complete type contains a user-declared <code>&amp;</code> operator, this leads to undefined behavior.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Code examples    | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
```cpp
class C{
    bool x;
    bool* operator&();
};

bool* C::operator&(){
    return &x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```cpp
class C{
    int x;
    int operator+(int other);
};

int C::operator+(int other){
    return x + other;
}
```

### PTR-singleton-arith-pos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Pointer arithmetic might be performed on a pointer that points to a single object.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>Pointer arithmetic might be performed on a pointer that points to a single object. If this pointer is subsequently dereferenced, it could be pointing to invalid memory, causing a runtime error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(int a) {
    int *p;
    if (a) {
        p = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    } else {
        p = malloc(sizeof(int));
    }
    p = p + 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(int a) {
    int *p;
    if (a) {
        p = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    } else {
        p = malloc(sizeof(int) * 20);
    }
    p = p + 1;
}
```

**PTR-singleton-arith**

**Synopsis**
Pointer arithmetic is performed on a pointer that points to a single object.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium
Full description
Pointer arithmetic is performed on a pointer that points to a single object. If this pointer
is subsequently dereferenced, it might be pointing to invalid memory, causing a runtime
error.

Coding standards
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
    p = p + 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this
issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *p = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    p = p + 1;
}
```

**PTR-unchk-param-some**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is dereferenced after being determined not to be NULL on some paths, but not
checked on others.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
On some execution paths a pointer is determined not to be NULL before being
dereferenced, but is dereferenced on other paths without checking. Checking a pointer
value indicates that its value might be NULL. It should thus be checked on all possible
execution paths that result in a dereference.

**Coding standards**
CWE 822
Untrusted Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int deref(int *p, int q)
{
    if(q)
        *p=q;
    else{
        if(p == 0)
            return 0;
        else{
            *p=1;
            return 1;
        }
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define NULL 0
int safe_deref(int *p)
{
    if (p == NULL) {
        return 0;
    } else {
        return *p;
    }
}
```

**PTR-unchk-param**

**Synopsis**

A pointer parameter is not compared to `NULL`.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

**Full description**

A function dereferences a pointer argument, without first checking that it isn't equal to `NULL`. Dereferencing a `NULL` pointer will cause an application crash.
**C-STAT checks**

**Coding standards**

CWE 822

Untrusted Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int deref(int *p)
{
    return *p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define NULL 0

int safe_deref(int *p)
{
    if (p == NULL) {
        return 0;
    } else {
        return *p;
    }
}
```

**PTR-uninit-pos**

**Synopsis**

Possible dereference of an uninitialized or **NULL** pointer.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

**Full description**

On some execution paths, an uninitialized pointer value is dereferenced. This might cause memory corruption or an application crash. Pointer values must be initialized on all execution paths that result in a dereference. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_a, CERT-EXP33-C_c.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable
CWE 824

Access of Uninitialized Pointer

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  //p is uninitialized
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int *p,a;
    p = &a;
    *p = 4;  //OK - p holds a valid address
}
```

**PTR-uninit**

**Synopsis**
Dereference of an uninitialized or NULL pointer.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
An uninitialized pointer value is being dereferenced. This might cause memory corruption or an application crash. Pointer values must be initialized before being dereferenced. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-9.1_c, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1.c.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457

Use of Uninitialized Variable
CWE 824
Access of Uninitialized Pointer

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  // p is uninitialized
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p, a;
    p = &a;
    *p = 4;  // OK - p holds a valid address
}
```

RED-alloc-zero-bytes

Synopsis
Checks that an allocation does not allocate zero bytes

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void foo(void) {
    int * x = (int *) malloc(0);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
Descriptions of checks

```c
#include<stdlib.h>

void foo(int n) {
    int *x = (int *) malloc(n);
}

void bar(int m) {
    int n = 4;
    int *x;
    x = (int *) malloc(m);
    x = (int *) malloc(sizeof(int));
    x = (int *) realloc(0, n);
    posix_memalign(0, 4, n + 4);
    foo(n);
}
```

**RED-case-reach**

**Synopsis**
A case statement within a switch statement cannot be reached.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
A case statement within a switch statement cannot be reached, because the switch statement's expression cannot have the value of the case statement's label. This often occurs because literal values have been assigned to the switch condition. An unreachable case statement is not unsafe as such, but might indicate a programming error. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT MSC07-C

Detect and remove dead code

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    switch(2 * x) {
    case 42 :  //unreachable case, as x is 84
        ;
    default :
        ;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    switch(2 * x) {
    case 84 :
        ;
    default :
        ;
    }
}
```

**RED-cmp-always**

**Synopsis**
A comparison using `==`, `<`, `<=`, `>`, or `>=` is always true.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
A comparison using `==`, `<`, `<=`, `>`, or `>=` is always true, given the values of the arguments of the comparison operator. This often occurs because literal values or macros have been used on one or both sides of the operator. Double-check that the operands and the code logic are correct. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.7_a.

**Coding standards**
CWE 571
Expression is Always True

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    if (x == 42) {  //always true
        return 0;
    }

    return 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    if (rand()) { 
        x = 40;
    }

    if (x == 42) {  //OK - may not be true
        return 0;
    }

    return 1;
}
```

**RED-cmp-never**

**Synopsis**
A comparison using ==, <, <=, >, or >= is always false.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium
A comparison using ==, <, <=, >, or >= is always false, based on the values of the arguments of the comparison operator. This often occurs because literal values or macros have been used on one or both sides of the operator. Double-check that the operands and the code logic are correct. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.7_b.

**CWE 570**  
Expression is Always False

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 10;
    if (x < 10) {  //never true
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    if (x < 10) {  //OK - may be true
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**RED-cond-always**  
Synopsis: The condition in an if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will always be true.

**Enabled by default**: No  
**Severity/Certainty**: Medium/Medium
Full description
The condition in an if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will always be true. This might indicate a logical error that could result in unexpected runtime behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3_a, MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_a.

Coding standards
CERT EXP17-C
Do not perform bitwise operations in conditional expressions
CWE 571
Expression is Always True

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && 1; x--) {
    }
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && 1; x++) {
    }
}
```

**RED-cond-const-assign**

**Synopsis**
A constant assignment in a conditional expression.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
An assignment of a constant to a variable is used in a conditional expression. It is most likely an accidental use of the assignment operator (=) instead of the comparison operator (==).
operator (==). The usual result of an assignment operation is the value of the right-hand operand, which in this case is a constant value. This constant value is being compared to zero in the condition, then an execution path is chosen. Any alternate paths are unreachable because of this constant condition.

Coding standards

CWE 481
Assigning instead of Comparing

CWE 570
Expression is Always False

CWE 571
Expression is Always True

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int * foo(int* y, int size){
    int counter = 100;
    int * orig = y;
    while (y = 0) {
        if (counter)
            continue;
        else
            return orig;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int * foo(int* y, int size){
    int counter = 100;
    int * orig = y;
    while (*y++ = 0) {
        if (++counter)
            continue;
        else
            return orig;
    }
}
```
**RED-cond-const-expr**

**Synopsis**
A conditional expression with a constant value

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
A non-trivial expression composed only of constants is used as the truth value in a conditional expression. The condition will either always or never be true, and thus program flow is deterministic, making the test redundant. This check assumes that trivial conditions, such as using a `const` variable or literal directly, are intentional. It is easy to see if they are indeed unintentional.

**Coding standards**
CWE 570
Expression is Always False
CWE 571
Expression is Always True

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(int x){
    while (1+1){
    };
}

int foo2(int x){
    for(x = 0; 0 < 10; x++){
    };
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int foo(int x) {
    while (foo(foo(3))) {
        x++; 
    }
    return x;
}

int foo2(int x) {
    while (0) { // valid usage
    }
    return x;
}

**RED-cond-const**

**Synopsis**
A constant value is used as the condition for a loop or if statement.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
A constant value is used as the condition for a loop or if statement. This might be an error. If the condition is part of a for or while loop, it will never terminate.

**Coding standards**
CWE 570
Expression is Always False
CWE 571
Expression is Always True

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int x = 0;
    while (10){
        ++x;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 0;
    while (x < 10){
        ++x;
    }
}
```

**RED-cond-never**

**Synopsis**
The condition in if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will never be true.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
The condition in an if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will never be true. This might indicate a logical error that could result in unexpected runtime behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3_b.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP17-C
Do not perform bitwise operations in conditional expressions

CWE 570
Expression is Always False

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x >= 1; x++) {
        }
    }
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x >= 0; x++) {
        }
    }

RED-dead

Synopsis A part of the application is never executed.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty Low/Medium

Full description There are statements in the application that cannot be reached on at least some execution paths. Dead code might indicate problems with the application's branching structure. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.1, MISRAC++2008-0-1-1, MISRAC++2008-0-1-9, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_b.

Coding standards CERT MSC07-C
Detected and remove dead code
CWE 561
Dead Code

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
    case 0:
        return 1;
        printf("Hello!"); // This line cannot execute.
        default:
        return -1;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
    case 0:
        printf("Hello!"); // This line can execute.
        return 1;
        default:
        return -1;
    }
}

## RED-expr

### Synopsis

Some expressions, such as `x & x` and `x | x`, are redundant.

### Enabled by default

No

### Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

### Full description

Using one or more variable does not result in a change in that variable, or another variable, or some other side-effect. Giving two identical operands to a bitwise OR operator, for example, yields nothing, because the result is equal to the original operands. This might indicate that one of the variables is not intended to be used where it is used. This use of the operator is redundant.

### Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int x) {
    x = x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int x) {
    x = x ^ x;  //OK - x is modified
}
```

**RED-func-no-effect**

**Synopsis**
A function is declared that has no return type and creates no side effects.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
A function is declared that has no return type and creates no side effects. This function is meaningless. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-0-1-8.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void pointless (int i, char c)
{
    int local;
    local = 0;
    local = i;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void func(int *i)
{
    int p;
    p = *i;
    int *ptr;
    ptr = i;
    *i = p;
    (*i)++;
}

RED-local-hides-global

Synopsis
The definition of a local variable hides a global definition.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A local variable is declared with the same name as a global variable, hiding the global variable from this scope, from this point onwards. This might be intentional, but it is better to use a different name for the local variable, so that a reference to the global variable does not accidentally change or return the local value.

Coding standards
CERT DCL01-C
   Do not reuse variable names in subscopes
CERT DCL01-CPP
   Do not reuse variable names in subscopes

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int x;

int foo (int y ) {
    int x=0;
    x++; return x+y;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int x;

int foo (int y ) {
    x++;  // Note the increment on x
    return x+y;
}
```

**RED-local-hides-local**

**Synopsis**
The definition of a local variable hides a previous local definition.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A local variable is declared with the same name as another local variable, hiding the outer value from this scope, from this point onwards. This might be intentional, but it is better to use a different name for the second variable, so that a reference to the outer variable does not accidentally change or return the inner value.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL01-C
- Do not reuse variable names in subscopes

CERT DCL01-CPP
- Do not reuse variable names in subscopes

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int foo(int x) {
    for (int y = 0; y < 10; y++) {
        for (int y = 0; y < 100; y++) {
            return x+y;
        }
    }
    return x;
}

int foo2(int x) {
    int y = 10;
    for (int y = 0; y < 10; y++)
        x++;
    return x;
}

int foo3(int x) {
    int y = 10;
    {
        int y = 100;
        return x + y;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int foo(int x) {
    for (int y = 0; y < 10; y++)
        x++;
    for (int y = 0; y < 10; y++)
        x++;
    return x;
}

**RED-local-hides-member (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
The definition of a local variable hides a member of the class.

**Enabled by default**
No
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: A local variable is declared in a class function with the same name as a member of the class, hiding the member from this scope, from this point onwards. This might be intentional, but it is better to use a different name for the variable, so that a reference to the class member does not accidentally change or return the local value.

Coding standards:
- CERT DCL01-C: Do not reuse variable names in subscopes
- CERT DCL01-CPP: Do not reuse variable names in subscopes

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class A {
  int x;

public:

  void foo(int y) {
    for(int x = 0; x < 10 ; x++){
      y++;
    }
  }

  void foo2(int y) {
    int x = 0;
    x+=y;
    return;
  }

  void foo3(int y) {
    {
      int x = 0;
      x+=y;
      return;
    }
  }
};
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

class A {
  int x;
};

class B {
  int y;
  void foo();
};

void B::foo() {
  int x;
}

**RED-local-hides-param**

**Synopsis**
A variable declaration hides a parameter of the function

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A local variable is declared in a function with the same name as an argument of the function, hiding the argument from this scope, from this point onwards. This might be intentional, but it is better to use a different name for the variable, so that a reference to the argument does not accidentally change or return the inner value.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL01-C
  Do not reuse variable names in subscopes
CERT DCL01-CPP
  Do not reuse variable names in subscopes

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int foo(int x) {
    for (int x = 0; x < 100; x++);
    return x;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int foo(int x) {
    int y;
    return x;
}

**RED-no-effect**

**Synopsis**  
A statement potentially contains no side effects.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
A statement expression seems to have no side-effects and is redundant. For example, 5 + 6; will add 5 and 6, but will not use the result anywhere. Consequently the statement has no effect on the rest of the application, and should probably be deleted. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.2, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2.a.

**Coding standards**  
CERT MSC12-C

Detect and remove code that has no effect

CWE 482

Comparing instead of Assigning

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 1;
    x = 2;
    x < x;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c++
#include <string>

void f();
template<class T>
struct X {
    int x;

    int get() const {
        return x;
    }

    X(int y) :
        x(y) {}
};
typedef X<int> intX;

void example(void) {
    /* everything below has a side-effect */
    int i=0;
    f();
    (void)f();
    ++i;
    i+=1;
    i++;
    char *p = "test";
    std::string s;
    s.assign(p);
    std::string *ps = &s;
    ps -> assign(p);
    intX xx(1);
    xx.get();
    intX(1);
}
```

**RED-self-assign**

**Synopsis**
In a C++ class member function, a variable is assigned to itself.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty  Low/High

Full description  In a C++ class member function, a variable is assigned to itself. This error might be harder to identify than in an ordinary C function, because variables might be qualified by this, and thus refer to class members.

Coding standards  CWE 480
Use of Incorrect Operator

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class A {
public :
  int x;
  void f(void) { this->x = x; }  //self-assignment
};

int main(void) {
  A *a = new A();
  a->f();
  return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class A {
public :
  int x,y;
  void f(void) { this->x = y; }
};

int main(void) {
  A *a = new A();
  a->f();
  return 0;
}
```

**RED-unused-assign**

Synopsis  A variable is assigned a non-trivial value that is never used.
**Descriptions of checks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabled by default</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>A variable is assigned a non-trivial value that is never used. This is not unsafe as such, but might indicate a logical error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coding standards   | CERT MSC13-C  
Detect and remove unused values  
CWE 563  
Unused Variable |
| Code examples      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning: |
|                    | int example(void) {  
|                    |    int x;  |
|                    |    x = 20;  |
|                    |    x = 3;  |
|                    |    return 0;  |
|                    | }  
|                    | The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue: |
|                    | int example(void) {  
|                    |    int x;  |
|                    |    x = 20;  |
|                    |    return x;  |
|                    | } |

**RED-unused-param**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>A function parameter is declared but not used.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C-STAT checks

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
A function parameter is declared but not used. This might be intentional, and is not unsafe as such. For example, the function might need to follow a specific calling convention, or might be a virtual C++ function that does not need as much information from its arguments as other functions do. Make sure that it is not an error. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-0-1-11, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.7.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 563  
Unused Variable

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    /* `x' is not used */
    return 20;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return x + 20;
}
```

**Synopsis**  
There are unused function return values (other than overloaded operators).

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
There are unused function return values (other than overloaded operators). This might be an error. The return value of a function should always be used. Overloaded operators are excluded; they should behave like the built-in operators. You can discard the return
value of a function by using a (void) cast. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-0-1-7, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.7.

**Coding standards**

CWE 252

Unchecked Return Value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int func ( int para1 )
{
    return para1;
}

void discarded ( int para2 )
{
    func(para2); // value discarded - Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int func ( int para1 )
{
    return para1;
}

int not_discarded ( int para2 )
{
    if (func(para2) > 5){
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**RED-unused-val**

**Synopsis**

A variable is assigned a value that is never used.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium
A variable is initialized or assigned a value, and then another assignment destroys that value before it is used. This is not unsafe as such, but might indicate a logical error. This check does not detect when a value is simply lost when the function ends. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-0-1-6, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_c.

CWE 563

Unused Variable

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x;
    x = 20;
    x = 3;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x;
    x = 20;
    return x;
}
```

A variable is neither read nor written for any execution path.

Yes

Low/High

A variable is neither read nor written for any execution path. Writing includes initialization, and reading includes passing the variable as a parameter in a function call. This is not unsafe as such, but might indicate a logical error. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-0-1-3.

CERT MSC13-C
Detect and remove unused values

CWE 563
Unused Variable

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x;  //this value is not used
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 0;  //OK - x is returned
    return x;
}
```

**RESOURCE-deref-file**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to a FILE object is dereferenced.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
A pointer to a FILE object is dereferenced.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *pf1;
    FILE f3;
    f3 = *pf1;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    FILE *f2;
    f1 = f2;
}

**RESOURCE-double-close**

**Synopsis**
A file resource is closed multiple times

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
An open file is closed multiple times without being re-opened in between. This will cause an application crash. This check is identical to CERT-FIO46-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CWE 672
Operation on a Resource after Expiration or Release

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fclose(f1);
    fclose(f1);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fclose(f1);
}
```

**RESOURCE-file-no-close-all**

**Synopsis**
A file pointer is never closed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
One or more file pointers are never closed. To avoid failure caused by resource exhaustion, all file pointers obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions must be explicitly released. Releasing them as soon as possible reduces the risk that exhaustion will occur. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_b, SEC-FILEOP-open-no-close, CERT-FIO42-C_a.

**Coding standards**
- CERT FIO42-C
  - Ensure files are properly closed when they are no longer needed
- CWE 404
  - Improper Resource Shutdown or Release
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
    fclose(fp);
}
```

### RESOURCE-file-pos-neg

**Synopsis**
A file handler might be negative

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A file handler might be negative. If `open()` cannot open a file, it will return a negative file descriptor. Using this file descriptor might cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <LowLevelIOInterface.h>

void example(void) {
    int a = __open("test.txt", _LLIO_WRONLY);
    write(a, "Hello", 5);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <LowLevelIOInterface.h>

void example(void) {
    int a = __open("test.txt", _LLIO_WRONLY);
    if (a > 0) {
        write(a, "Hello", 5);
    }
}
```

**RESOURCE-file-use-after-close**

**Synopsis**
A file resource is used after it has been closed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A file resource is referred to after it has been closed. When a file has been closed, any reference to it is invalid. Using this reference might cause an application crash. This check is identical to CERT-FIO46-C_b.

**Coding standards**
CERT FIO46-C

Do not access a closed file

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fclose(f1);
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
    fclose(f1);
}

**RESOURCE-implicit-deref-file**

**Synopsis**
A file pointer is implicitly dereferenced by a library function.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A file pointer is implicitly dereferenced by a library function. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-22.5_b.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *ptr1 = fopen("hello", "r");
    int *a;
    memcpy(ptr1, a, 10);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
RESOURCE-write-ronly-file

Synopsis
A file opened as read-only is written to.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A file opened as read-only is written to. This will cause a runtime error in your application, either silently if the file exists, or as a crash if it does not exist. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-22.4.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *ptr1;
    int *a;
    memcpy(a, a, 0);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test-file.txt", "r");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!");
    fclose(f1);
}
```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test-file.txt", "r+"),
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!");
    fclose(f1);
}

SIZEOF-side-effect

Synopsis
sizeof expressions containing side effects

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
The sizeof operator is used on an expression that contains side effects. Because
sizeof only operates on the type of the expression, the expression itself is not
evaluated, which it probably was meant to be. This check is identical to

Coding standards
CERT EXP06-C
Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects
CERT EXP06-CPP
Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = sizeof(i++);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this
issue:
Descriptions of checks

```c
void example(void) {
   int i;
   int size = sizeof(i);
   i++;
}
```

**SPC-order**

**Synopsis**

Expressions that depend on order of evaluation were found.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/High

**Full description**

One and the same variable is changed in different parts of an expression with an unspecified evaluation order, between two consecutive sequence points. Standard C does not specify an evaluation order for different parts of an expression. For this reason different compilers are free to perform their own optimizations regarding the evaluation order. Projects containing statements that violate this check are not easily ported to another architecture or compiler, and if they are they might be difficult to debug. Only four operators have a guaranteed order of evaluation: logical AND (`a & b`) evaluates the left operand, then the right operand only if the left is found to be true; logical OR (`a || b`) evaluates the left operand, then the right operand only if the left is found to be false; a ternary conditional (`a ? b : c`) evaluates the first operand, then either the second or the third, depending on whether the first is found to be true or false; and a comma (`a , b`) evaluates its left operand before its right. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5.0.1_a, MISRAC2004-12.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_a, CERT-EXP30-C_a.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP10-C

Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place

CERT EXP30-C

Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696

Incorrect Behavior Order
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    i = i * i++;  // unspecified order of operations
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i;  // OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}
```

---

**SPC-uninit-arr-all**

**Synopsis**
Reads from local buffers are not preceded by writes.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A value is read from an array, without being explicitly stored in that array first. This check determines whether at least one element of an array has been written before any element of the array is read. If the check triggers, it generally means that an uninitialized value is read. This might cause incorrect behavior or an application crash. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_b, CERT-EXP33-C_d.

**Coding standards**
- CERT EXP33-C
  - Do not reference uninitialized memory
- CWE 457
  - Use of Uninitialized Variable

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example() {
    int a[20];
    int b = a[1];
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
extern void f(int*);
void example() {
    int a[20];
    f(a);
    int b = a[1];
}

**SPC-uninit-struct-field-heap**

**Synopsis**
A field of a dynamically allocated struct is read before it is initialized.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A field of a dynamically allocated struct is read before it is initialized. An uninitialized field might cause unexpected and unpredictable results. Uninitialized variables are easy to overlook, because they seldom cause problems.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457

Use of Uninitialized Variable

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st *str = malloc(sizeof(struct st));
    a = str->x;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>

struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st *str = malloc(sizeof(struct st));
    str->x = 0;
    a = str->x;
}

**SPC-uninit-struct-field**

**Synopsis**
A field of a local struct is read before it is initialized.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A field of a local struct is read before it is initialized. An uninitialized field might cause unexpected and unpredictable results. Uninitialized variables are easy to overlook, because they seldom cause problems. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_d, CERT-EXP33-C_f.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457

Use of Uninitialized Variable

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    a = str.x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    str.x = 0;
    a = str.x;
}
```

**SPC-uninit-struct**

Synopsis

A struct has one or more fields read before they are initialized.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

High/Medium
**C-STAT checks**

**Full description**
A struct is read from before any of its fields are initialized. Using uninitialized values might cause unexpected results or unpredictable application behavior, particularly in the case of pointer fields. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_c, CERT-EXP33-C_e.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    a = str.x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(int i) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    str.x = i;
    a = str.x;
}
```

**SPC-uninit-var-all**

**Synopsis**
A variable is read before it is assigned a value.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
A variable is read before it is assigned a value. Different execution paths might result in a variable being read at different points in the execution. Because uninitialized data is read, application behavior might be unpredictable. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-9.1_a, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_e, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_j.

Coding standards
CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x;
    x++;  //x is uninitialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x = 0;
    x++;
    return 0;
}
```

**SPC-uninit-var-some**

Synopsis
A variable is read before it is assigned a value.

Enabled by default
Yes
### C-STAT checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Full description
A variable is read before it is assigned a value. On some execution paths, the variable might be read before it is assigned a value. This might cause unpredictable application behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-9.1_b, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_f, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_k.

#### Coding standards
- **CWE 457**
  - Use of Uninitialized Variable

#### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x, y;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    y = x;  // x may not be initialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    /* x never read */
    return 0;
}
```

### SPC-volatile-reads

#### Synopsis
There are multiple read accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.
Enabled by default: No

Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: There are multiple read accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point. There cannot be more than one read access with volatile-qualified type within a sequence point. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.2_b, MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_b.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP10-C
  Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place
- CERT EXP30-C
  Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points
- CWE 696
  Incorrect Behavior Order

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v;
    x = v + v;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int main(void) {
    volatile int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i;    //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}
```
SPC-volatile-writes

Synopsis
There are multiple write accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
There are multiple write accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point. There cannot be more than one write access with volatile-qualified type within a sequence point. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.2_c, MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_c.

Coding standards
CERT EXP10-C
Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place

CERT EXP30-C
Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696
Incorrect Behavior Order

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v, w;
    v = w = x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdbool.h>

void InitializeArray(int *);

const int *example(void)
{
    static volatile bool s_initialized = false;
    static int s_array[256];

    if (!s_initialized)
    {
        InitializeArray(s_array);
        s_initialized = true;
    }
    return s_array;
}

**STRUCT-signed-bit**

**Synopsis**
There are signed single-bit fields (excluding anonymous fields).

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
There are signed single-bit fields (excluding anonymous fields). A signed bitfield should have size at least two, because one bit is required for the sign. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-6.5, MISRAC++2008-9-6-4, MISRAC2012-Rule-6.2.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct S
{
    signed int a : 1;    // Non-compliant
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
struct S {
    signed int b : 2;
    signed int : 0;
    signed int : 1;
    signed int : 2;
};

**SWITCH-fall-through**

**Synopsis**
There are non-empty switch cases not terminated by break and without 'fallthrough' comment.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
There are non-empty switch cases not terminated by a break. A non-empty switch clause should be terminated by an unconditional break statement, unless explicitly commented as a 'fallthrough'.

**Coding standards**
CERT MSC17-C

Finish every set of statements associated with a case label with a break statement

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
    case 0:
        if (rand()) {
            break;
        }
    default:
        break;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
    case 0:
        if (rand()) {
            break;
        }
        break;
    case 1:
        if (rand()) {
            break;
        }
        // fallthrough
    case 2:
        // this should also fall through
        if (!rand()) {
            return;
        }
        default:
            break;
    }
}

**THROW-empty (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Unsafe rethrow of exception.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
A `throw` statement without an argument is used outside of a `catch` handler where there is no exception to rethrow. This is unsafe because a `throw` statement without an argument rethrows the temporary object that represents the current exception, to allow exception handling to be split over several handlers. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-1-3.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c++
void func()
{
    try
    {
        throw;
    }
    catch (...) {}
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c++
void func()
{
    try
    {
        throw (42);
    }
    catch (int i)
    {
        if (i > 10)
        {
            throw;
        }
    }
}
```

**THROW-main (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**

No default exception handler for `try`.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Low

**Full description**

A top level `try` block does not have a default exception handler that will catch exceptions. Without this, an unhandled exception might lead to termination in an implementation-defined manner. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-3-2.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
int main()
{
    try
    {
        throw (42);
    }
    catch (int i)
    {
        if (i > 10)
        {
            throw;
        }
    }
    return 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
int main()
{
    try
    {
        throw;
    }
    catch (...) {}
    // spacer
    try {}
    catch (int i) {}
    catch (...) {}
    return 0;
}
```

### THROW-null

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Throw of NULL integer constant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
throw(NULL) (equivalent to throw(0)) is never a throw of the null-pointer-constant, which means it can only be caught by an integer handler. This might be undesired behavior, especially if your application only has handlers for pointer-to-type exceptions. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-1-2.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef int int32_t;
typedef signed char char_t;
#define NULL 0

void example(void)
{
    try {
        throw ( NULL );          // Non-compliant
    }
    catch ( int32_t i ) {      // NULL exception handled here
        // ...
    }
    catch ( const char_t * ) { // Developer may expect it to be caught here
        // ...
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
typedef int int32_t;
typedef signed char char_t;
#define NULL 0

void example(void)
{
    char_t * p = NULL;
    try {
        throw ( p );             // Compliant
    }
    catch ( int32_t i ) {
        // ...
    }
    catch ( const char_t * ) { // Exception handled here
        // ...
    }
}

**THROW-ptr**

**Synopsis**
Throw of exceptions by pointer

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
An exception object of pointer type is thrown and that pointer refers to a dynamically created object. It might thus be unclear which function is responsible for destroying it, and when. This ambiguity does not exist if the object is caught by value or reference. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-0-2.

**Coding standards**
CERT ERR09-CPP

Throw anonymous temporaries and catch by reference

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
class Except {}

Except *new_except();

void example(void)
{
    throw new Except();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

class Except {};

void example(void)
{
    throw Except();
}

THROW-static (C++ only)

Synopsis

Exceptions thrown without a handler in some call paths that lead to that point.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium

Full description

There are exceptions thrown without a handler in some call paths that lead to that point. If an application throws an unhandled exception, it terminates in an implementation-defined manner. In particular, it is implementation-defined whether the call stack is unwound before termination, so the destructors of any automatic objects might not be invoked. If an exception is thrown as an object of a derived class, a compatible type might be either the derived class or any of its bases. Make sure that the application catches all exceptions it is expected to throw. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-15-3-1.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C {
public:
    C () { throw ( 0 ); } // Non-compliant – thrown before main
        starts
    ~C () { throw ( 0 ); } // Non-compliant – thrown after main
        exits
};

// An exception thrown in C's constructor or destructor will
// cause the program to terminate, and will not be caught by
// the handler in main
C c;

int main( ... )
{
    try {
        // program code
        return 0;
    }
    // The following catch-all exception handler can only
    // catch exceptions thrown in the above program code
    catch ( ... ) {
        // Handle exception
        return 0;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
class C {
public:
    C ( ) { } // Compliant – doesn't throw exceptions
    ~C ( ) { } // Compliant – doesn't throw exceptions
};
C c;

int main( ... )
{
    try {
        // program code
        return 0;
    } catch ( ... ) {
        // Handle exception
        return 0;
    }
}

**THROW-unhandled (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
There are calls to functions explicitly declared to throw an exception type that is not handled (or declared as thrown) by the caller.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
There are calls to functions explicitly declared to throw an exception type that is not handled (or declared as thrown) by the caller. If an application throws an unhandled exception, it terminates in an implementation-defined manner. In particular, it is implementation-defined whether the call stack is unwound before termination, so the destructors of any automatic objects might not be invoked. If an exception is thrown as an object of a derived class, a compatible type might be either the derived class or any of its bases. Make sure that the application catches all exceptions it is expected to throw. This check is identical to MISRA-C++2008-15-3-4.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
class E1;
#ifndef __cpp_noexcept_function_type
void foo(int i) throw (E1) {
#else
void foo(int i) {
#endif
    if (i<0)
        throw E1();
}

int bar() {
    foo(-3);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
class E1;
#ifndef __cpp_noexcept_function_type
void foo(int i) throw (E1) {
#else
void foo(int i) {
#endif
    if (i<0)
        throw E1();
}

int bar() {
    try {
        foo(-3);
    } catch (E1){
    }
}
```

**UNION-overlap-assign**

**Synopsis**

Assignments from one field of a union to another.
Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: High/High

Full description: There are assignments from one field of a union to another. Assignments between objects that are stored in the same physical memory causes undefined behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-18.2, MISRAC++2008-0-2-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-19.1.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    u.i = u.c[2];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    int x;
    x = (int)u.c[2];
    u.i = x;
}
```
**UNION-type-punning**

**Synopsis**
Writing to a field of a union after reading from a different field, effectively re-interpreting the bit pattern with a different type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
Writing to one field of a union and then silently reading from another field circumvents the type system. To reinterpret bit patterns deliberately, use an explicit cast. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.12_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP39-C
Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type
CWE 188
Reliance on Data/Memory Layout

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
union name {
    int int_field;
    float float_field;
};

void example(void) {
    union name u;
    u.int_field = 10;
    float f = u.float_field;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
union name {
    int int_field;
    float float_field;
};

void example(void) {
    union name u;
    u.int_field = 10;
    float f = u.float_field;
}
```
union name {
    int int_field;
    float float_field;
};

void example(void) {
    union name u;
    u.int_field = 10;
    float f = u.int_field;
}

**CERT-ARR30-C_a**

**Synopsis**
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR30-C
Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

CWE 786
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7

void example() {
    int arr[COLS];
    arr[ROWS] = 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7

void example() {
    int arr[ROWS];
    arr[COLS] = 1;
}
```

CERT-ARR30-C_b

Synopsis
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

Enabled by default
Yes
**Severity/Certainty**  
High/High

**Full description**  
Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-pos, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_b.

**Coding standards**  
CERT ARR30-C  

Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts  

CWE 119  
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer  

CWE 120  
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')  

CWE 121  
Stack-based Buffer Overflow  

CWE 123  
Write-what-where Condition  

CWE 124  
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')  

CWE 126  
Buffer Over-read  

CWE 127  
Buffer Under-read  

CWE 129  
Improper Validation of Array Index  

CWE 786  
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stddef.h>
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7
static int matrix[ROWS][COLS];

void init_matrix(int x) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < COLS; i++) {
        for (size_t j = 0; j < ROWS; j++) {
            matrix[i][j] = x;
        }
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```
#include <stddef.h>
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7
static int matrix[ROWS][COLS];

void init_matrix(int x) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < ROWS; i++) {
        for (size_t j = 0; j < COLS; j++) {
            matrix[i][j] = x;
        }
    }
}
```

**CERT-ARR30-C_c**

**Synopsis**
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-ptr, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_c.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards

CERT ARR30-C
Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

CWE 786
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7

void example() {
    int arr[COLS];
    int *p = arr;
    p[ROWS] = 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c

```
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7

void example() {
    int arr[ROWS];
    int *p = arr;
    p[COLS] = 1;
}

**CERT-ARR30-C_d**

**Synopsis**
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-ptr-pos, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_f, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_d.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR30-C

Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index
CWE 786
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stddef.h>
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7
static int matrix[ROWS][COLS];

void init_matrix(int x) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < COLS; i++) {
        for (size_t j = 0; j < ROWS; j++) {
            int *p = matrix[i];
            p[j] = x;
        }
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stddef.h>
#define COLS 5
#define ROWS 7
static int matrix[ROWS][COLS];

void init_matrix(int x) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < ROWS; i++) {
        for (size_t j = 0; j < COLS; j++) {
            int *p = matrix[i];
            p[j] = x;
        }
    }
}
```
CERT-ARR30-C_e

Synopsis
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to ARR-neg-index.

Coding standards
CERT ARR30-C
Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index
CWE 786
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(int *arr) {
    arr[-1] = 1;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(int *arr) {
    arr[0] = 1;
}
```

**CERT-ARR30-C**

**Synopsis**
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to ARR-uninit-index.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR30-C
Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index
CWE 786
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int b[20]) {
    int a;
    return b[a];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int b[20]) {
    int a;
    a = 5;
    return b[a];
}
```

**CERT-ARR30-C_g**

**Synopsis**
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/High

Full description: Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic.

Coding standards:
- CERT ARR30-C
  - Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts
- CWE 119
  - Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120
  - Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 121
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 123
  - Write-what-where Condition
- CWE 124
  - Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
- CWE 126
  - Buffer Over-read
- CWE 127
  - Buffer Under-read
- CWE 129
  - Improper Validation of Array Index
- CWE 786
  - Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
enum { TABLESIZE = 100 };  
static int table[TABLESIZE];  
int *f(int index) {
    if (index < TABLESIZE) {
        return table + index;
    }
    return NULL;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

    enum { TABLESIZE = 100 };  
    static int table[TABLESIZE];  
    int *f(int index) {
        if (index >= 0 && index < TABLESIZE) {
            return table + index;
        }
        return NULL;
    }

**CERT-ARR30-C_h**

**Synopsis**
Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR30-C

Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

CWE 786
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include<wchar.h>
define MAX_COMPUTERNAME_LENGTH_FQDN 10
void GetMachineName(
    wchar_t *pwszPath,
    wchar_t wszMachineName[MAX_COMPUTERNAME_LENGTH_FQDN+1])
{
    wchar_t *pwszServerName = wszMachineName;
    wchar_t *pwszTemp = pwszPath + 2;
    while (*pwszTemp != L'\n')
        *pwszServerName++ = *pwszTemp++;
/* ... */
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include<wchar.h>

#define MAX_COMPUTERNAME_LENGTH_FQDN 10

void GetMachineName(
    wchar_t *pwszPath,
    wchar_t wszMachineName[MAX_COMPUTERNAME_LENGTH_FQDN+1])
{
    wchar_t *pwszServerName = wszMachineName;
    wchar_t *pwszTemp = pwszPath + 2;
    wchar_t *end_addr = pwszServerName + MAX_COMPUTERNAME_LENGTH_FQDN;
    while ( (*pwszTemp != L'\')
        && (*pwszTemp != L'\0')
        && (pwszServerName < end_addr) )
    {
        pwszServerName++ = *pwszTemp++;
    }

    /* ... */
}
```

**CERT-ARR30-C_i**

**Synopsis**

Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High

**Full description**

Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic.

**Coding standards**

CERT ARR30-C

Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index
CWE 786
Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

struct S {
    size_t len;
    char buf[]; /* Flexible array member */
};

const char *find(const struct S *s, int c) {
    const char *first = s->buf;
    const char *last = s->buf + s->len;

    while (first != last) { /* Avoid incrementing here */
        if (*++first == (unsigned char)c) {
            return first;
        }
    }
    return NULL;
}

void g(void) {
    struct S *s = (struct S *)malloc(sizeof(struct S));
    if (s == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    s->len = 0;
    find(s, 'a');
}

---

**CERT-ARR30-C_j**

**Synopsis**

Do not form or use out-of-bounds pointers or array subscripts.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High

**Full description**

Invalid pointer operations could lead to undefined behavior. These include forming an out-of-bounds pointer or array index, dereferencing a past-the-end pointer or array index, accessing or generating a pointer past flexible array member, and null pointer arithmetic.
### Coding standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT ARR30-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not form or use out of bounds pointers or array subscripts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 119</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 121</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stack-based Buffer Overflow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 123</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Write-what-where Condition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 124</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Over-read</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 127</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Under-read</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 129</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improper Validation of Array Index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 786</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access of Memory Location Before Start of Buffer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

char *init_block(size_t block_size, size_t offset, char *data, size_t data_size) {
    char *buffer = malloc(block_size);
    if (data_size > block_size || block_size - data_size < offset) {
        /* Data won’t fit in buffer, handle error */
    }
    memcpy(buffer + offset, data, data_size);
    return buffer;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

char *init_block(size_t block_size, size_t offset, char *data, size_t data_size) {
    char *buffer = malloc(block_size);
    if (data_size > block_size || block_size - data_size < offset) {
        /* Data won’t fit in buffer, handle error */
    }
    memcpy(buffer + offset, data, data_size);
    return buffer;
}

**CERT-ARR32-C**

**Synopsis**
Ensure size arguments for variable length arrays are in a valid range.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>CERT ARR32-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If a variable length arrays (VLA) is declared with a size that is not positive, the behavior is undefined. If the magnitude of a VLA size argument is excessive, the program may behave in an unexpected way. The programmer must ensure that size arguments to variable length arrays, especially those derived from untrusted data, are in a valid range.</td>
<td>Ensure size arguments for variable length arrays are in a valid range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding standards</th>
<th>CERT-ARR36-C_a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERT ARR32-C</td>
<td>Synopsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not subtract two pointers that do not refer to the same array.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: Do not subtract or compare two pointers that do not refer to the same array. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.2, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.2.

Coding standards: CERT ARR36-C

Do not subtract or compare two pointers that do not refer to the same array

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
enum { SIZE = 32 };

void func(void) {
    int nums[SIZE];
    int end;
    int *next_num_ptr = nums;
    size_t free_elements;

    /* Increment next_num_ptr as array fills */
    free_elements = &end - next_num_ptr;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
enum { SIZE = 32 };

void func(void) {
    int nums[SIZE];
    int *next_num_ptr = nums;
    size_t free_elements;

    /* Increment next_num_ptr as array fills */
    free_elements = &{nums[SIZE]} - next_num_ptr;
}
```
CERT-ARR36-C_b

Synopsis
Do not compare two pointers that do not refer to the same array.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
Do not subtract or compare two pointers that do not refer to the same array. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.3, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.3.

Coding standards
CERT ARR36-C
Do not subtract or compare two pointers that do not refer to the same array

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[10];
    int b[10];
    int *p1 = &a[1];
    if (p1 < b) {
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[10];
    int b[10];
    int *p1 = &a[1];
    if (p1 < a) {
    }
}
```

CERT-ARR37-C

Synopsis
Do not add or subtract an integer to a pointer to a non-array object.
Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium
Full description: Pointer arithmetic must be performed only on pointers that reference elements of array objects.
Coding standards: CERT ARR37-C
Do not add or subtract an integer to a pointer to a non-array object

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
struct numbers {
    short num_a, num_b, num_c;
};
int sum_numbers(const struct numbers *numb){
    int total = 0;
    const short *numb_ptr;
    for (numb_ptr = &numb->num_a;
         numb_ptr <= &numb->num_c;
         numb_ptr++) {
        total += *(numb_ptr);
    }
    return total;
}
int main(void) {
    struct numbers my_numbers = { 1, 2, 3 }; 
    sum_numbers(&my_numbers); 
    return 0; 
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
struct numbers {
    short num_a, num_b, num_c;
};
void example(const struct numbers *numb) {
    int total = numb->num_a + numb->num_b + numb->num_c;
}

CERT-ARR38-C_a

Synopsis
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
C library functions that make changes to arrays or objects take at least two arguments: a pointer to the array or object and an integer indicating the number of elements or bytes to be manipulated. Supplying arguments to such a function might cause the function to form a pointer that does not point into or just past the end of the object, resulting in undefined behavior.

Coding standards
CERT ARR38-C
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 125
Out-of-bounds Read
CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition
CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value
CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <wchar.h>

static const char str[] = "Hello world";
static const wchar_t w_str[] = L"Hello world";
void func(void) {
    char buffer[32];
    wchar_t w_buffer[32];
    memcpy(buffer, str, sizeof(str)); /* Compliant */
    wmemcpy(w_buffer, w_str, sizeof(w_str)); /* Noncompliant */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <wchar.h>

static const char str[] = "Hello world";
static const wchar_t w_str[] = L"Hello world";
void func(void) {
    char buffer[32];
    wchar_t w_buffer[32];
    memcpy(buffer, str, strlen(str) + 1);
    wmemcpy(w_buffer, w_str, wcslen(w_str) + 1);
}
```

**CERT-ARR38-C_b**

**Synopsis**
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
C library functions that make changes to arrays or objects take at least two arguments: a pointer to the array or object and an integer indicating the number of elements or bytes.
to be manipulated. Supplying arguments to such a function might cause the function to form a pointer that does not point into or just past the end of the object, resulting in undefined behavior.

**Coding standards**

CERT ARR38-C  
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers

CWE 121  
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119  
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 125  
Out-of-bounds Read

CWE 123  
Write-what-where Condition

CWE 805  
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

CWE 129  
Improper Validation of Array Index

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void f2(void) {
    const size_t ARR_SIZE = 4;
    long a[ARR_SIZE];
    const size_t n = sizeof(int) * ARR_SIZE;
    void *p = a;
    memset(p, 0, n);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>

void f2(void) {
    const size_t ARR_SIZE = 4;
    long a[ARR_SIZE];
    const size_t n = sizeof(a);
    void *p = a;
    memset(p, 0, n);
}

**CERT-ARR38-C_c**

**Synopsis** Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.

**Enabled by default** Yes

**Severity/Certainty** High/High

**Full description** C library functions that make changes to arrays or objects take at least two arguments: a pointer to the array or object and an integer indicating the number of elements or bytes to be manipulated. Supplying arguments to such a function might cause the function to form a pointer that does not point into or just past the end of the object, resulting in undefined behavior.

**Coding standards** CERT ARR38-C

Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 125
Out-of-bounds Read

CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition
CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void f1(size_t nchars) {
    char *p = (char *)malloc(nchars);
    /* ... */
    const size_t n = nchars + 1;
    /* ... */
    memset(p, 0, n);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void f1(size_t nchars) {
    char *p = (char *)malloc(nchars);
    /* ... */
    const size_t n = nchars;
    /* ... */
    memset(p, 0, n);
}
```

CERT-ARR38-C_d

Synopsis
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High
C library functions that make changes to arrays or objects take at least two arguments: a pointer to the array or object and an integer indicating the number of elements or bytes to be manipulated. Supplying arguments to such a function might cause the function to form a pointer that does not point into or just past the end of the object, resulting in undefined behavior.

**Coding standards**

CERT ARR38-C

Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 125
Out-of-bounds Read

CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void f4() {
    char p[40];
    const char *q = "Too short";
    size_t n = sizeof(p);
    memcpy(p, q, n);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>

void f4() {
    char p[40];
    const char *q = "Too short";
    size_t n = sizeof(p);
    memset(p, 0, n);
}
```
#include <string.h>

void f4() {
    char p[40];
    const char *q = "Too short";
    size_t n = sizeof(p) < strlen(q) + 1 ? sizeof(p) : strlen(q) + 1;
    memcpy(p, q, n);
}

CERT-ARR38-C_e

Synopsis
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
C library functions that make changes to arrays or objects take at least two arguments: a pointer to the array or object and an integer indicating the number of elements or bytes to be manipulated. Supplying arguments to such a function might cause the function to form a pointer that does not point into or just past the end of the object, resulting in undefined behavior.

Coding standards
CERT ARR38-C
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 125
Out-of-bounds Read

CWE 123
Write-what-where Condition
CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
#include <stdio.h>

struct obj {
    char c;
    long long i;
};

void func(FILE *f, struct obj **objs, size_t num_objs) {
    const size_t obj_size = 16;
    if (num_objs > (SIZE_MAX / obj_size) ||
        num_objs != fwrite(objs, obj_size, num_objs, f)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
#include <stdio.h>

struct obj {
    char c;
    long long i;
};

void func(FILE *f, struct obj *objs, size_t num_objs) {
    const size_t obj_size = sizeof *objs;
    if (num_objs > (SIZE_MAX / obj_size) ||
        num_objs != fwrite(objs, obj_size, num_objs, f)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

CERT-ARR38-C_f

Synopsis
Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers.
**C-STAT® Static Analysis Guide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>Coding standards</th>
<th>Code examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>High/High</td>
<td>CERT ARR38-C</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="image"><img src="image" alt="Severity/Certainty" /></a></td>
<td>Guarantee that library functions do not form invalid pointers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C library functions that make changes to arrays or objects take at least two arguments: a pointer to the array or object and an integer indicating the number of elements or bytes to be manipulated. Supplying arguments to such a function might cause the function to form a pointer that does not point into or just past the end of the object, resulting in undefined behavior.</td>
<td>CWE 121 Stack-based Buffer Overflow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CWE 119 Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CWE 125 Out-of-bounds Read</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CWE 123 Write-what-where Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CWE 805 Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CWE 129 Improper Validation of Array Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#include<stdlib.h>

int example(unsigned char *s) {
    unsigned char *p = s, *pl;
    unsigned short hbtype;
    unsigned int payload;
    unsigned int padding = 16; /* Use minimum padding */
    unsigned char *buffer, *bp;
    int r;

    /* Read type and payload length first */
    hbtype = *p++;
    payload = *((unsigned int *)p++);

    pl = p;
    buffer = malloc(1 + 2 + payload + padding);
    bp = buffer;

    memcpy(bp, pl, payload);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include<stdlib.h>

int example(unsigned char *s, unsigned int length) {
    unsigned char *p = s, *pl;
    unsigned short hbtype;
    unsigned int payload;
    unsigned int padding = 16; /* Use minimum padding */
    unsigned char *buffer, *bp;
    int r;

    /* Read type and payload length first */
    hbtype = *p++;
    payload = *((unsigned int *)p++);
    if (1 + 2 + payload + 16 > length)
        return 0;

    pl = p;
    buffer = malloc(1 + 2 + payload + padding);
    bp = buffer;
    memcpy(bp, pl, payload);
}

CERT-ARR39-C

Synopsis
Do not add or subtract a scaled integer to a pointer.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
When performing pointer arithmetic, the size of the value to add to or subtract from a pointer is automatically scaled to the size of the type of the referenced array object. Adding or subtracting a scaled integer value to or from a pointer is invalid because it may yield a pointer that does not point to an element within or one past the end of the array.

Coding standards
CERT ARR39-C
Do not add or subtract a scaled integer to a pointer

CWE 468
Incorrect Pointer Scaling

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
enum { INTBUFSIZE = 80 };
extern int getdata(void);
int buf[INTBUFSIZE];

void func(void) {
  int *buf_ptr = buf;
  while (buf_ptr < (buf + sizeof(buf))) {
    *buf_ptr++ = getdata();
  }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
enum { INTBUFSIZE = 80 }; extern int getdata(void);
int buf[INTBUFSIZE];

void func(void) {
  int *buf_ptr = buf;
  while (buf_ptr < (buf + INTBUFSIZE)) {
    *buf_ptr++ = getdata();
  }
}
```

**CERT-DCL30-C_a**

**Synopsis**
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Every object has a storage duration that determines its lifetime: static, thread, automatic, or allocated. Do not attempt to access an object outside of its lifetime. Attempting to do so is undefined behavior and can lead to an exploitable vulnerability. This check is identical to MEM-stack, MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_b, MISRAC2004-17.6_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_a.

**CERT-DCL30-C_b**

**Synopsis**
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

```c
int *example(void) {
    int a[20];
    return a;  // a is a local array
}
```

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int* example(void) {
    int *p,i;
    p = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    return p;  // OK - p is dynamically allocated
}
```
Full description

Every object has a storage duration that determines its lifetime: static, thread, automatic, or allocated. Do not attempt to access an object outside of its lifetime. Attempting to do so is undefined behavior and can lead to an exploitable vulnerability. This check is identical to MEM-stack-pos.

Coding standards

CERT DCL30-C

Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *example(int *a) {
    int i;
    int *p;
    if (a) {
        p = a;
    } else {
        p = &i;
    }
    return p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int g;
int *example(int *a) {
    int i;
    int *p;
    if (a) {
        p = a;
    } else {
        p = &g;
    }
    return p;
}
```

CERT-DCL30-C_c

Synopsis

Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.

Enabled by default

Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></th>
<th>High/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| **Full description** | Every object has a storage duration that determines its lifetime: static, thread, automatic, or allocated. Do not attempt to access an object outside of its lifetime. Attempting to do so is undefined behavior and can lead to an exploitable vulnerability. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_a, MISRAC2004-17.6_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_b, CERT-DCL30-C_c. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Coding standards</strong></th>
<th>CERT DCL30-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### CODE EXAMPLES

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *px;
void example() {
    int i = 0;
    px = &i; // assigning the address of stack
    // variable a to the global px
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *pz) {
    int x; int *px = &x;
    int *py = px; /* local variable */
    px = px; /* parameter */
}
```

### CERT-DCL30-C_d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Synopsis</strong></th>
<th>Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>High/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Full description

Every object has a storage duration that determines its lifetime: static, thread, automatic, or allocated. Do not attempt to access an object outside of its lifetime. Attempting to do so is undefined behavior and can lead to an exploitable vulnerability. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global-field, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_b, MISRAC2004-17.6_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_c.

Coding standards

CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i; //storing local address in global struct
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i; //OK - the field is written to later
    s.px = NULL;
}
```

CERT-DCL30-C_e

Synopsis
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations.

Enabled by default
Yes
Every object has a storage duration that determines its lifetime: static, thread, automatic, or allocated. Do not attempt to access an object outside of its lifetime. Attempting to do so is undefined behavior and can lead to an exploitable vulnerability. This check is identical to MEM-stack-param, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_c, MISRAC2004-17.6_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_d.

**Coding standards**

CERT DCL30-C

Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int **ppx) {
  int x;
  ppx[0] = &x;  //local address
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
static int y = 0;
void example3(int **ppx){
  *ppx = &y;  //OK - static address
}
```

---

**CERT-DCL31-C**

**Synopsis**

Declare identifiers before using them.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Low

**Full description**

The C11 Standard requires type specifiers and forbids implicit function declarations. The C90 Standard allows implicit typing of variables and functions. Consequently,
some existing legacy code uses implicit typing. Some C compilers still support legacy code by allowing implicit typing, but it should not be used for new code. Such an implementation may choose to assume an implicit declaration and continue translation to support existing programs that used this feature. This check is identical to FUNC-implicit-decl, MISRAC2004-8.1, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.3.

Coding standards

CERT DCL31-C

Declare identifiers before using them

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
/* #include <stdlib.h> is missing */

int main(void) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
        /* int malloc() assumed */
        char *ptr = (char *)malloc(0x10000000);
        *ptr = 'a';
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
        char *ptr = (char *)malloc(0x10000000);
        *ptr = 'a';
    }
    return 0;
}
```

CERT-DCL36-C

Synopsis

Do not declare an identifier with conflicting linkage classifications.

Enabled by default

Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>Linkage can make an identifier declared in different scopes or declared multiple times within the same scope refer to the same object or function. Use of an identifier (within one translation unit) classified as both internally and externally linked is undefined behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>CERT DCL36-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not declare an identifier with conflicting linkage classifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>static int i2 = 20;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int i2;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int i1 = 10;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int i1;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CERT-DCL37-C_a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Do not declare or define a reserved identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>Do not define a function with a reserved identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>CERT DCL37-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not declare or define a reserved identifier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

void *malloc(size_t nbytes) {
    void *ptr;
    /* Allocate storage from own pool and set ptr */
    return ptr;
}

void free(void *ptr) {
    /* Return storage to own pool */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

void *my_malloc(size_t nbytes) {
    void *ptr;
    /* Allocate storage from own pool and set ptr */
    return ptr;
}

void *my_aligned_alloc(size_t alignment, size_t size) {
    void *ptr;
    /* Allocate storage from own pool, align properly, set ptr */
    return ptr;
}

void *my_calloc(size_t nelems, size_t elsize) {
    void *ptr;
    /* Allocate storage from own pool, zero memory, and set ptr */
    return ptr;
}

void *my_realloc(void *ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    /* Reallocate storage from own pool and set ptr */
    return ptr;
}

void my_free(void *ptr) {
    /* Return storage to own pool */
}
CERT-DCL37-C_b

Synopsis
Do not declare or define a reserved identifier

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
Do not declare or define a reserved identifier

Coding standards
CERT DCL37-C

Do not declare or define a reserved identifier

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

static const size_t wcsa_max_limit = 1024;
size_t wcsa_limit = 100;

unsigned int getValue(unsigned int count) {
    return count < wcsa_limit ? count : wcsa_limit;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

static const size_t max_limit = 1024;
size_t limit = 100;

unsigned int getValue(unsigned int count) {
    return count < limit ? count : limit;
}
```

CERT-DCL37-C_c

Synopsis
Do not declare or define a reserved identifier

Enabled by default
No
Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: Do not declare or define a reserved identifier -- Noisy

Coding standards: CERT DCL37-C

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#ifndef _MY_HEADER_H_
#define _MY_HEADER_H_
/* Contents of <my_header.h> */
#endif /* _MY_HEADER_H_ */
#endif /* _MY_HEADER_H_ */
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#ifndef MY_HEADER_H
#define MY_HEADER_H
/* Contents of <my_header.h> */
#endif /* MY_HEADER_H */
```

CERT-DCL38-C

Synopsis: Use the correct syntax when declaring a flexible array member.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: A variety of different syntaxes have been used for declaring flexible array members. For conforming C implementations, use the syntax guaranteed to be valid by the C Standard.
Coding standards

CERT DCL38-C

Use the correct syntax when declaring flexible array members

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct flexArrayStruct {
    int num;
    int data[1];
};

void func(size_t array_size) {
    /* Space is allocated for the struct */
    struct flexArrayStruct *structP
        = (struct flexArrayStruct *)
            malloc(sizeof(struct flexArrayStruct) +
                   sizeof(int) * (array_size - 1));
    if (structP == NULL) {
        /* Handle malloc failure */
    }
    structP->num = array_size;

    /*
     * Access data[] as if it had been allocated
     * as data[array_size].
     */
    for (size_t i = 0; i < array_size; ++i) {
        structP->data[i] = 1;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct flexArrayStruct {
    int num;
    int data[];
};

void func(size_t array_size) {
    /* Space is allocated for the struct */
    struct flexArrayStruct *structP = (struct flexArrayStruct *)
        malloc(sizeof(struct flexArrayStruct) + sizeof(int) * array_size);
    if (structP == NULL) {
        /* Handle malloc failure */
    }
    structP->num = array_size;
    /*
    * Access data[] as if it had been allocated
    * as data[array_size].
    */
    for (size_t i = 0; i < array_size; ++i) {
        structP->data[i] = 1;
    }
}
```

**CERT-DCL39-C**

**Synopsis**
Avoid information leakage when passing a structure across a trust boundary.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
When passing a pointer to a structure across a trust boundary to a different trusted domain, the programmer must ensure that the padding bytes and bit-field storage unit padding bits of such a structure do not contain sensitive information.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL39-C
Avoid information leak in structure padding

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

struct test {
  int a;
  char b;
  int c;
};

/* Safely copy bytes to user space */
extern int copy_to_user(void *dest, void *src, size_t size);

void do_stuff(void *usr_buf) {
  struct test arg = {.a = 1, .b = 2, .c = 3};
  copy_to_user(usr_buf, &arg, sizeof(arg));
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
/* Safely copy bytes to user space */
extern int copy_to_user(void *dest, void *src, size_t size);

void do_stuff(void *usr_buf) {
    struct test arg = {.a = 1, .b = 2, .c = 3};
    /* May be larger than strictly needed */
    unsigned char buf[sizeof(arg)];
    size_t offset = 0;
    memset(buf + offset, 0, sizeof(arg) - offset);
    copy_to_user(usr_buf, buf, offset /* size of info copied */);
}

CERT-DCL40-C

Synopsis
Do not create incompatible declarations of the same function or object.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
Two or more incompatible declarations of the same function or object must not appear in the same program because they result in undefined behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-8.3.
**CERT-DCL40-C**

**Synopsis**
Do not declare variables inside a switch statement before the first case label

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low

**Full description**
Do not declare variables inside a switch statement before the first case label

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL40-C

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern int i;
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern short i;
```
#include <stdio.h>

extern void f(int i);

void func(int expr) {
    switch (expr) {
        int i = 4;
        f(i);
        case 0:
            i = 17;
            /* Falls through into default code */
        default:
            printf("%d\n", i);
            break;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>

extern void f(int i);

int func(int expr) {
    /*
    * Move the code outside the switch block; now the statements
    * will get executed.
    */
    int i = 4;
    f(i);
    switch (expr) {
        case 0:
            i = 17;
            /* Falls through into default code */
        default:
            printf("%d\n", i);
            break;
    }
    return 0;
}

CERT-ENV30-C

Synopsis
Do not modify the object referenced by the return value of certain functions.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: Some functions return a pointer to an object that cannot be modified without causing undefined behavior. These functions include getenv(), setlocale(), localeconv(), asctime(), and strerror(). In such cases, the function call results must be treated as being const-qualified.

Coding standards: CERT ENV30-C

Do not modify the object referenced by the return value of certain functions.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *s = getenv("MY_VAR");
    *s = 'A';
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    char *str = getenv("MY_VAR");
    char *copy_of_str = (char *)malloc(strlen(str) + 1);
    *copy_of_str = 'A';
}
```

CERT-ENV31-C

Synopsis: Do not rely on an environment pointer following an operation that may invalidate it

Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: Modifying the environment by any means may cause the environment memory to be reallocated, invalidating the 'envp' pointer.

Coding standards: CERT ENV31-C

Do not rely on an environment pointer following an operation that may invalidate it.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(int argc, const char *argv[], const char *envp[]) {
    if (setenv("MY_NEW_VAR", "new_value", 1) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (envp != NULL) {
        for (size_t i = 0; envp[i] != NULL; ++i) {
            puts(envp[i]);
        }
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
Descriptions of checks

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
extern char **environ;

int main(void) {
    if (setenv("MY_NEW_VAR", "new_value", 1) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (environ != NULL) {
        for (size_t i = 0; environ[i] != NULL; ++i) {
            puts(environ[i]);
        }
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**CERT-ENV32-C**

Synopsis: All exit handlers must return normally

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: A nested call to an exit function is undefined behavior. This behavior can occur when an exit function is invoked from an exit handler or when an exit function is called from within a signal handler. Exit handlers must terminate by returning. It is important and potentially safety-critical for all exit handlers to be allowed to perform their cleanup actions.

Coding standards: CERT ENV32-C

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void exit1(void) {
    /* ... Cleanup code ... */
    return;
}

void exit2(void) {
    extern int some_condition;
    if (some_condition) {
        /* ... More cleanup code ... */
        exit(0);
    }
    return;
}

int main(void) {
    if (atexit(exit1) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (atexit(exit2) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* ... Program code ... */
    return 0;
}
```
#include <stdlib.h>

void exit1(void) {
    /* ... Cleanup code ... */
    return;
}

define exit2(void) {
    extern int some_condition;
    if (some_condition) {
        /* ... More cleanup code ... */
    }
    return;
}

int main(void) {
    if (atexit(exit1) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (atexit(exit2) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* ... Program code ... */
    return 0;
}

**CERT-ENV33-C**

**Synopsis**

Do not call system().

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

Use of the system() function can result in exploitable vulnerabilities, in the worst case allowing execution of arbitrary system commands. Do not invoke a command processor via system() or equivalent functions to execute a command.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void func(char *input) {
    system(input);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func() {
}
```

---

**CERT-ENV34-C**

**Synopsis**
Do not store pointers returned by certain functions.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
Do not store pointers returned by `getenv()` and similar functions because the string data it points to may be overwritten by a subsequent call to the same function or invalidated by modifications to the environment. This string should be referenced immediately and discarded. If later use is anticipated, the string should be copied so the copy can be safely referenced as needed.

**Coding standards**
CERT ENV34-C

Do not store pointers returned by certain functions

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    char *tmpvar;
    char *tempvar;

    tmpvar = getenv("TMP");
    if (!tmpvar) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    tempvar = getenv("TEMP");
    if (!tempvar) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (strcmp(tmpvar, tempvar) == 0) {
        printf("TMP and TEMP are the same.\n");
    } else {
        printf("TMP and TEMP are NOT the same.\n");
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    char *tmpvar;
    char *tempvar;
    const char *temp = getenv("TMP");
    if (temp != NULL) {
        tmpvar = (char *)malloc(strlen(temp)+1);
        if (tmpvar != NULL) {
            strcpy(tmpvar, temp);
        } else {
            /* Handle error */
        }
    } else {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    temp = getenv("TEMP");
    if (temp != NULL) {
        tempvar = (char *)malloc(strlen(temp)+1);
        if (tempvar != NULL) {
            strcpy(tempvar, temp);
        } else {
            /* Handle error */
        }
    } else {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    if (strcmp(tmpvar, tempvar) == 0) {
        printf("TMP and TEMP are the same.
`");
    } else {
        printf("TMP and TEMP are NOT the same.
`");
    }
    free(tmpvar);
    free(tempvar);
}

**CERT-ERR30-C_a**

**Synopsis**
Set errno to zero before calling a library function known to set errno.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
**Severity/Certainty**: Medium/Medium

**Full description**: The value of errno is initialized to zero at program startup, but it is never subsequently set to zero by any C standard library function. The value of errno may be set to nonzero by a C standard library function call whether or not there is an error, provided the use of errno is not documented in the description of the function. Therefore, errno should be set to zero before calling an errno-setting function.

**Coding standards**: CERT ERR30-C

- Set errno to zero before calling a library function known to set errno, and check errno only after the function returns a value indicating failure.

**Code examples**: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(const char *c) {
    strtol(c, NULL, 10);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <errno.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(const char *c) {
    errno = 0;
    long a = strtol(c, NULL, 10);
}
```

**CERT-ERR30-C_b**

- **Synopsis**: Check errno only after the function returns a value indicating failure.
- **Enabled by default**: Yes
- **Severity/Certainty**: Medium/Medium
**Full description**

It is meaningful for a program to inspect the contents of errno only after an error might have occurred. More precisely, errno is meaningful only after a library function that sets errno on error has returned an error code.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <errno.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(char *c) {
    long a = strtol(c, NULL, 8);
    // Not checking the return value, just errno
    if (errno == 0) {
        return;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <limits.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(char *c) {
    long a = strtol(c, NULL, 8);
    if (a == LONG_MAX && errno == ERANGE) {
        return;
    }
}
```

**CERT-ERR30-C_c**

**Synopsis**

Check errno only after the function called is an errno-setting function.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium
Full description
The value of errno may be set to nonzero by a C standard library function call whether or not there is an error, provided the use of errno is not documented in the description of the function. errno should only be checked where a function is documents its use.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <errno.h>

void example(char *c) {
    long l = strtol(c, NULL, 10);
    printf("%s\n", c);
    if (l == 0 && errno == 0) {
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <errno.h>

void example(char *c) {
    long l = strtol(c, NULL, 10);
    if (l == 0 && errno == 0) {
        return;
    }
    printf("%s\n", c);
}
```

CERT-ERR30-C_d
Synopsis
Check return of errno setting functions for values indicating failure.

Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: It is meaningful to inspect the value of errno only after establishing that the errno-setting function has returned an error. The return value of these functions must be inspected.

Coding standards: CERT ERR30-C
Set errno to zero before calling a library function known to set errno, and check errno only after the function returns a value indicating failure.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(char *c) {
    long a = strtol(c, NULL, 8);
    return;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <limits.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(char *c) {
    long a = strtol(c, NULL, 8);
    if (a == ULONG_MAX) {
        //handle error
    }
    return;
}
```

CERT-ERR32-C

Synopsis: Do not rely on indeterminate values of errno.

Enabled by default: Yes
A signal handler is allowed to call signal(); if that fails, signal() returns SIG_ERR and sets errno to a positive value. However, if the event that caused a signal was external (not the result of the program calling abort() or raise()), the only functions the signal handler may call are _Exit() or abort(), or it may call signal() on the signal currently being handled; if signal() fails, the value of errno is indeterminate. Using this value results in undefined behavior.

Coding standards
CERT ERR32-C
Do not rely on indeterminate values of errno

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <signal.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

typedef void (*pfv)(int);

void handler(int signum) {
    pfv old_handler = signal(signum, SIG_DFL);
    if (old_handler == SIG_ERR) {
        perror("SIGINT handler"); /* Undefined behavior */
        /* Handle error */
    }
}

int main(void) {
    pfv old_handler = signal(SIGINT, handler);
    if (old_handler == SIG_ERR) {
        perror("SIGINT handler");
        /* Handle error */
    }

    /* Main code loop */
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <signal.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

typedef void (*pfv)(int);

void handler(int signum) {
    pfv old_handler = signal(signum, SIG_DFL);
    if (old_handler == SIG_ERR) {
        abort();
    }
}

int main(void) {
    pfv old_handler = signal(SIGINT, handler);
    if (old_handler == SIG_ERR) {
        perror("SIGINT handler");
        /* Handle error */
    }

    /* Main code loop */

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

### CERT-ERR33-C_a

**Synopsis**
Detect and handle standard library errors.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
The majority of the standard library functions, including I/O functions and memory allocation functions, return either a valid value or a value of the correct return type that indicates an error (for example, -1 or a null pointer). It is essential that programs detect and appropriately handle all errors in accordance with an error-handling policy. This check warns on usage of standard library functions without checking for errors in return value and/or errno.

**Coding standards**
CERT ERR33-C
Detect and handle errors

CWE 252
Unchecked Return Value

CWE 253
Incorrect Check of Function Return Value

CWE 391
Unchecked Error Condition

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <locale.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int utf8_to_wcs(wchar_t *wcs, size_t n, const char *utf8, 
    size_t *size) {
    if (NULL == size) {
        return -1;
    }
    setlocale(LC_CTYPE, "en_US.UTF-8");
    *size = mbstowcs(wcs, utf8, n);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <locale.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int utf8_to_wcs(wchar_t *wcs, size_t n, const char *utf8,
     size_t *size) {
    if (NULL == size) {
        return -1;
    }
    const char *save = setlocale(LC_CTYPE, "en_US.UTF-8");
    if (NULL == save) {
        return -1;
    }
    *size = mbstowcs(wcs, utf8, n);
    if (*size == (size_t)(-1)) {
        /* handle error */
    }
    if (NULL == setlocale(LC_CTYPE, save)) {
        return -1;
    }
    return 0;
}

**CERT-ERR33-C_b**

**Synopsis**
Detect and handle standard library errors.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
The majority of the standard library functions, including I/O functions and memory allocation functions, return either a valid value or a value of the correct return type that indicates an error (for example, -1 or a null pointer). It is essential that programs detect and appropriately handle all errors in accordance with an error-handling policy. This check warns on usage of file char I/O standard library functions without checking for errors when the return value is EOF.

**Coding standards**
CERT ERR33-C
Detect and handle errors
CWE 252
Unchecked Return Value
CWE 253
Incorrect Check of Function Return Value
CWE 391
Unchecked Error Condition

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main()
{
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "r");
    int ch = getc(fp);
    while (ch != EOF)
    {
        /* display contents of file on screen */
        putchar(ch);
        ch = getc(fp);
    }

    fclose(fp);

    getchar();
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main()
{
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "r");
    int ch = getc(fp);
    while (ch != EOF)
    {
        /* display contents of file on screen */
        putchar(ch);
        ch = getc(fp);
    }
    if (feof(fp))
        printf("\n End of file reached. ");
    else
        printf("\n Something went wrong.");
    fclose(fp);
    getchar();
    return 0;
}
```

**CERT-ERR33-C_c**

**Synopsis**
Detect and handle standard library errors.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
The majority of the standard library functions, including I/O functions and memory allocation functions, return either a valid value or a value of the correct return type that indicates an error (for example, -1 or a null pointer). It is essential that programs detect and appropriately handle all errors in accordance with an error-handling policy. This check warns on usage of standard library functions listed in EX1 without checking for errors or explicitly discard the return value.

**Coding standards**
CERT ERR33-C
Detect and handle errors

CWE 252
Unchecked Return Value

CWE 253
Incorrect Check of Function Return Value

CWE 391
Unchecked Error Condition

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include<stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    printf("Hello, world\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include<stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    (void) printf("Hello, world\n"); // printf() return value safely ignored
}
```

CERT-ERR33-C_d

Synopsis
Detect and handle standard library errors.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
The majority of the standard library functions, including I/O functions and memory allocation functions, return either a valid value or a value of the correct return type that indicates an error (for example, -1 or a null pointer). It is essential that programs detect and appropriately handle all errors in accordance with an error-handling policy.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards

CERT ERR33-C

Detect and handle errors

CWE 252

Unchecked Return Value

CWE 253

Incorrect Check of Function Return Value

CWE 391

Unchecked Error Condition

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void *p;
void func(size_t new_size) {
    if (new_size == 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    p = realloc(p, new_size);
    if (p == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

void *p;
void func(size_t new_size) {
    void *q;
    if (new_size == 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    q = realloc(p, new_size);
    if (q == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else {
        p = q;
    }
}

CERT-ERR34-C_a

Synopsis Detect errors when converting a string to a number.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty Medium/Low

Full description The process of parsing an integer or floating-point number from a string can produce many errors. These error conditions must be detected and addressed when a string-to-number conversion is performed using a C Standard Library function.

Coding standards CERT ERR34-C

- Detect errors when converting a string to a number
CWE 391
- Unchecked Error Condition
CWE 676
- Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
CWE 758
Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void func(const char *buff) {
    int si;
    if (buff) {
        si = atoi(buff);
    } else {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <errno.h>
#include <limits.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void func(const char *buff) {
    char *end;
    int si;
    errno = 0;

    const long sl = strtol(buff, &end, 10);
    if (end == buff) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s: not a decimal number\n", buff);
    } else if ('\0' != *end) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s: extra characters at end of input: %s\n", buff, end);
    } else if ((LONG_MIN == sl || LONG_MAX == sl) && ERANGE == errno) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s out of range of type long\n", buff);
    } else if (sl > INT_MAX) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%ld greater than INT_MAX\n", sl);
    } else if (sl < INT_MIN) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%ld less than INT_MIN\n", sl);
    } else {
        si = (int)sl;

        /* Process si */
    }
}

**CERT-ERR34-C_b**

**Synopsis**
Detect errors when converting a string to a number.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low
The process of parsing an integer or floating-point number from a string can produce many errors. These error conditions must be detected and addressed when a string-to-number conversion is performed using a C Standard Library function.

Coding standards

CERT ERR34-C
Detect errors when converting a string to a number

CWE 391
Unchecked Error Condition

CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

CWE 758
Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <errno.h>
#include <limits.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void func(const char *buff) {
    char *end;
    int si;
    errno = 0;
    const long sl = strtol(buff, &end, 10);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
Descriptions of checks

```c
#include <errno.h>
#include <limits.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void func(const char *buff) {
    char *end;
    int si;

    errno = 0;

    const long sl = strtol(buff, &end, 10);

    if (end == buff) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s: not a decimal number\n", buff);
    } else if ('\0' != *end) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s: extra characters at end of input: %s\n", buff, end);
    } else if ((LONG_MIN == sl || LONG_MAX == sl) && ERANGE == errno) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s out of range of type long\n", buff);
    } else if (sl > INT_MAX) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%ld greater than INT_MAX\n", sl);
    } else if (sl < INT_MIN) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%ld less than INT_MIN\n", sl);
    } else {
        si = (int)sl;

        /* Process si */
    }
}
```

**CERT-EXP19-C**

**Synopsis**
No braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium
The body of an if, for, or while statement is missing opening and closing braces. Opening and closing braces for if, for, and while statements should always be used even if the statement’s body contains only a single statement.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP19-C

Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int login;

    if (invalid_login())
        login = 0;
    else
        login = 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define ADMINISTRATOR 0
#define GUEST 1

void example(void) {
    int privileges;

    if (invalid_login()) {
        if (allow_guests()) {
            privileges = GUEST;
        } else {
            privileges = ADMINISTRATOR;
        }
    }
}
```

**CERT-EXP30-C_a**

**Synopsis**

Do not depend on the order of evaluation for side effects.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
Evaluation of an expression may produce side effects. At specific points during execution, known as sequence points, all side effects of previous evaluations are complete, and no side effects of subsequent evaluations have yet taken place. Do not depend on the order of evaluation for side effects unless there is an intervening sequence point. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_a, MISRAC2004-12.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_i, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_a, SPC-order.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP30-C
Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int i, int *b) {
    int a = i + b[++i];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int i, int *b) {
    {
        int a;
        ++i;
        a = i + b[i];
    }
    {
        int a = i + b[i + 1];
        ++i;
    }
}
```

**CERT-EXP30-C_b**

**Synopsis**
Do not depend on the order of evaluation for side effects.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Evaluation of an expression may produce side effects. At specific points during execution, known as sequence points, all side effects of previous evaluations are complete, and no side effects of subsequent evaluations have yet taken place. Do not depend on the order of evaluation for side effects unless there is an intervening sequence point.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern void c(int i, int j);
int glob;

int a(void) {
    return glob + 10;
}

int b(void) {
    glob = 42;
    return glob;
}

void example(void) {
    c(a()), b());
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
extern void c(int i, int j);
int glob;

int a(void) {
    return glob + 10;
}
int b(void) {
    glob = 42;
    return glob;
}

void example(void) {
    int a_val, b_val;
    a_val = a();
    b_val = b();
    c(a_val, b_val);
}

**CERT-EXP32-C**

**Synopsis**
Do not access a volatile object through a nonvolatile reference.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
An object that has volatile-qualified type may be modified in ways unknown to the implementation or have other unknown side effects. Referencing a volatile object by using a non-volatile lvalue is undefined behavior.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP32-C
Do not access a volatile object through a non-volatile reference

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    static volatile int **ipp;
    static int *ip;
    static volatile int i = 0;

    printf("i = %d.\n", i);

    ipp = &ip; /* May produce a warning diagnostic */
    ipp = (int**) &ip; /* Constraint violation; may produce a warning diagnostic */
    *ipp = &i; /* Valid */
    if (*ip != 0) { /* Valid */
        /* ... */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    static volatile int **ipp;
    static volatile int *ip;
    static volatile int i = 0;

    printf("i = %d.\n", i);

    ipp = &ip;
    *ipp = &i;
    if (*ip != 0) {
        /* ... */
    }
}

CERT-EXP33-C_a

Synopsis
Do not read uninitialized memory.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  High/Medium

Full description  Uninitialized automatic variables or dynamically allocated memory has indeterminate values, which for objects of some types, can be a trap representation. Reading such trap representations is undefined behavior; it can cause a program to behave in an unexpected manner and provide an avenue for attack.

Coding standards  CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 758
Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior
CWE 824
Access of Uninitialized Pointer
CWE 908
Use of Uninitialized Resource

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define NULL 0
void set_flag(int number, int *sign_flag) {
    if (NULL == sign_flag) {
        return;
    }
    if (number > 0) {
        *sign_flag = 1;
    } else if (number < 0) {
        *sign_flag = -1;
    }
}

int is_negative(int number) {
    int sign;
    set_flag(number, &sign);
    return sign < 0;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define NULL 0
void set_flag(int number, int *sign_flag) {
    if (NULL == sign_flag) {
        return;
    }

    /* Account for number being 0 */
    if (number >= 0) {
        *sign_flag = 1;
    } else {
        *sign_flag = -1;
    }
}

int is_negative(int number) {
    int sign = 0; /* Initialize for defense-in-depth */
    set_flag(number, &sign);
    return sign < 0;
}
```

**CERT-EXP33-C**

**Synopsis**

Do not read uninitialized memory.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

Uninitialized automatic variables or dynamically allocated memory has indeterminate values, which for objects of some types, can be a trap representation. Reading such trap representations is undefined behavior; it can cause a program to behave in an unexpected manner and provide an avenue for attack.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 758
Descriptions of checks

Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

CWE 824
  Access of Uninitialized Pointer

CWE 908
  Use of Uninitialized Resource

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
enum { OLD_SIZE = 10, NEW_SIZE = 20);

int *resize_array(int *array, size_t count) {
    if (0 == count) {
        return 0;
    }
    int *ret = (int *)realloc(array, count * sizeof(int));
    if (!ret) {
        free(array);
        return 0;
    }
    return ret;
}

void func(void) {
    int *array = (int *)malloc(OLD_SIZE * sizeof(int));
    if (0 == array) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    for (size_t i = 0; i < OLD_SIZE; ++i) {
        array[i] = i;
    }
    array = resize_array(array, NEW_SIZE);
    if (0 == array) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    for (size_t i = 0; i < NEW_SIZE; ++i) {
        printf("%d ", array[i]);
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
enum { OLD_SIZE = 10, NEW_SIZE = 20 };  

int *resize_array(int *array, size_t old_count, size_t new_count)  
{  
  if (0 == new_count) {  
    return 0;  
  }  
  int *ret = (int *)realloc(array, new_count * sizeof(int));  
  if (!ret) {  
    free(array);  
    return 0;  
  }  
  if (new_count > old_count) {  
    memset(ret + old_count, 0, (new_count - old_count) * sizeof(int));  
  }  
  return ret;  
}  

void func(void) {  
  int *array = (int *)malloc(OLD_SIZE * sizeof(int));  
  if (0 == array) {  
    /* Handle error */  
  }  
  for (size_t i = 0; i < OLD_SIZE; ++i) {  
    array[i] = i;  
  }  
  array = resize_array(array, OLD_SIZE, NEW_SIZE);  
  if (0 == array) {  
    /* Handle error */  
  }  
  for (size_t i = 0; i < NEW_SIZE; ++i) {  
    printf("%d ", array[i]);  
  }  
}  

#include <stdlib.h>  
#include <stdio.h>  
#include <string.h>
CERT-EXP33-C_c

Synopsis
Do not read uninitialized memory.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
Uninitialized automatic variables or dynamically allocated memory has indeterminate values, which for objects of some types, can be a trap representation. Reading such trap representations is undefined behavior; it can cause a program to behave in an unexpected manner and provide an avenue for attack. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_a, PTR-uninit-pos.

Coding standards
CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 758
Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

CWE 824
Access of Uninitialized Pointer

CWE 908
Use of Uninitialized Resource

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  //p is uninitialized
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p,a;
    p = &a;
    *p = 4;  //OK - p holds a valid address
}
```
CERT-EXP33-C_d

Synopsis
Do not read uninitialized memory.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
Uninitialized automatic variables or dynamically allocated memory has indeterminate values, which for objects of some types, can be a trap representation. Reading such trap representations is undefined behavior; it can cause a program to behave in an unexpected manner and provide an avenue for attack. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_b, SPC-uninit-arr-all.

Coding standards
CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 758
Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior
CWE 824
Access of Uninitialized Pointer
CWE 908
Use of Uninitialized Resource

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example() {
    int a[20];
    int b = a[1];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
CERT-EXP33-C_e

**Synopsis**
Do not read uninitialized memory.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
Uninitialized automatic variables or dynamically allocated memory has indeterminate values, which for objects of some types, can be a trap representation. Reading such trap representations is undefined behavior; it can cause a program to behave in an unexpected manner and provide an avenue for attack. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_c, SPC-uninit-struct.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C
   Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 758
   Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior
CWE 824
   Access of Uninitialized Pointer
CWE 908
   Use of Uninitialized Resource

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern void f(int*);
void example() {
  int a[20];
  f(a);
  int b = a[1];
}
```
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    a = str.x;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(int i) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    str.x = i;
    a = str.x;
}

**CERT-EXP33-C_f**

**Synopsis**
Do not read uninitialized memory.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
Uninitialized automatic variables or dynamically allocated memory has indeterminate values, which for objects of some types, can be a trap representation. Reading such trap representations is undefined behavior; it can cause a program to behave in an unexpected manner and provide an avenue for attack. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_d, SPC-uninit-struct-field.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 758

Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

CWE 824

Access of Uninitialized Pointer

CWE 908

Use of Uninitialized Resource

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    a = str.x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    str.x = 0;
    a = str.x;
}
```

**CERT-EXP34-C_a**

**Synopsis**

Do not dereference null pointers.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: High/High

Full description: Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior. On many platforms, dereferencing a null pointer results in abnormal program termination, but this is not required by the standard.

Coding standards: CERT EXP34-C

- Do not dereference null pointers

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void * maybe_return_null(int num, void *p) {
    if (num % 2) {
        return NULL;
    }
    return p;
}

void example(void *usr_data, int length) {
    int *ptr = malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = maybe_return_null(length, ptr);
    memcpy(ptr, usr_data, length);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void * maybe_return_null(int num, void *p) {
    if (num % 2) {
        return NULL;
    }
    return p;
}

void example(void *usr_data, int length) {
    int *ptr = malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = maybe_return_null(length, ptr);
    memcpy(ptr, usr_data, length);
}
```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void * maybe_return_null(int num, void *p) {
    if (num % 2) {
        return NULL;
    }
    return p;
}

void example(void *usr_data, int length) {
    int *ptr = malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = maybe_return_null(length, ptr);
    if (ptr != NULL) {
        memcpy(ptr, usr_data, length);
    }
}

CERT-EXP34-C_b

Synopsis
Do not dereference null pointers.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior. On many platforms, dereferencing a null pointer results in abnormal program termination, but this is not required by the standard. This check is identical to PTR-null-assign-fun-pos.

Coding standards
CERT EXP34-C

Do not dereference null pointers

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#define NULL ((void*) 0)
void * malloc(unsigned long);

int * xmalloc(int size){
    int * res = malloc(sizeof(int)*size);
    if (res != NULL)
        return res;
    else
        return NULL;
}

void zeroout(int *xp, int i)
{
    xp[i] = 0;
}

int foo() {
    int * x;
    int i;
    x = xmalloc(45);
    if (x == NULL)
        return -1;
    else {
        for(i = 0; i < 45; i++)
            zeroout(x, i);
    }
}

**CERT-EXP34-C_c**

**Synopsis**
Do not dereference null pointers.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior. On many platforms, dereferencing a null pointer results in abnormal program termination, but this is not required by the standard. This check is identical to PTR-null-assign-pos.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP34-C
Do not dereference null pointers

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
char *getenv(const char *name)
{
    return strcmp(name, "HOME") == 0 ? "/" : NULL;
}

int ex(void)
{
    char *p = getenv("USER");
    return *p;  // p might be NULL
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void)
{
    int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (p != 0) {
        *p = 4;
    }
    return (int)p;
}
```

**CERT-EXP34-C_d**

**Synopsis**

Do not dereference null pointers.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High

**Full description**

Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior. On many platforms, dereferencing a null pointer results in abnormal program termination, but this is not required by the standard. This check is identical to PTR-null-assign.
Coding standards

CERT EXP34-C
Do not dereference null pointers

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *p;
    p = NULL;
    return *p;  //dereference after
               //assignment to NULL
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *p;
    p = NULL;
    p = (int *)1;
    return *p;
}
```

CERT-EXP34-C_e

**Synopsis**
Do not dereference null pointers.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior. On many platforms, dereferencing a null pointer results in abnormal program termination, but this is not required by the standard. This check is identical to PTR-null-cmp-aft.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP34-C
Do not dereference null pointers
Descriptions of checks

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  //line 8 asserts that p may be NULL
    if (p != NULL) {
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *p) {
    if (p == NULL) {
        return;
    }
    *p = 4;
}
```

**CERT-EXP34-C_f**

**Synopsis**  Do not dereference null pointers.

**Enabled by default**  Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  High/High

**Full description**  Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior. On many platforms, dereferencing a null pointer results in abnormal program termination, but this is not required by the standard. This check is identical to PTR-null-cmp-bef-fun.

**Coding standards**  CERT EXP34-C

   Do not dereference null pointers

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x){
    *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(x);
}
```

**CERT-EXP34-C**

**Synopsis**
Do not dereference null pointers.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High
Dereferencing a null pointer is undefined behavior. On many platforms, dereferencing a null pointer results in abnormal program termination, but this is not required by the standard. This check is identical to PTR-null-cmp-bef.

**CERT EXP34-C**

Do not dereference null pointers

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    if (p == NULL) {
        *p = 4;  //dereference after comparison with NULL
    }
    return 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    if (p != NULL) {
        *p = 4;  //OK - after comparison with non-NULL
    }
    return 1;
}
```

**CERT-EXP35-C**

**Synopsis**

Do not modify objects with temporary lifetime

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium
Full description
If a function call returns by value a struct or union containing an array, do not modify those arrays within the expression containing the function call. Do not access an array returned by a function after the next sequence point or after the evaluation of the containing full expression or full declarator ends.

Coding standards
CERT EXP35-C
Do not modify objects with temporary lifetime

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

struct my_struct { char str[8]; }

struct my_struct get_new_struct(void) {
    struct my_struct a = { "AAAAAAA" };  
    return a; 
}

void example(void) {
    printf("%s\n", get_new_struct().str);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

struct my_struct { char str[8]; }

struct my_struct get_new_struct(void) {
    struct my_struct a = { "AAAAAAA" };  
    return a; 
}

void example(void) {
    struct my_struct s = get_new_struct();
    printf("%s\n", s.str);
}
```

**CERT-EXP36-C_a**

Synopsis
Do not cast pointers into more strictly aligned pointer types.

Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: Do not convert a pointer value to a pointer type that is more strictly aligned than the referenced type. Different alignments are possible for different types of objects. If the type-checking system is overridden by an explicit cast or the pointer is converted to a void pointer (void *) and then to a different type, the alignment of an object may be changed.

Coding standards: CERT EXP36-C

Do not cast pointers into more strictly aligned pointer types.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <assert.h>

void func(void) {
    char c = 'x';
    int *ip = (int *)&c; /* This can lose information */
    char *cp = (char *)ip;
    /* Will fail on some conforming implementations */
    assert(cp == &c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <assert.h>

void func(void) {
    char c = 'x';
    int i = c;
    int *ip = &i;
    assert(ip == &i);
}
```

**CERT-EXP36-C_b**

Synopsis: Do not cast pointers into more strictly aligned pointer types.
Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: Do not convert a pointer value to a pointer type that is more strictly aligned than the referenced type. Different alignments are possible for different types of objects. If the type-checking system is overridden by an explicit cast or the pointer is converted to a void pointer (void *) and then to a different type, the alignment of an object may be changed. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-11.5.

Coding standards: CERT EXP36-C

Do not convert pointers into more strictly aligned pointer types

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *loop_function(void *v_pointer) {
    /* ... */
    return v_pointer;
}

void func(char *char_ptr) {
    int *int_ptr = loop_function(char_ptr);
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int *loop_function(int *v_pointer) {
    /* ... */
    return v_pointer;
}

void func(int *loop_ptr) {
    int *int_ptr = loop_function(loop_ptr);
    /* ... */
}
```
## CERT-EXP37-C.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Synopsis</strong></th>
<th>Call functions with the correct number and type of arguments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Full description
Do not call a function with the wrong number or type of arguments. Undefined behavior (UB) may arise as a result of invoking a function using a declaration that is incompatible with its definition or by supplying incorrect types or numbers of arguments.

### Coding standards
CERT EXP37-C

- Call functions with the arguments intended by the API

### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

char *(*fp)() = strchr;

void example(void) {
    const char *c;
    fp = strchr;
    c = fp('e', "Hello");
    printf("%s
", c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

char *(*fp)(const char *, int);

void example(void) {
    const char *c;
    fp = strchr;
    c = fp("Hello","e");
    printf("%s\n", c);
}

CERT-EXP37-C_b

Synopsis
Call functions with the correct number and type of arguments.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/ Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
Do not call a function with the wrong number or type of arguments. Undefined behavior (UB) may arise as a result of invoking a function using a declaration that is incompatible with its definition or by supplying incorrect types or numbers of arguments. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.3.

Coding standards
CERT EXP37-C
Call functions with the arguments intended by the API

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

/* Defect when used with example.pass.c */
void f();

void example(void) {
    int x;
    f(x);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void f(long x) {}

void example(void) {
    long x;
    f(x);
}

**CERT-EXP37-C**

**Synopsis**
Call functions with the correct number and type of arguments.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
Do not call a function with the wrong number or type of arguments. Undefined behavior (UB) may arise as a result of invoking a function using a declaration that is incompatible with its definition or by supplying incorrect types or numbers of arguments.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP37-C
Call functions with the arguments intended by the API

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```
#include "fcntl.h"

void func(const char *ms) {
    /* ... */
    int fd;
    fd = open(ms, O_CREAT | O_EXCL | O_WRONLY | O_TRUNC);
    if (fd == -1) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include "fcntl.h"

void func(const char *ms, mode_t perms) {
    /* ... */
    int fd;
    fd = open(ms, O_CREAT | O_EXCL | O_WRONLY | O_TRUNC, perms);
    if (fd == -1) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}

CERT-EXP39-C_a

Synopsis

Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Low

Full description

Modifying a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type (other than unsigned char) can lead to unpredictable results.

Coding standards

CERT EXP39-C

Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

struct gadget {
    int i;
    double d;
    char *p;
};

struct widget {
    char *q;
    int j;
    double e;
};

void func1fail(void) {
    struct gadget *gp;
    struct widget *wp;

    gp = (struct gadget *)malloc(sizeof(struct gadget));
    if (!gp) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* ... Initialize gadget ... */
    wp = (struct widget *)realloc(gp, sizeof(struct widget));
    if (!wp) {
        free(gp);
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (wp->j == 12) {
        /* ... */
    }
    /* ... */
    free(wp);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

struct gadget {
    int i;
    double d;
    char *p;
};

struct widget {
    char *q;
    int j;
    double e;
};

void func1fail(void) {
    struct gadget *gp;
    struct widget *wp;
    gp = (struct gadget *)malloc(sizeof(struct gadget));
    if (!gp) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* ... Initialize gadget ... */
    wp = (struct widget *)realloc(gp, sizeof(struct widget));
    if (!wp) {
        free(gp);
        /* Handle error */
    }
    memset(wp, 0, sizeof(struct widget));
    if (wp->j == 12) {
        /* ... */
    }
    /* ... */
    free(wp);
}

**CERT-EXP39-C_b**

**Synopsis**

Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>Modifying a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type (other than unsigned char) can lead to unpredictable results. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-11.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>CERT EXP39-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                    | ```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
  int (*fptr)(int,int);
  (int*)fptr;
}
``` |
|                    | The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue: |
|                    | ```c
typedef void (*fp16)(int n);
typedef fp16 (*pfp16)(void);

void example(void) {
  pfp16 pfp1;
  (void) (*pfp1()); /* Compliant - exception 2 - cast function */
  * pointer into void */
}
``` |

**CERT-EXP39-C_c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Low

Full description: Modifying a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type (other than unsigned char) can lead to unpredictable results. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-11.2.

Coding standards: CERT EXP39-C

Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct a;
struct b;
void example(void) {
    struct a * p1;
    struct b * p2;
    unsigned int x;
    p1 = (struct a *) 0x12345678;
    x = (unsigned int) p2;
    p1 = (struct a *) p2;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
struct a;
extern struct a *f (void);
void example(void) {
    struct a * p;
    unsigned int x;
    /* exception 1: NULL -> incomplete type ptr */
    p = (struct a *) NULL;
    /* exception 2: incomplete type ptr -> void */
    (void) f();
}
```

CERT-EXP39-C_d

Synopsis: Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type.
Modifying a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type (other than unsigned char) can lead to unpredictable results. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-11.3.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP39-C

Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef unsigned int uint32_t;
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t * p1;
    uint32_t * p2;
    p2 = (uint32_t *)p1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef unsigned int uint32_t;
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t * p1;
    uint8_t * p2;
    p2 = (uint8_t *)&p1;
}
```
ASA1_ASA2-1:1

**C-STAT checks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>Modifying a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type (other than unsigned char) can lead to unpredictable results. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-11.7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>CERT EXP39-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int *p;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>float f;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f = (float)p; /* Non-compliant */</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int *p;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>short f;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f = (short)p;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CERT-EXP40-C_a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Do not modify constant objects.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>If an attempt is made to modify an object defined with a const-qualified type through use of an lvalue with non-const-qualified type, the behavior is undefined.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CERT EXP40-C

Do not modify constant values

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
const int **ipp;
int *ip;
const int i = 42;

void example(void) {
    ipp = &ip; /* Constraint violation */
    *ipp = &i; /* Valid */
    *ip = 0; /* Modifies constant i (was 42) */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int **ipp;
int *ip;
int i = 42;

void example(void) {
    ipp = &ip; /* Valid */
    *ipp = &i; /* Valid */
    *ip = 0; /* Valid */
}
```

CERT-EXP40-C_b

Synopsis
Do not modify constant objects.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
If an attempt is made to modify an object defined with a const-qualified type through use of an lvalue with non-const-qualified type, the behavior is undefined.

Coding standards
CERT EXP40-C

Do not modify constant values
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    char *str = "const";
    str[0] = 'C';
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    char str[] = "string";
    str[0] = 'S';
}
```

**CERT-EXP42-C**

**Synopsis**
Do not compare padding data.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
Padding values are unspecified, attempting a byte-by-byte comparison between structures can lead to incorrect results.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP42-C

Do not compare padding data

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <string.h>

struct s {
    char c;
    int i;
    char buffer[13];
};

void compare(const struct s *left, const struct s *right) {
    if ((left && right) &&
        (0 == memcmp(left, right, sizeof(struct s)))) {
        /* ... */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>

struct s {
    char c;
    int i;
    char buffer[13];
};

void compare(const struct s *left, const struct s *right) {
    if ((left && right) &&
        (left->c == right->c) &&
        (left->i == right->i) &&
        (0 == memcmp(left->buffer, right->buffer, 13))) {
        /* ... */
    }
}

**CERT-EXP43-C_a**

**Synopsis**
Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium
The restrict qualifier requires that the pointers do not reference overlapping objects. If the objects referenced by arguments to functions overlap (meaning the objects share some common memory addresses), the behavior is undefined.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP43-C

Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *restrict a;
int *restrict b;

extern int c[];

int main(void) {
    c[0] = 17;
    c[1] = 18;
    a = &c[0];
    b = &c[1];
    a = b; /* Undefined behavior */
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int *a;
int *b;

extern int c[];

int main(void) {
    c[0] = 17;
    c[1] = 18;
    a = &c[0];
    b = &c[1];
    a = b; /* Defined behavior */
    /* ... */
}
```

**CERT-EXP43-C_b**

**Synopsis**

Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
The restrict qualifier requires that the pointers do not reference overlapping objects. If the objects referenced by arguments to functions overlap (meaning the objects share some common memory addresses), the behavior is undefined.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP43-C

Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
void f(size_t n, int *restrict p, const int *restrict q) {
    while (n-- > 0) {
        *p++ = *q++;
    }
}

void g(void) {
    extern int d[100];
    /* ... */
    f(50, d + 1, d); /* Undefined behavior */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
void f(size_t n, int *restrict p, const int *restrict q) {
    while (n-- > 0) {
        *p++ = *q++;
    }
}

void g(void) {
    extern int d[100];
    extern int e[100];
    /* ... */
    f(50, d, e); /* Defined behavior */
}
CERT-EXP43-C_c

Synopsis
Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
The restrict qualifier requires that the pointers do not reference overlapping objects. If the objects referenced by arguments to functions overlap (meaning the objects share some common memory addresses), the behavior is undefined.

Coding standards
CERT EXP43-C
Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    int i;
    float x;
    char format[100] = "%s";
    /* Undefined behavior */
    int n = scanf(format, format + 2, &i, &x);
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    int i;
    float x;
    int n = scanf("%d%f", &i, &x); /* Defined behavior */
    /* ... */
}
```
CERT-EXP43-C_d

Synopsis
Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
The restrict qualifier requires that the pointers do not reference overlapping objects. If the objects referenced by arguments to functions overlap (meaning the objects share some common memory addresses), the behavior is undefined.

Coding standards
CERT EXP43-C
Avoid undefined behavior when using restrict-qualified pointers

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(void) {
    int *restrict p1;
    int *restrict q1;

    int *restrict p2 = p1; /* Undefined behavior */
    int *restrict q2 = q1; /* Undefined behavior */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void) {
    int *restrict p1;
    int *restrict q1;
    { /* Added inner block */
        int *restrict p2 = p1; /* Valid, well-defined behavior */
        int *restrict q2 = q1; /* Valid, well-defined behavior */
    }
}
```

CERT-EXP44-C

Synopsis
Do not rely on side effects in operands to sizeof, _Alignof, or _Generic.
Some operators do not evaluate their operands beyond the type information the operands provide. When using one of these operators, do not pass an operand that would otherwise yield a side effect since the side effect will not be generated. The sizeof operator yields the size (in bytes) of its operand, which may be an expression or the parenthesized name of a type. In most cases, the operand is not evaluated. The operand passed to ALIGNOF is never evaluated, despite not being an expression. The operand used in the controlling expression of a _Generic selection expression is never evaluated. Providing an expression that appears to produce side effects may be misleading to programmers.

CERT EXP44-C
Do not rely on side effects in operands to sizeof, ALIGNOF, or _Generic

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    int a = 14;
    int b = sizeof(a++);
    printf("%d, %d\n", a, b);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    int a = 14;
    int b = sizeof(a);
    ++a;
    printf("%d, %d\n", a, b);
}
```
CERT-EXP45-C

Synopsis
Do not perform assignments in selection statements

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
Do not perform assignments in selection statements

Coding standards
CERT EXP45-C
Do not perform assignments in selection statements

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void fun()
{
    int a;
    int b;
    if (a = b);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void fun()
{
    int a;
    int b;
    if (a == b);
}

CERT-EXP46-C

Synopsis
Do not use a bitwise operator with a Boolean-like operand.

Enabled by default
Yes
Mixing bitwise and relational operators in the same full expression can be a sign of a logic error in the expression where a logical operator is usually the intended operator. Do not use the bitwise AND (&), bitwise OR (|), or bitwise XOR (^) operators with an operand of type _Bool, or the result of a relational-expression or equality-expression.

Coding standards
CERT EXP46-C
Do not use a bitwise operator with a Boolean-like operand
CWE 480
Use of Incorrect Operator

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
unsigned int getuid();
unsigned int geteuid();
void example(void) {
    if (!(getuid() & geteuid() == 0)) {
        /* ... */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
unsigned int getuid();
unsigned int geteuid();
void example(void) {
    if (!(getuid() && geteuid() == 0)) {
        /* ... */
    }
}
```

CERT-EXP47-C_a
Synopsis
Do not call va_arg with an argument of the incorrect type

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty

Medium/High

Full description

Ensure that an invocation of the va_arg() macro does not attempt to access an argument that was not passed to the variadic function. Further, the type passed to the va_arg() macro must match the type passed to the variadic function after default argument promotions have been applied.

Coding standards

CERT EXP47-C

Do not call va_arg with an argument of the incorrect type

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdarg.h>
#include <stddef.h>

void func(size_t num_vargs, ...) {
  va_list ap;
  va_start(ap, num_vargs);
  if (num_vargs > 0) {
    unsigned char c = va_arg(ap, unsigned char);
    // ...
  }
  va_end(ap);
}

void f(void) {
  unsigned char c = 0x12;
  func(1, c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
C-STAT checks

Synopsis
Do not call va_arg with an argument of the incorrect type

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
Ensure that an invocation of the va_arg() macro does not attempt to access an argument that was not passed to the variadic function. Further, the type passed to the va_arg() macro must match the type passed to the variadic function after default argument promotions have been applied.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdarg.h>
#include <stddef.h>

void func(size_t num_vargs, ...) {
    va_list ap;
    va_start(ap, num_vargs);
    if (num_vargs > 0) {
        unsigned char c = (unsigned char) va_arg(ap, int);
        // ...
    }
    va_end(ap);
}

void f(void) {
    unsigned char c = 0x12;
    func(1, c);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdarg.h>
#include <stddef.h>
void func(size_t num_vargs, const char *cp, ...) {
    va_list ap;
    va_start(ap, cp);
    if (num_vargs > 0) {
        int val = va_arg(ap, int);
        // ...
    }
    va_end(ap);
}
void f(void) {
    func(0, "The only argument");
}
```

**CERT-FIO30-C**

**Synopsis**

Exclude user input from format strings.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/High

Full description: Never call a formatted I/O function with a format string containing a tainted value. An attacker who can fully or partially control the contents of a format string can crash a vulnerable process, view the contents of the stack, view memory content, or write to an arbitrary memory location. Consequently, the attacker can execute arbitrary code with the permissions of the vulnerable process [Seacord 2013b]. This check is identical to SEC-STRING-format-string.

Coding standards: CERT FIO30-C

   Exclude user input from format strings

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void incorrect_password(const char *user) {
    int ret;
    /* User names are restricted to 256 or fewer characters */
    static const char msg_format[] = "\%s cannot be authenticated.\n";
    size_t len = strlen(user) + sizeof(msg_format);
    char *msg = (char *)malloc(len);
    if (msg == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    ret = snprintf(msg, len, msg_format, user);
    if (ret < 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else if (ret >= len) {
        /* Handle truncated output */
    }
    fprintf(stderr, msg);
    free(msg);
}

void example(void) {
    char passwd[256];
    gets(passwd); /* User input */
    incorrect_password(passwd);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void incorrect_password(const char *user) {
    int ret;
    /* User names are restricted to 256 or fewer characters */
    static const char msg_format[] = "%s cannot be authenticated.\n";
    size_t len = strlen(user) + sizeof(msg_format);
    char *msg = (char *)malloc(len);
    if (msg == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    ret = snprintf(msg, len, msg_format, user);
    if (ret < 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else if (ret >= len) {
        /* Handle truncated output */
    }
    fputs(msg, stderr);
    free(msg);
}

void example(void) {
    char passwd[256];
    gets(passwd); /* User input */
    incorrect_password(passwd);
}
```

### CERT-FIO32-C

**Synopsis**

Do not perform operations on devices that are only appropriate for files

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Low

**Full description**

File names may be used to access special files, which are actually devices. Performing operations on device files that are intended for ordinary character or binary files can result in crashes and denial-of-service attacks. Device files in UNIX can be a security
risk when an attacker can access them in an unauthorized way. It is possible to lock certain applications by attempting to open devices rather than files.

Coding standards

CERT FIO32-C

Do not perform operations on devices that are only appropriate for files

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(const char *file_name) {
    FILE *file;
    if ((file = fopen(file_name, "wb")) == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* Operate on the file */
    if (fclose(file) == EOF) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <unistd.h>

#ifdef O_NOFOLLOW
#define OPEN_FLAGS O_NOFOLLOW | O_NONBLOCK
#else
#define OPEN_FLAGS O_NONBLOCK
#endif

void func(const char *file_name) {
    struct stat orig_st;
    struct stat open_st;
    int fd;
    int flags;

    if ((lstat(file_name, &orig_st) != 0) || (!S_ISREG(orig_st.st_mode))) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    /* Race window */
    fd = open(file_name, OPEN_FLAGS | O_WRONLY);
    if (fd == -1) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    if (fstat(fd, &open_st) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    if ((orig_st.st_mode != open_st.st_mode) ||
        (orig_st.st_ino  != open_st.st_ino) ||
        (orig_st.st_dev  != open_st.st_dev)) {
        /* The file was tampered with */
    }

    /*
     * Optional: drop the O_NONBLOCK now that we are sure
     * this is a good file.
     */
    if ((flags = fcntl(fd, F_GETFL)) == -1) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```
if (fcntl(fd, F_SETFL, flags & ~O_NONBLOCK) == -1) {
    /* Handle error */
}

/* Operate on the file */
if (close(fd) == -1) {
    /* Handle error */
}

CERT-FIO34-C

Synopsis
Distinguish between characters read from a file and EOF or WEOF.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
On an implementation where int and char have the same width, a character-reading function can read and return a valid character that has the same bit-pattern as EOF. Consequently, failing to use feof() and ferror() to detect end-of-file and file errors can result in incorrectly identifying the EOF character on rare implementations where sizeof(int) == sizeof(char).

Coding standards
CERT FIO34-C
Use int to capture the return value of character IO functions

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <assert.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <limits.h>

void func(void) {
    int c;
    static_assert(UCHAR_MAX < UINT_MAX, "FIO34-C violation");
    do {
        c = getchar();
    } while (c != EOF);
}
```

**CERT-FIO37-C**

**Synopsis**
A string returned by fgets() and fgetsws() might contain NULL characters.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
A string returned by fgets() and fgetsws() might contain NULL characters. If the length of this string is then used to access the buffer, it might result in an unexpected integer wrap around leading to an out-of-bounds memory write.

**Coding standards**
CERT FIO37-C
Do not assume that fgets() returns a nonempty string when successful

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 241
Improper Handling of Unexpected Data Type

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
enum { BUFFER_SIZE = 1024 };;

void func(void) {
    char buf[BUFFER_SIZE];
    if (fgets(buf, sizeof(buf), stdin) == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    buf[strlen(buf) - 1] = '\0';
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
enum { BUFFER_SIZE = 1024 };;

void func(void) {
    char buf[BUFFER_SIZE];
    char *p;
    if (fgets(buf, sizeof(buf), stdin)) {
        p = strchr(buf, '\n');
        if (p) {
            *p = '\0';
        } else {
            /* Handle error */
        }
    }
}
CERT-FIO38-C

Synopsis
A FILE object is copied.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
A FILE object is copied. In some C implementations, the address of a FILE object might be used to identify a stream. Using a copy of FILE object might result in unexpected behavior or a crash.

Coding standards
CERT FIO38-C

Do not use a copy of a FILE object for input and output

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(FILE file) {
    FILE my_file = file;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(FILE * file_ptr) {
    FILE * my_file_ptr = file_ptr;
}
```

CERT-FIO39-C

Synopsis
Do not alternately input and output from a stream without an intervening flush or positioning call.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**

Receiving input from a stream directly following an output to that stream, or outputting to a stream after receiving input from that stream, without an intervening call to `fflush()`, `fseek()`, `fsetpos()`, or `rewind()` if the file is not at end-of-file is undefined behaviour. Consequently, a call to `fseek()`, `fflush()`, or `fsetpos()` is necessary between input and output to the same stream.

**Coding standards**

- CERT FIO39-C
  - Do not alternately input and output from a stream without an intervening flush or positioning call

- CWE 664
  - Improper Control of a Resource Through its Lifetime

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

enum { BUFFERSIZE = 32 };

extern void initialize_data(char *data, size_t size);

void func(const char *file_name) {
    char data[BUFFERSIZE];
    char append_data[BUFFERSIZE];
    FILE *file;

    file = fopen(file_name, "a+);
    if (file == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    initialize_data(append_data, BUFFERSIZE);

    if (fwrite(append_data, 1, BUFFERSIZE, file) != BUFFERSIZE) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    if (fread(data, 1, BUFFERSIZE, file) < BUFFERSIZE) {
        /* Handle there not being data */
    }

    if (fclose(file) == EOF) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

enum { BUFFERSIZE = 32 };
extern void initialize_data(char *data, size_t size);

void func(const char *file_name) {
    char data[BUFFERSIZE];
    char append_data[BUFFERSIZE];
    FILE *file;
    file = fopen(file_name, "a+");
    if (file == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    initialize_data(append_data, BUFFERSIZE);
    if (fwrite(append_data, BUFFERSIZE, 1, file) != BUFFERSIZE) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (fseek(file, 0L, SEEK_SET) != 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (fread(data, BUFFERSIZE, 1, file) != 0) {
        /* Handle there not being data */
    }
    if (fclose(file) == EOF) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}

**CERT-FIO40-C**

**Synopsis**
Reset strings on fgets() or fgetws() failure.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium
C-STAT checks

Full description
If either of the C Standard fgets() or fgetws() functions fail, the contents of the array being written is indeterminate. (See undefined behavior 170.) It is necessary to reset the string to a known value to avoid errors on subsequent string manipulation functions.

Coding standards
CERT FIO40-C
Reset strings on fgets() failure

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
enum { BUFFER_SIZE = 1024 }; 
void func(FILE *file) {
    char buf[BUFFER_SIZE];
    if (fgets(buf, sizeof(buf), file) == NULL) {
        /* Set error flag and continue */
    }
    char c = buf[0];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
enum { BUFFER_SIZE = 1024 }; 
void func(FILE *file) {
    char buf[BUFFER_SIZE];
    if (fgets(buf, sizeof(buf), file) == NULL) {
        /* Set error flag and continue */
    }
    *buf = '\0';
}
```

CERT-FIO41-C

Synopsis
Do not call getc(), putc(), getwc(), or putwc() with a stream argument that has side effects.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  Low/Low

Full description  Do not invoke getc() or putc() or their wide-character analogues getwc() and putwc() with a stream argument that has side effects. The stream argument passed to these macros may be evaluated more than once if these functions are implemented as unsafe macros.

Coding standards  CERT FIO41-C

Do not call getc() or putc() with stream arguments that have side effects

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(const char *file_name) {
  FILE *fptr;

  int c = getc(fptr = fopen(file_name, "r"));
  if (feof(stdin) || ferror(stdin)) {
    /* Handle error */
  }

  if (fclose(fptr) == EOF) {
    /* Handle error */
  }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

void func(const char *file_name) {
    int c;
    FILE *fptr;

    fptr = fopen(file_name, "r");
    if (fptr == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    c = getc(fptr);
    if (c == EOF) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    if (fclose(fptr) == EOF) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}

CERT-FIO42-C_a

Synopsis
Close files when they are no longer needed.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Low

Full description
A call to the fopen() or freopen() function must be matched with a call to fclose() before the lifetime of the last pointer that stores the return value of the call has ended or before normal program termination, whichever occurs first. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_b, RESOURCE-file-no-close-all, SEC-FILEOP-open-no-close.

Coding standards
CERT FIO42-C
Ensure files are properly closed when they are no longer needed

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

int func(const char *filename) {
    FILE *f = fopen(filename, "r");
    if (NULL == f) {
        return -1;
    }
    /* ... */
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>

int func(const char *filename) {
    FILE *f = fopen(filename, "r");
    if (NULL == f) {
        return -1;
    }
    /* ... */
    if (fclose(f) == EOF) {
        return -1;
    }
    return 0;
}

**CERT-FIO42-C**

**Synopsis**  Close files when they are no longer needed.

**Enabled by default**  No

**Severity/Certainty**  Medium/Low

**Full description**  A call to the fopen() or freopen() function must be matched with a call to fclose() before the lifetime of the last pointer that stores the return value of the call has ended or before normal program termination, whichever occurs first.

**Coding standards**  CERT FIO42-C
Ensure files are properly closed when they are no longer needed

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define O_RDONLY 00000000
#define S_IRUSR 0000400

int func(const char *filename) {
    int fd = open("a.txt", O_RDONLY, S_IRUSR);
    if (-1 == fd) {
        return -1;
    }
    /* ... */
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define O_RDONLY 00000000
#define S_IRUSR 0000400

int func(const char *filename) {
    int fd = open("a.txt", O_RDONLY, S_IRUSR);
    if (-1 == fd) {
        return -1;
    }
    /* ... */
    if (-1 == close(fd)) {  // Added close(fd)
        return -1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**CERT-FIO44-C**

**Synopsis**

Only use values for fsetpos() that are returned from fgetpos().

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Low
## Full description
Invoking the `fsetpos()` function with any other values for `pos` is undefined behavior.

## Coding standards
CERT FIO44-C

Only use values for `fsetpos()` that are returned from `fgetpos()`

## Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int opener(FILE *file) {
    int rc;
    fpos_t offset;
    memset(&offset, 0, sizeof(offset));
    if (file == NULL) {
        return -1;
    }
    /* Read in data from file */
    rc = fsetpos(file, &offset);
    if (rc != 0) {
        return rc;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int opener(FILE *file) {
    int rc;
    fpos_t offset;
    
    if (file == NULL) {
        return -1;
    }
    rc = fgetpos(file, &offset);
    if (rc != 0) {
        return rc;
    }
    /* Read in data from file */
    rc = fsetpos(file, &offset);
    if (rc != 0) {
        return rc;
    }
    return 0;
}

**CERT-FIO45-C**

**Synopsis**   
Avoid TOCTOU race conditions while accessing files.

**Enabled by default**   
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**   
High/Medium

**Full description**   
A TOCTOU (time-of-check, time-of-use) race condition is possible when two or more concurrent processes are operating on a shared file system. A program that performs two or more file operations on a single file name or path name creates a race window between the two file operations. This race window comes from the assumption that the file name or path name refers to the same resource both times. If an attacker can modify the file, remove it, or replace it with a different file, then this assumption will not hold.
CERT FIO45-C

Avoid TOCTOU race conditions while accessing files

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void open_some_file(const char *file) {
    FILE *f = fopen(file, "r");
    if (NULL != f) {
        return;
    } else {
        if (fclose(f) == EOF) {
            /* Handle error */
        }
        f = fopen(file, "w");
        if (NULL == f) {
            return;
        }
        /* Write to file */
        if (fclose(f) == EOF) {
            /* Handle error */
        }
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void open_some_file(const char *file) {
    FILE *f = fopen(file, "wx");
    if (NULL == f) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* Write to file */
    if (fclose(f) == EOF) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

CERT-FIO46-C_a

Synopsis
Do not access a closed file.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabled by default</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**
Using the value of a pointer to a FILE object after the associated file is closed is undefined behavior. Programs that close the standard streams (especially stdout but also stderr and stdin) must be careful not to use these streams in subsequent function calls, particularly those that implicitly operate on them (such as `printf()`, `perror()`, and `getc()`).

**Coding standards**
CERT FIO46-C

Do not access a closed file

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int close_stdout(void) {
    if (fclose(stdout) == EOF) {
        return -1;
    }

    printf("stdout successfully closed.\n");
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int close_stdout(void) {
    if (fclose(stdout) == EOF) {
        return -1;
    }

    fputs("stdout successfully closed.", stderr);
    return 0;
}
```
CERT-FIO46-C_b

Synopsis
Do not access a closed file.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Low

Full description
Using the value of a pointer to a FILE object after the associated file is closed is undefined behavior. This check is identical to RESOURCE-file-use-after-close.

Coding standards
CERT FIO46-C
Do not access a closed file

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fclose(f1);
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
    fclose(f1);
}
```

CERT-FIO46-C_c

Synopsis
Do not access a closed file.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-STAT checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CERT-FIO47-C**

**Synopsis**
Use valid format strings.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  High/Low

Full description  The formatted output functions (fprintf() and related functions) convert, format, and print their arguments under control of a format string. The C standard outlines what format specifiers are valid in a format string. This check will find cases where a format string specifier is of an invalid form.

Coding standards  CERT FIO47-C

Use valid format strings

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(int i) {
  // Invalid length and type specifier
  printf("%Ld", i);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(int i) {
  printf("%hd", i);
}

CERT-FIO47-C_b

Synopsis  Use valid format strings.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  High/Low
The formatted output functions (fprintf() and related functions) convert, format, and print their arguments under control of a format string. The C standard outlines what format specifiers are valid in a format string. This check will find cases where the types of the arguments to a format string function do not match the format string specifiers.

**Coding standards**

CERT FIO47-C

Use valid format strings

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    const char *error_msg = "Resource not available to user."
    int error_type = 3;
    /* ... */
    printf("Error (type %s): %d\n", error_type, error_msg);
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void func(void) {
    const char *error_msg = "Resource not available to user."
    int error_type = 3;
    /* ... */
    printf("Error (type %d): %s\n", error_type, error_msg);
    /* ... */
}
```

**CERT-FIO47-C**

**Synopsis**

Use valid format strings.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Low
The formatted output functions (fprintf() and related functions) convert, format, and print their arguments under control of a format string. The C standard outlines what format specifiers are valid in a format string. This check will find cases where the number of arguments to a format string function is invalid.

**Coding standards**

CERT FIO47-C

Use valid format strings

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(int a) {
    printf("%*d", a);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(int a) {
    printf("%*d", 5, a);
}
```

**CERT-FLP30-C_a**

**Synopsis**

Do not use floating-point variables as loop counters

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

Because floating-point numbers represent real numbers, it is often mistakenly assumed that they can represent any simple fraction exactly. Floating-point numbers are subject to representational limitations just as integers are, and binary floating-point numbers cannot represent all real numbers exactly, even if they can be represented in a small number of decimal digits. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-14.1_a, MISRAC++2008-6.5-1_a.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards
CERT FLP30-C
Do not use floating point variables as loop counters

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(void) {
    for (float x = 0.1f; x <= 1.0f; x += 0.1f) {
        /* Loop may iterate 9 or 10 times */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

void func(void) {
    for (size_t count = 1; count <= 10; ++count) {
        float x = count / 10.0f;
        /* Loop iterates exactly 10 times */
    }
}
```

CERT-FLP30-C_b

Synopsis
Do not use floating-point variables as loop counters

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
Because floating-point numbers represent real numbers, it is often mistakenly assumed that they can represent any simple fraction exactly. Floating-point numbers are subject to representational limitations just as integers are, and binary floating-point numbers cannot represent all real numbers exactly, even if they can be represented in a small number of decimal digits. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-14.1_b.

Coding standards
CERT FLP30-C
Do not use floating point variables as loop counters
**CERT-FLP32-C_a**

**Synopsis**
Prevent or detect domain and range errors in math functions.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
Programmers can prevent domain and pole errors by carefully bounds-checking the arguments before calling mathematical functions and taking alternative action if the bounds are violated.

**Coding standards**
CERT FLP32-C

Prevent or detect domain and range errors in math functions

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(void) {
    for (float x = 0.1f; x <= 1.0f; x += 0.1f) {
        /* Loop may iterate 9 or 10 times */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

void func(void) {
    for (size_t count = 1; count <= 10; ++count) {
        float x = count / 10.0f;
        /* Loop iterates exactly 10 times */
    }
}
```
**CERT-FLP32-C_b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
<td>Prevent or detect domain and range errors in math functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**

Range errors usually cannot be prevented because they are dependent on the implementation of floating-point numbers as well as on the function being applied. Instead of preventing range errors, programmers should attempt to detect them and take alternative action if a range error occurs.

**Coding standards**

CERT FLP32-C

Prevent or detect domain and range errors in math functions

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void example(double x) {
    double result;
    result = sinh(x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {}
```
```
#include <math.h>
#include <fenv.h>
#include <errno.h>

void example(double x) {
    double result;
    {
        if (math_errhandling & MATH_ERREXCEPT) {
            feclearexcept(FE_ALL_EXCEPT);
        }
        errno = 0;
        result = sinh(x);
        if ((math_errhandling & MATH_ERRNO) && errno != 0) {
            return;
        } else if ((math_errhandling & MATH_ERREXCEPT) &&
            fetestexcept(FE_INVALID | FE_DIVBYZERO |
            FE_OVERFLOW | FE_UNDERFLOW) != 0) {
            return;
        }
    }
}
```

**CERT-FLP34-C**

**Synopsis**
Ensure that floating-point conversions are within range of the new type

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
If a floating-point value is to be converted to a floating-point value of a smaller range and precision or to an integer type, or if an integer type is to be converted to a floating-point type, the value must be representable in the destination type.

**Coding standards**
CERT FLP34-C

Ensure that floating point conversions are within range of the new type

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void func(float f_a) {
    int i_a;
    /* Undefined if the integral part of f_a cannot be represented. */
    i_a = f_a;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```
#include <float.h>
#include <limits.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <stddef.h>
#include <stdint.h>
extern size_t popcount(uintmax_t); /* See INT35-C */
#define PRECISION(umax_value) popcount(umax_value)

void func(float f_a) {
    int i_a;
    if (isnan(f_a) ||
        PRECISION(INT_MAX) < log2f(fabsf(f_a)) ||
        (f_a != 0.0F && fabsf(f_a) < FLT_MIN)) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else {
        i_a = f_a;
    }
}
```

**CERT-FLP36-C**

**Synopsis**
Preserve precision when converting integral values to floating-point type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
Narrower arithmetic types can be cast to wider types without any effect on the magnitude of numeric values. However, whereas integer types represent exact values, floating-point types have limited precision. Conversion from integral types to
floating-point types without sufficient precision can lead to loss of precision (loss of least significant bits).

**Coding standards**

CERT FLP36-C

Beware of precision loss when converting integral types to floating point

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void) {
    long int big = 1234567890L;
    float approx = big;
    printf("%ld\n", (big - (long int)approx));
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <assert.h>
#include <float.h>
#include <limits.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <stdint.h>
#include <stdio.h>

extern size_t popcount(uintmax_t); /* See INT35-C */
#define PRECISION(umax_value) popcount(umax_value)

int main(void) {
    assert(PRECISION(LONG_MAX) <= DBL_MANT_DIG * log2(FLT_RADIX));
    long int big = 1234567890L;
    double approx = big;
    printf("%ld\n", (big - (long int)approx));
    return 0;
}
```

**CERT-FLP37-C**

**Synopsis**

Do not use object representations to compare floating-point values.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: Do not compare floating-point object representations directly, such as by calling memcmp() or its moral equivalents. Instead, the equality operators (== and !=) should be used to determine if two floating-point values are equivalent.

Coding standards: CERT FLP37-C

- Cast the return value of a function that returns a floating point type

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdbool.h>
#include <string.h>

struct S {
    int i;
    float f;
};

bool are_equal(const struct S *s1, const struct S *s2) {
    if (!s1 && !s2)
        return true;
    else if (!s1 || !s2)
        return false;
    return 0 == memcmp(s1, s2, sizeof(struct S));
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
Descriptions of checks

CERT-INT30-C_a

Synopsis
Ensure that unsigned integer operations do not wrap.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Unsigned integer operations can wrap if the resulting value cannot be represented by the underlying representation of the integer. Integer values must not be allowed to wrap. This check warns if they are used in any of the following ways: integer operands of any pointer arithmetic, including array indexing; the assignment expression for the declaration of a variable length array; the postfix expression preceding square brackets [ ] or the expression in square brackets [ ] of a subscripted designation of an element of an array object; function arguments of type size_t or rsize_t.

Coding standards
CERT INT30-C

Ensure that unsigned integer operations do not wrap

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdbool.h>
#include <string.h>

struct S {
    int i;
    float f;
};

bool are_equal(const struct S *s1, const struct S *s2) {
    if (!s1 && !s2)
        return true;
    else if (!s1 || !s2)
        return false;
    return s1->i == s2->i && s1->f == s2->f;
}
```
#include<stdio.h>
void example(unsigned int a, unsigned int b) {
    unsigned int usum;
    if (a + b > UINT_MAX) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else {
        usum = a + b;
    }
}

void post_check(unsigned int a, unsigned int b) {
    unsigned int usum = a + b;
    if (usum < a) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}

void non_critical(unsigned int a, unsigned int b) {
    // CERT-INT30-C_a warns on this though.
    unsigned int usum = a + b;
}

CERT-INT30-C_b

Synopsis
Ensure that unsigned integer operations do not wrap.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Unsigned integer operations can wrap if the resulting value cannot be represented by the underlying representation of the integer. Integer values must not be allowed to wrap. This check warns on other wrapping cases except the ones already covered by CERT-INT30-C_a.
Coding standards

CERT INT30-C

Ensure that unsigned integer operations do not wrap

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(unsigned int a, unsigned int b) {
    unsigned int usum = a + b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <limits.h>
void example(unsigned int a, unsigned int b) {
    unsigned int usum;
    if (UINT_MAX - a < b) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else {
        usum = a + b;
    }
}
```

CERT-INT31-C_a

Synopsis

Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

High/Medium

Full description

Integer conversions, both implicit and explicit (using a cast), must be guaranteed not to result in lost or misinterpreted data. This is particularly true for integer values that originate from untrusted sources and are used in pointer arithmetic, variable length array declaration, array subscription, and library function arguments that are of unsigned char types or represent sizes. This check is identical to ATH-overflow-cast.

Coding standards

CERT INT31-C

Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data

CWE 192
Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <limits.h>

void example(void) {
    unsigned long int u_a = ULONG_MAX;
    signed char sc;
    sc = (signed char)u_a; /* Cast eliminates warning */
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <limits.h>

void example(void) {
    unsigned long int u_a = ULONG_MAX;
    signed char sc;
    if (u_a <= SCHAR_MAX) {
        sc = (signed char)u_a; /* Cast eliminates warning */
    } else {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```
CERT-INT31-C_b

Synopsis
Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
Integer conversions, both implicit and explicit (using a cast), must be guaranteed not to result in lost or misinterpreted data. This is particularly true for integer values that originate from untrusted sources and are used in pointer arithmetic, variable length array declaration, array subscription, and library function arguments that are of unsigned char types or represent sizes.

Coding standards
CERT INT31-C
Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data
CWE 192
Integer Coercion Error
CWE 194
Unexpected Sign Extension
CWE 195
Signed to Unsigned Conversion Error
CWE 197
Numeric Truncation Error
CWE 681
Incorrect Conversion between Numeric Types
CWE 704
Incorrect Type Conversion or Cast

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <time.h>

void func(void) {
    time_t now = time(NULL);
    if (now != (time_t)-1) {
        /* Continue processing */
    }
}
```

**CERT-INT31-C**

**Synopsis**
Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
Integer conversions, both implicit and explicit (using a cast), must be guaranteed not to result in lost or misinterpreted data. This is particularly true for integer values that originate from untrusted sources and are used in pointer arithmetic, variable length array declaration, array subscription, and library function arguments that are of unsigned char types or represent sizes.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT31-C
Ensure that integer conversions do not result in lost or misinterpreted data
CWE 192
Integer Coercion Error
CWE 194
Unexpected Sign Extension

CWE 195
Signed to Unsigned Conversion Error

CWE 197
Numeric Truncation Error

CWE 681
Incorrect Conversion between Numeric Types

CWE 704
Incorrect Type Conversion or Cast

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stddef.h>

int *init_memory(int *array, size_t n) {
    return memset(array, 4096, n);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stddef.h>

int *init_memory(int *array, size_t n) {
    return memset(array, 0, n);
}
```

CERT-INT32-C_a

Synopsis
Ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High
Integer operations will overflow if the resulting value cannot be represented by the underlying representation of the integer. Signed integer overflow is undefined behavior. It is important to ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow. This check warns if they are used in any of the following ways: integer operands of any pointer arithmetic, including array indexing; the assignment expression for the declaration of a variable length array; the postfix expression preceding square brackets [] or the expression in square brackets [ ] of a subscripted designation of an element of an array object; function arguments of type size_t or rsize_t.

Full description

Coding standards

CERT INT32-C

Ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow

CWE 190

Integer Overflow or Wraparound

CWE 191

Integer Underflow (Wrap or Wraparound)

CWE 680

Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(signed int si_a, signed int si_b) {
    int arr[10];
    arr[si_a + si_b] = 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <limits.h>

void f(signed int si_a, signed int si_b) {
    signed int sum;
    if (((si_b > 0) && (si_a > (INT_MAX - si_b))) ||
        ((si_b < 0) && (si_a < (INT_MIN - si_b)))) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else {
        sum = si_a + si_b;
    }
    /* ... */
}

void non_critical(signed int si_a, signed int si_b) {
    // This will trigger CERT-INT32-C_b.
    signed int sum = si_a + si_b;
}

CERT-INT32-C_b

Synopsis
Ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Integer operations will overflow if the resulting value cannot be represented by the underlying representation of the integer. Signed integer overflow is undefined behavior. It is important to ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow. This check warns on other wrapping cases except the ones already covered by CERT-INT32-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT INT32-C
Ensure that operations on signed integers do not result in overflow

CWE 190
Integer Overflow or Wraparound

CWE 191
Integer Underflow (Wrap or Wraparound)
CWE 680

Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(signed int si_a, signed int si_b) {
    signed int sum = si_a + si_b;
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <limits.h>

void f(signed int si_a, signed int si_b) {
    signed int sum;
    if (((si_b > 0) && (si_a > (INT_MAX - si_b))) ||
        ((si_b < 0) && (si_a < (INT_MIN - si_b)))) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else {
        sum = si_a + si_b;
    }
    /* ... */
}
```

**CERT-INT33-C**

**Synopsis**

Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

**Full description**

The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-assign, MISRAC2004-1.2_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_b.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20, b = 0, c;
    c = a / b;    /* Divide by zero */
    return c;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20, b = 5, c;
    c = a / b; /* b is not 0 */
    return c;
}
```

**CERT-INT33-C**

**Synopsis**

Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

**Full description**

The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-aft, MISRAC2004-1.2_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_c, SEC-DIV-0-compare-after.
### Coding standards

**CERT INT33-C**

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();
    if (p == 0) /* p is 0 */
        a = 34 / p;
    return a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();
    if (p != 0) /* p is not 0 */
        a = 34 / p;
    return a;
}
```

### CERT-INT33-C_c

**Synopsis**

Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High
The result of the `/` operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-bef, MISRAC2004-1.2_f, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_d, SEC-DIV-0-compare-before.

**Coding standards**

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b = 1;
    b = a / p;
    if (p == 0) // Checking the value of 'p' too late.
        return 0;
    return b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b;
    if (p == 0)
        return 0;
    b = a / p;    /* Here 'p' is non-zero. */
    return b;
}
```

**CERT-INT33-C_d**

**Synopsis**

Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High
C-STAT checks

Full description
The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-interval, MISRAC2004-1.2_g, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_e.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 1;
    a--;    
    return 5 / a; /* a is 0 */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 2;
    a--;    
    return 5 / a; /* OK - a is 1 */
}
```

CERT-INT33-C_e

Synopsis
Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer
division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-pos, MISRAC2004-1.2_h, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_f.

Coding standards

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a-2);  // a-2 is 0
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a+2);  // OK - a+2 is 4
}
```

CERT-INT33-C_f

Synopsis

Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/High

Full description

The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-unchk-global, MISRAC2004-1.2_i, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_g.
CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int x;

int example() {
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int x;

int example() {
    if (x != 0){
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

CERT-INT33-C_g

Synopsis
Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-unchk-local, MISRAC2004-1.2_j, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_h.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int rand();
int example() {
    int x = rand();
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int rand();
int example() {
    int x = rand();
    if (x != 0){
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

**CERT-INT33-C_h**

**Synopsis**
Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-unchk-param.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    if (x != 0){
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

**CERT-INT33-C_i**

**Synopsis**

Ensure that division and remainder operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

**Full description**

The result of the / operator is the quotient from the division of the first arithmetic operand by the second arithmetic operand. Division operations are susceptible to divide-by-zero errors. Overflow can also occur during two's complement signed integer division when the dividend is equal to the minimum (most negative) value for the signed integer type and the divisor is equal to -1.

**Coding standards**

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a-2);  // a-2 is 0
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;  
    return 5 / (a+2);  // OK - a+2 is 4
}

**CERT-INT34-C_a**

**Synopsis**
Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by greater than or equal to the number of bits that exist in the operand.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
Bitwise shifts include left-shift operations of the form shift-expression << additive-expression and right-shift operations of the form shift-expression >> additive-expression. The standard integer promotions are first performed on the operands, each of which has an integer type. The type of the result is that of the promoted left operand. If the value of the right operand is negative or is greater than or equal to the width of the promoted left operand, the behavior is undefined. Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by a number greater than or equal to the precision of the promoted left operand.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT34-C

Do not shift a negative number of bits or more bits than exist in the operand

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
CERT-INT34-C_b

Synopsis
Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by greater than or equal to the number of bits that exist in the operand.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty      Low/Low

Full description Bitwise shifts include left-shift operations of the form `shift-expression << additive-expression` and right-shift operations of the form `shift-expression >> additive-expression`. The standard integer promotions are first performed on the operands, each of which has an integer type. The type of the result is that of the promoted left operand. If the value of the right operand is negative or is greater than or equal to the width of the promoted left operand, the behavior is undefined. Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by a number greater than or equal to the precision of the promoted left operand.

Coding standards CERT INT34-C

Do not shift a negative number of bits or more bits than exist in the operand

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x, unsigned int y)
{
    int shift = 33; // too big
    return 3U << shift;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    int y = 1; // OK - this is within the correct range
    return x << y;
}
```

CERT-INT34-C_c

Synopsis Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by greater than or equal to the number of bits that exist in the operand.

Enabled by default Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: Bitwise shifts include left-shift operations of the form shift-expression << additive-expression and right-shift operations of the form shift-expression >> additive-expression. The standard integer promotions are first performed on the operands, each of which has an integer type. The type of the result is that of the promoted left operand. If the value of the right operand is negative or is greater than or equal to the width of the promoted left operand, the behavior is undefined. Do not shift an expression by a negative number of bits or by a number greater than or equal to the precision of the promoted left operand. This check is identical to ATH-shift-neg.

Coding standards: CERT INT34-C

Do not shift a negative number of bits or more bits than exist in the operand

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return -10 >> x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return 10 >> x;
}
```

CERT-INT35-C

Synopsis: Use correct integer precisions.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: Integer types in C have both a size and a precision. Padding bits contribute to the integer's size, but not to its precision. Consequently, inferring the precision of an integer
type from its size may result in too large a value, which can then lead to incorrect assumptions about the numeric range of these types.

Coding standards

CERT INT35-C  
Evaluate integer expressions in a larger size before comparing or assigning to that size

CWE 681  
Incorrect Conversion between Numeric Types

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <limits.h>

unsigned int pow2(unsigned int exp) {
    if (exp >= sizeof(unsigned int) * CHAR_BIT) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    return 1 << exp;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
#include <stdint.h>
#include <limits.h>

/* Returns the number of set bits */
size_t popcount(uintmax_t num) {
    size_t precision = 0;
    while (num != 0) {
        if (num % 2 == 1) {
            precision++;
        }
        num >>= 1;
    }
    return precision;
}
#define PRECISION(umax_value) popcount(umax_value)

unsigned int pow2(unsigned int exp) {
    if (exp >= PRECISION(UINT_MAX)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    return 1 << exp;
}
```
CERT-INT36-C

Synopsis
Converting a pointer to integer or integer to pointer.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
Although programmers often use integers and pointers interchangeably in C, pointer-to-integer and integer-to-pointer conversions are implementation-defined. Conversions between integers and pointers can have undesired consequences depending on the implementation.

Coding standards
CERT INT36-C
Converting a pointer to integer or integer to pointer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(unsigned int flag) {
    char *ptr;
    /* ... */
    unsigned int number = (unsigned int)ptr;
    number = (number & 0x7fffff) | (flag << 23);
    ptr = (char *)number;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct ptrflag {
    char *pointer;
    unsigned int flag : 9;
} ptrflag;

void func(unsigned int flag) {
    char *ptr;
    /* ... */
    ptrflag.pointer = ptr;
    ptrflag.flag = flag;
}
```
**CERT-MEM30-C_a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Do not access freed memory.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>High/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**

Evaluating a pointer-including dereferencing the pointer, using it as an operand of an arithmetic operation, type casting it, and using it as the right-hand side of an assignment-into memory that has been deallocated by a memory management function is undefined behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_o, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-all, MEM-use-free-all.

**Coding standards**

CERT MEM30-C

- Do not access freed memory
- CWE 416 Use After Free
- CWE 456 Missing Initialization
- CWE 672 Operation on a Resource after Expiration or Release
- CWE 758 Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *return_val = 0;
    const size_t bufsize = strlen(argv[0]) + 1;
    char *buf = (char *)malloc(bufsize);
    if (!buf) {
        return EXIT_FAILURE;
    }
    /* ... */
    free(buf);
    /* ... */
    strcpy(buf, argv[0]);
    /* ... */
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

**CERT-MEM30-C_b**

**Synopsis**: Do not access freed memory.

**Enabled by default**: Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Evaluating a pointer-including dereferencing the pointer, using it as an operand of an arithmetic operation, type casting it, and using it as the right-hand side of an assignment-into memory that has been deallocated by a memory management function is undefined behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_e, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_p, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-some, MEM-use-free-some.

Coding standards
CERT MEM30-C
Do not access freed memory
CWE 416
Use After Free
CWE 456
Missing Initialization
CWE 672
Operation on a Resource after Expiration or Release
CWE 758
Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    if (rand()) {
        x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    } else {
        /* x not reallocated along this path */
    }
    (*x)++;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;
}
```

**CERT-MEM30-C_c**

**Synopsis**
Do not access freed memory.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Evaluating a pointer-including dereferencing the pointer, using it as an operand of an arithmetic operation, type casting it, and using it as the right-hand side of an assignment-into memory that has been deallocated by a memory management function is undefined behavior.

**Coding standards**
CERT MEM30-C

- Do not access freed memory
- CWE 416
  - Use After Free
- CWE 456
  - Missing Initialization
- CWE 672
  - Operation on a Resource after Expiration or Release
- CWE 758
Reliance on Undefined, Unspecified, or Implementation-Defined Behavior

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct node {
    int value;
    struct node *next;
};

void free_list(struct node *head) {
    for (struct node *p = head; p != NULL; p = p->next) {
        free(p);
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct node {
    int value;
    struct node *next;
};

void free_list(struct node *head) {
    struct node *q;
    for (struct node *p = head; p != NULL; p = q) {
        q = p->next;
        free(p);
    }
}
```

**CERT-MEM31-C**

**Synopsis**
Free dynamically allocated memory when no longer needed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium
Before the lifetime of the last pointer that stores the return value of a call to a standard memory allocation function has ended, it must be matched by a call to free() with that pointer value. This check is identical to MEM-leak, MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_a, SEC-BUFFER-memory-leak.

Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

Improper Resource Shutdown or Release

Incomplete Cleanup

Missing Reference to Active Allocated Resource

Missing Release of Resource after Effective Lifetime

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

enum { BUFFER_SIZE = 32 };

int f(void) {
    char *text_buffer = (char *)malloc(BUFFER_SIZE);
    if (text_buffer == NULL) {
        return -1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

enum { BUFFER_SIZE = 32 };

int f(void) {
    char *text_buffer = (char *)malloc(BUFFER_SIZE);
    if (text_buffer == NULL) {
        return -1;
    }
    free(text_buffer);
    return 0;
}

CERT-MEM33-C_a

Synopsis
Allocate and copy structures containing a flexible array member dynamically.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
Unless the appropriate size of the flexible array member has been explicitly added when allocating storage for an object of the struct, the result of accessing the member data of a variable of non-pointer type struct flex_array_struct is undefined. To avoid the potential for undefined behavior, structures that contain a flexible array member should always be allocated dynamically.

Coding standards
CERT MEM33-C
Allocate and copy structures containing flexible array members dynamically

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stddef.h>

struct flex_array_struct {
    size_t num;
    int data[];
};

void func(void) {
    struct flex_array_struct flex_struct;
    size_t array_size = 4;

    /* Initialize structure */
    flex_struct.num = array_size;

    for (size_t i = 0; i < array_size; ++i) {
        flex_struct.data[i] = 0;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>

struct flex_array_struct {
    size_t num;
    int data[];
};

void func(void) {
    struct flex_array_struct *flex_struct;
    size_t array_size = 4;

    /* Dynamically allocate memory for the struct */
    flex_struct = (struct flex_array_struct *)malloc( sizeof(struct flex_array_struct) + sizeof(int) * array_size);
    if (flex_struct == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    /* Initialize structure */
    flex_struct->num = array_size;

    for (size_t i = 0; i < array_size; ++i) {
        flex_struct->data[i] = 0;
    }
}
CERT-MEM33-C_b

Synopsis
Allocate and copy structures containing a flexible array member dynamically.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
Unless the appropriate size of the flexible array member has been explicitly added when allocating storage for an object of the struct, the result of accessing the member data of a variable of non-pointer type struct flex_array_struct is undefined. To avoid the potential for undefined behavior, structures that contain a flexible array member should always be allocated dynamically.

Coding standards
CERT MEM33-C
Allocate and copy structures containing flexible array members dynamically

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stddef.h>

struct flex_array_struct {
    size_t num;
    int data[];
};

void func(struct flex_array_struct *struct_a, 
          struct flex_array_struct *struct_b) {
    *struct_b = *struct_a;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>

struct flex_array_struct {
    size_t num;
    int data[];
};

void func(struct flex_array_struct *struct_a,
          struct flex_array_struct *struct_b) {
    if (struct_a->num > struct_b->num) {
        /* Insufficient space; handle error */
        return;
    }
    memcpy(struct_b, struct_a,
           sizeof(struct flex_array_struct) + (sizeof(int) * struct_a->num));
}

CERT-MEM34-C_a

Synopsis Only free memory allocated dynamically.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty High/High

Full description Freeing memory that is not allocated dynamically can result in heap corruption and other serious errors. This check is identical to MEM-free-variable, MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_c.

Coding standards CERT MEM34-C

Only free memory allocated dynamically

CWE 590 Free of Memory not on the Heap

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
enum { BUFSIZE = 256 };

void f(void) {
    char buf[BUFSIZE];
    char *p = (char *)realloc(buf, 2 * BUFSIZE);
    if (p == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
enum { BUFSIZE = 256 };

void f(void) {
    char *buf = (char *)malloc(BUFSIZE * sizeof(char));
    char *p = (char *)realloc(buf, 2 * BUFSIZE);
    if (p == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

**CERT-MEM34-C_b**

**Synopsis**  
Only free memory allocated dynamically.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/High

**Full description**  
Freeing memory that is not allocated dynamically can result in heap corruption and other serious errors. This check is identical to MEM-free-field.

**Coding standards**  
CERT MEM34-C  
Only free memory allocated dynamically  
CWE 590
Free of Memory not on the Heap

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct C{
    int x;
};

int foo(struct C c) {
    int *p = &c.x;
    free(p);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct C{
    int *x;
};

int foo(struct C *c) {
    int *p = c->x;
    free(p);
}
```

**CERT-MEM34-C_c**

**Synopsis**

Only free memory allocated dynamically.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High

**Full description**

Freeing memory that is not allocated dynamically can result in heap corruption and other serious errors.

**Coding standards**

CERT MEM34-C
Descriptions of checks

Only free memory allocated dynamically

CWE 590

Free of Memory not on the Heap

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

enum { MAX_ALLOCATION = 1000 };

int main(int argc, const char *argv[]) {
    char *c_str = NULL;
    size_t len;

    if (argc == 2) {
        len = strlen(argv[1]) + 1;
        if (len > MAX_ALLOCATION) {
            /* Handle error */
        }
        c_str = (char *)malloc(len);
        if (c_str == NULL) {
            /* Handle error */
        }
        strcpy(c_str, argv[1]);
    } else {
        c_str = "usage: $>a.exe [string]";
        printf("%s\n", c_str);
    }
    free(c_str);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

enum { MAX_ALLOCATION = 1000 }

int main(int argc, const char *argv[]) {
    char *c_str = NULL;
    size_t len;
    if (argc == 2) {
        len = strlen(argv[1]) + 1;
        if (len > MAX_ALLOCATION) {
            /* Handle error */
        }
        c_str = (char *)malloc(len);
        if (c_str == NULL) {
            /* Handle error */
        }
        strcpy(c_str, argv[1]);
    } else {
        printf("usage: $>a.exe [string]\n"");
        return EXIT_FAILURE;
    }
    free(c_str);
    return 0;
}

CERT-MEM35-C_a

Synopsis
Allocate sufficient memory for an object.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
The types of integer expressions used as size arguments to malloc(), calloc(), realloc(), or aligned_alloc() must have sufficient range to represent the size of the objects to be stored. If size arguments are incorrect or can be manipulated by an attacker, then a buffer overflow may occur. This check is identical to MEM-malloc-sizeof-ptr.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

CERT MEM35-C

- Allocate sufficient memory for an object

CWE 680

- Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

CWE 467

- Use of sizeof() on a Pointer Type

CWE 789

- Uncontrolled Memory Allocation

CWE 131

- Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <time.h>

struct tm *make_tm(int year, int mon, int day, int hour, int min, int sec) {
    struct tm *tmb;
    tmb = (struct tm *)malloc(sizeof(tmb));
    if (tmb == NULL) {
        return NULL;
    }
    *tmb = (struct tm) {
        .tm_sec = sec, .tm_min = min, .tm_hour = hour, .tm_mday = day, .tm_mon = mon, .tm_year = year
    };
    return tmb;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <time.h>

struct tm *make_tm(int year, int mon, int day, int hour, int min, int sec) {
    struct tm *tmb;
    tmb = (struct tm *)malloc(sizeof(*tmb));
    if (tmb == NULL) {
        return NULL;
    }
    *tmb = (struct tm) {
        .tm_sec = sec, .tm_min = min, .tm_hour = hour,
        .tm_mday = day, .tm_mon = mon, .tm_year = year
    };
    return tmb;
}

CERT-MEM35-C_b

Synopsis
Allocate sufficient memory for an object.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
The types of integer expressions used as size arguments to malloc(), calloc(), realloc(), or aligned_alloc() must have sufficient range to represent the size of the objects to be stored. If size arguments are incorrect or can be manipulated by an attacker, then a buffer overflow may occur.

Coding standards
CERT MEM35-C
Allocate sufficient memory for an object
CWE 680
Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow
CWE 467
Use of sizeof() on a Pointer Type
CWE 789
Uncontrolled Memory Allocation

CWE 131

Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void function(size_t len) {
    long *p;
    if (len == 0 || len > SIZE_MAX / sizeof(long)) {
        return;
    }
    p = (long *)malloc(len * sizeof(char));
    if (p == NULL) {
        return;
    }
    free(p);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void function(size_t len) {
    long *p;
    if (len == 0 || len > SIZE_MAX / sizeof(long)) {
        return;
    }
    p = (long *)malloc(len * sizeof(long));
    if (p == NULL) {
        return;
    }
    free(p);
}
```

**CERT-MEM35-C_c**

**Synopsis**

Allocate sufficient memory for an object.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/High

Full description: The types of integer expressions used as size arguments to `malloc()`, `calloc()`, `realloc()`, or `aligned_alloc()` must have sufficient range to represent the size of the objects to be stored. If size arguments are incorrect or can be manipulated by an attacker, then a buffer overflow may occur. This check is identical to MEM-realloc-diff-type.

Coding standards: CERT MEM35-C

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *a, int new_size) {
    unsigned int *b;
    b = realloc(a, sizeof(int) * new_size);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *a, int new_size) {
    int *b;
    b = realloc(a, sizeof(int) * new_size);
}
```

CERT-MEM36-C

Synopsis: Do not modify the alignment of objects by calling `realloc()`.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium
Do not invoke realloc() to modify the size of allocated objects that have stricter alignment requirements than those guaranteed by malloc(). Storage allocated by a call to the standard aligned_alloc() function, for example, can have stricter than normal alignment requirements. The C standard requires only that a pointer returned by realloc() be suitably aligned so that it may be assigned to a pointer to any type of object with a fundamental alignment requirement.

CERT MEM36-C
Do not modify the alignment of objects by calling realloc()

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void func(void) {
    size_t resize = 1024;
    size_t alignment = 1 << 12;
    int *ptr;
    int *ptr1;

    if (NULL == (ptr = (int *)aligned_alloc(alignment, sizeof(int)))) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    if (NULL == (ptr1 = (int *)realloc(ptr, resize))) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void func(void) {
    size_t resize = 1024;
    size_t alignment = 1 << 12;
    int *ptr;
    int *ptr1;

    if (NULL == (ptr = (int *)aligned_alloc(alignment, sizeof(int)))) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    if (NULL == (ptr1 = (int *)aligned_alloc(alignment, resize))) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    if (NULL == (memcpy(ptr1, ptr, sizeof(int)))) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    free(ptr);
}

CERT-MSC30-C

Synopsis
Do not use the rand() function for generating pseudorandom numbers

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
The C Standard rand() function makes no guarantees as to the quality of the random sequence produced. The numbers generated by some implementations of rand() have a comparatively short cycle and the numbers can be predictable. Applications that have strong pseudorandom number requirements must use a generator that is known to be sufficient for their needs.

Coding standards
CERT MSC30-C
Do not use the rand() function for generating pseudorandom numbers

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void rand(void) {}

void test() {
    rand();
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {}

void test() {
    example();
}
```

**CERT-MSC32-C**

**Synopsis**

Properly seed pseudorandom number generators

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/High

**Full description**

Calling a PRNG in the same initial state, either without seeding it explicitly or by seeding it with the same value, results in generating the same sequence of random numbers in different runs of the program. A long description goes here.

**Coding standards**

CERT MSC32-C

Ensure your random number generator is properly seeded

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void func(void) {
    for (unsigned int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
        /* Always generates the same sequence */
        printf("%ld, ", random());
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <time.h>

void func(void) {
    struct timespec ts;
    if (timespec_get(&ts, TIME_UTC) == 0) {
        /* Handle error */
    } else {
        srand(random() ^ ts.tv_nsec);
        for (unsigned int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
            /* Generates different sequences at different runs */
            printf("%ld, ", random());
        }
    }
}

CERT-MSC33-C

Synopsis
Do not pass invalid data to the asctime() function.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
The implementation of asctime may assume that the values of the struct tm data are within normal ranges and does nothing to enforce the range limit. If any of the values print more characters than expected, the sprintf() function may overflow the result array.
### Descriptions of checks

**Coding standards**

CERT MSC33-C
- Do not pass invalid data to the `asctime()` function

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <time.h>

void func(struct tm *time_tm) {
    char *time = asctime(time_tm);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <time.h>

eenum { maxsize = 26 };

void func(struct tm *time) {
    char s[maxsize];
    /* Current time representation for locale */
    const char *format = "%c";
    size_t size = strftime(s, maxsize, format, time);
}
```

---

**CERT-MSC37-C**

**Synopsis**

Ensure that control never reaches the end of a non-void function

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Low

**Full description**

If control reaches the closing curly brace (}) of a non-void function without evaluating a return statement, using the return value of the function call is undefined behavior.

**Coding standards**

CERT MSC37-C
- Ensure that control never reaches the end of a non-void function
C-STAT checks

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int checkpass(const char *password) {
    if (strcmp(password, "pass") == 0) {
        return 1;
    }
}

void func(const char *userinput) {
    if (checkpass(userinput)) {
        printf("Success\n");
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int checkpass(const char *password) {
    if (strcmp(password, "pass") == 0) {
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}

void func(const char *userinput) {
    if (checkpass(userinput)) {
        printf("Success!\n");
    }
}
```

CERT-MSC38-C

Synopsis

Do not treat a predefined identifier as an object if it might only be implemented as a macro

Enabled by default

Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Full description
Do not suppress standard library macros that yields undefined behavior by accessing the underlying function

Coding standards
CERT MSC38-C
Do not treat as an object any predefined identifier that might be implemented as a macro

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <assert.h>
typedef void (*handler_type)(int);
void execute_handler(handler_type handler, int value) {
    handler(value);
}
void func(int e) {
    execute_handler(&(assert), e < 0);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <assert.h>
typedef void (*handler_type)(int);
void execute_handler(handler_type handler, int value) {
    handler(value);
}
static void assert_handler(int value) {
    assert(value);
}
void func(int e) {
    execute_handler(&assert_handler, e < 0);
}
```
## CERT-MSC39-C

**Synopsis**

Do not call `va_arg()` on a `va_list` that has an indeterminate value

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Low

**Full description**

Variadic functions access their variable arguments by using `va_start()` to initialize an object of type `va_list`, iteratively invoking the `va_arg()` macro, and finally calling `va_end()`. The `va_list` may be passed as an argument to another function, but calling `va_arg()` within that function causes the `va_list` to have an indeterminate value in the calling function. As a result, attempting to read variable arguments without reinitializing the `va_list` can have unexpected behavior.

**Coding standards**

CERT MSC39-C

Do not call `va_arg()` on a `va_list` that has indeterminate value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Descriptions of checks

#include <stdarg.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int contains_zero(size_t count, va_list ap) {
    for (size_t i = 1; i < count; ++i) {
        if (va_arg(ap, double) == 0.0) {
            return 1;
        }
    }
    return 0;
}

int print_reciprocals(size_t count, ...) {
    va_list ap;
    va_start(ap, count);

    if (contains_zero(count, ap)) {
        va_end(ap);
        return 1;
    }

    for (size_t i = 0; i < count; ++i) {
        printf("%f ", 1.0 / va_arg(ap, double));
    }

    va_end(ap);
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdarg.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int contains_zero(size_t count, va_list *ap) {
    va_list ap1;
    va_copy(ap1, *ap);
    for (size_t i = 1; i < count; ++i) {
        if (va_arg(ap1, double) == 0.0) {
            return 1;
        }
    }
    va_end(ap1);
    return 0;
}

int print_reciprocals(size_t count, ...) {
    int status;
    va_list ap;
    va_start(ap, count);

    if (contains_zero(count, &ap)) {
        printf("0 in arguments!\n");
        status = 1;
    } else {
        for (size_t i = 0; i < count; i++) {
            printf("%f ", 1.0 / va_arg(ap, double));
        }
        printf("\n");
        status = 0;
    }
    va_end(ap);
    return status;
}

CERT-MSC40-C_a

Synopsis               Do not violate constraints.
Enabled by default     Yes
Severity/Certainty     Low/Low
### Full description

The C Standard, 6.7.4, paragraph 3 outlines the following constraint: An inline definition of a function with external linkage shall not contain a definition of a modifiable object with static or thread storage duration, and shall not contain a reference to an identifier with internal linkage. This check finds cases where a static object is referenced in an inline function.

### Coding standards

CERT MSC40-C

Do not violate constraints

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
static int I = 12;
extern inline void func(int a) {
    int b = a * I;
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int I = 12;
extern inline void func(int a) {
    int b = a * I;
    /* ... */
}
```

### CERT-MSC40-C_b

**Synopsis**

Do not violate constraints.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Low

**Full description**

The C Standard, 6.7.4, paragraph 3 outlines the following constraint: An inline definition of a function with external linkage shall not contain a definition of a modifiable object with static or thread storage duration, and shall not contain a reference to an identifier with internal linkage. This check finds cases where a static object is declared in an inline function.

**Coding standards**

CERT MSC40-C
Do not violate constraints

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern inline void func(void) {
    static int I = 12;
    /* Perform calculations which may modify I */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern inline void func(void) {
    int I = 12;
    /* Perform calculations which may modify I */
}
```

**CERT-MSC40-C_c**

**Synopsis**
Do not violate constraints.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
The C Standard, 6.7.2.3, paragraph 2 outlines the following constraint: a type specifier of the form `enum identifier` without an enumerator list shall only appear after the type it specifies is complete.

**Coding standards**
CERT MSC40-C
Do not violate constraints

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
enum E e;
enum E {E1, E2};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
enum E (E1, E2);
```
enum E {E1, E2};
enum E e;

CERT-MSC40-C_d
Synopsis
Do not violate constraints.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
The C Standard, 6.9.1, paragraph 6 outlines the following constraint: an identifier declared as a typedef name shall not be redeclared as a parameter.

Coding standards
CERT MSC40-C
Do not violate constraints

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef int X;
void example(X X);
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
typedef int X;
void example(void);

CERT-MSC40-C_e
Synopsis
Do not violate constraints.

Enabled by default
No
**C-STAT checks**

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Low

**Full description**  
This check finds cases where C standard constraints are violated but are not reported by other MSC40-C checks.

**Coding standards**  
CERT MSC40-C  
Do not violate constraints

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
const int \u0024 = 1;
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
const int \u0401 = 1;
```

---

**CERT-MSC41-C_a**

**Synopsis**  
Never hard code sensitive information.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/Medium

**Full description**  
Hard coding sensitive information, such as passwords or encryption keys can expose the information to attackers. Anyone who has access to the executable or dynamic library files can examine them for strings or other critical data, revealing the sensitive information. This check is identical to SEC-STRING-har-coded-credentials.

**Coding standards**  
CERT MSC41-C  
Never hard code sensitive information

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include<stdio.h>
/* Returns nonzero if authenticated */
int authenticate(const char* code);

int main() {
    char code[CODE_LEN];
    printf("Please enter your authentication code:\n");
    fgets(code, sizeof(code), stdin);
    int flag = authenticate(code);
    if (!flag) {
        printf("Access denied\n");
        return -1;
    }
    printf("Access granted\n");
    // ...Work with system...
    return 0;
}
```

**CERT-MSC41-C_b**

**Synopsis**

Never hard code sensitive information.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Hard coding sensitive information, such as passwords or encryption keys can expose the information to attackers. Anyone who has access to the executable or dynamic library files can examine them for strings or other critical data, revealing the sensitive information.

**Coding standards**

CERT MSC41-C

*Never hard code sensitive information*

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
const char *github_token = "1234567890abcdef";
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
char *github_token;
```
#include<stdio.h>
#include<string.h>
int verify(char *password) {
    if (strcmp(password, "Mew!")) {
        printf("Incorrect Password!\n");
        return 0;
    }
    printf("Entering Diagnostic Mode\n");
    return 1;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include<stdio.h>
int verify(char *password) {
    if (do_db_check(password)) {
        printf("Incorrect Password!\n");
        return 0;
    }
    printf("Entering Diagnostic Mode\n");
    return 1;
}

**CERT-PRE31-C**

**Synopsis**
Avoid side effects in arguments to unsafe macros.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
An unsafe function-like macro is one whose expansion results in evaluating one of its parameters more than once or not at all. Never invoke an unsafe macro with arguments containing side effects.

**Coding standards**
CERT PRE31-C
Avoid side effects in arguments to unsafe macros

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define ABS(x) (((x) < 0) ? -(x) : (x))

void example(void) {
    int n = 0;
    int m = ABS(++n);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define ABS(x) (((x) < 0) ? -(x) : (x))

void example(void) {
    int n = 0;
    ++n;
    int m = ABS(n);
}
```

---

**CERT-PRE32-C**

**Synopsis**
Do not use preprocessor directives in invocations of function-like macros.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
The arguments to a macro must not include preprocessor directives, such as `#define`, `#ifdef`, and `#include`. Doing so results in undefined behavior. This rule also applies to the use of preprocessor directives in arguments to a function where it is unknown whether or not the function is implemented using a macro.

**Coding standards**
CERT PRE32-C

Do not use preprocessor directives in invocations of function-like macros

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <string.h>

void func(const char *src) {
   /* Validate the source string; calculate size */
   char *dest;
   /* malloc() destination string */
   memcpy(dest, src, #ifdef PLATFORM1
         12
   #else
         24
   #endif
   );
   /* ... */
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <string.h>

void func(const char *src) {
   /* Validate the source string; calculate size */
   char *dest;
   /* malloc() destination string */
   #ifdef PLATFORM1
      memcpy(dest, src, 12);
   #else
      memcpy(dest, src, 24);
   #endif
   /* ... */
}

**CERT-PRE32-C_b**

**Synopsis**
Do not use preprocessor directives in invocations of function-like macros.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low
The arguments to a macro must not include preprocessor directives, such as `#define`, `#ifdef`, and `#include`. Doing so results in undefined behavior. This rule also applies to the use of preprocessor directives in arguments to a function where it is unknown whether or not the function is implemented using a macro.

**Coding standards**

CERT PRE32-C

Do not use preprocessor directives in invocations of function-like macros

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define memcpy(a,b,c) _myfn(a,b,c)

void func(const char *src) {
    /* Validate the source string; calculate size */
    char *dest;
    /* malloc() destination string */
    memcpy(dest, src,
    #ifdef PLATFORM1
    12
    #else
    24
    #endif
    );
    /* ... */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define memcpy(a,b,c) _myfn(a,b,c)

void func(const char *src) {
    /* Validate the source string; calculate size */
    char *dest;
    /* malloc() destination string */
    #ifdef PLATFORM1
    memcpy(dest, src, 12);
    #else
    memcpy(dest, src, 24);
    #endif
    /* ... */
}
```

**CERT-SIG30-C**

**Synopsis**

Call only asynchronous-safe functions within signal handlers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Capability</strong></th>
<th><strong>Value</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>High/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**
Program behavior is undefined if the signal handler calls any function in the standard library that is not asynchronous-safe.

**Coding standards**
CERT SIG30-C
Call only asynchronous-safe functions within signal handlers

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <signal.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void handler(int signum) {
    int *x = malloc(sizeof(int));
}

void example(void) {
    signal(SIGINT, handler);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <signal.h>

void foo(void) {
    _exit();
}

void handler(int signum) {
    foo();
}

void example(void) {
    signal(SIGINT, handler);
}
```
CERT-SIG31-C

Synopsis
Shared objects in a signal handler are accessed or modified.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Low

Full description
Accessing or modifying shared objects (not of the type `volatile sig_atomic_t`) in a signal handler might result in race conditions that can leave data in an inconsistent state.

Coding standards
CERT SIG31-C
- Do not access or modify shared objects in signal handlers
CWE 662
- Improper Synchronization

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <signal.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

enum { MAX_MSG_SIZE = 24 };  
volatile sig_atomic_t e_flag = 0;

void handler(int signum) {
    e_flag = 1;
}

int main(void) {
    char *err_msg = (char *)malloc(MAX_MSG_SIZE);
    if (err_msg == NULL) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    signal(SIGINT, handler);
    strcpy(err_msg, "No errors yet.");
    /* Main code loop */
    if (e_flag) {
        strcpy(err_msg, "SIGINT received.");
    }
    return 0;
}

CERT-SIG34-C

Synopsis Do not call signal() from within interruptible signal handlers.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty Low/Low

Full description A signal handler should not reassert its desire to handle its own signal.

Coding standards CERT SIG34-C

Do not call signal() from within interruptible signal handlers

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
CERT-SIG35-C

Synopsis

Do not return from a computational exception signal handler.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Low

Full description

If a signal handler returns when it has been entered as a result of a computational exception (that is, with the value of its argument of SIGFPE, SIGILL, SIGSEGV, or any other implementation-defined value corresponding to such an exception) returns, then the behavior is undefined.
Coding standards

CERT SIG35-C

Do not return from SIGSEGV, SIGILL, or SIGFPE signal handlers

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <errno.h>
#include <limits.h>
#include <signal.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

volatile sig_atomic_t denom;

void sighandle(int s) {
    /* Fix the offending volatile */
    if (denom == 0) {
        denom = 1;
    }
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    if (argc < 2) {
        return 0;
    }

    char *end = NULL;
    long temp = strtol(argv[1], &end, 10);

    if (end == argv[1] || 0 != *end ||
        ((LONG_MIN == temp || LONG_MAX == temp) && errno == ERANGE)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    denom = (sig_atomic_t)temp;
    signal(SIGFPE, sighandle);

    long result = 100 / (long)denom;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <errno.h>
#include <limits.h>
#include <signal.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    if (argc < 2) {
        return 0;
    }

    char *end = NULL;
    long denom = strtol(argv[1], &end, 10);

    if (end == argv[1] || 0 != *end ||
        ((LONG_MIN == denom || LONG_MAX == denom) && errno == ERANGE)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }

    long result = 100 / denom;
    return 0;
}

CERT-STR30-C

Synopsis
Do not attempt to modify string literals.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
String literals are arrays of static storage duration. It is unspecified whether these arrays are distinct from each other. The behavior is undefined if a program attempts to modify any portion of a string literal.

Coding standards
CERT STR30-C
Do not attempt to modify string literals

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    char *str = "const";
    str[0] = 'C';
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    char str[] = "string";
    str[0] = 'S';
}

CERT-STR31-C_a

Synopsis
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character.

Coding standards
CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

enum { BUF_LENGTH = 1024};

void get_data(void) {
    char buf[BUF_LENGTH];
    if (1 != fscanf(stdin, "%s", buf)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* Rest of function */
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>

enum { BUF_LENGTH = 1024};

void get_data(void) {
    char buf[BUF_LENGTH];
    if (1 != fscanf(stdin, "%1023s", buf)) {
        /* Handle error */
    }
    /* Rest of function */
}

CERT-STR31-C_b

Synopsis
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the
destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character.

Coding standards

CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
enum { BUFFERSIZE = 32 };

void func(void) {
    char buf[BUFFERSIZE];
    char *p;
    int ch;
    p = buf;
    while ((ch = getchar()) != \n' \n' \n&& ch != EOF) {
        *p++ = (char)ch;
    }
    *p = 0;
    if (ch == EOF) {
        /* Handle EOF or error */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

typedef { BUFFERSIZE = 32 }

void func(void) {
    char buf[BUFFERSIZE];
    int ch;
    size_t index = 0;
    size_t chars_read = 0;

    while ((ch = getchar()) != '\n' && ch != EOF) {
        if (index < sizeof(buf) - 1) {
            buf[index++] = (char)ch;
        }
        chars_read++;
    }
    buf[index] = '\0'; /* Terminate string */
    if (ch == EOF) {
        /* Handle EOF or error */
    }
    if (chars_read > index) {
        /* Handle truncation */
    }
}

CERT-STR31-C_c

Synopsis Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty High/High

Full description Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character.

Coding standards CERT STR31-C
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(char* buf1) {
    scanf("%s", buf1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(char* buf1, char* buf2) {
    strncpy(buf1, buf2, 5);
}
```

---

**CERT-STR31-C_d**

**Synopsis**

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High

**Full description**

Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character. This check is identical to LIB-strcat-overrun-pos.

**Coding standards**

CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,"");
    strcat(str2,str1);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2,"");
    strcat(str2,str1);
}

**CERT-STR31-C_e**

**Synopsis**  
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/High

**Full description**  
Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character. This check is identical to LIB-strcpy-overrun-pos.

**Coding standards**  
CERT STR31-C
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
   char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
   char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
   strcpy(str2, str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
   char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
   char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
   strcpy(str2, str1);
}
```

**CERT-STR31-C_f**

**Synopsis**
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character. This check is identical to LIB-strncat-overrun-pos.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 10;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strncat(a, b, c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 2;
    } else {
        c = 3;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strncat(b, a, c);
}
```
**CERT-STR31-C_g**

**Synopsis**
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character. This check is identical to LIB-strncmp-overrun-pos.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(int d) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 20;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strncmp(a, b, c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
C-STAT® Static Analysis Guide

#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(int d) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 8;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
   strncmp(a, b, c);
}

CERT-STR31-C_h

Synopsis
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
Copying data to a buffer that is not large enough to hold that data results in a buffer overflow. Buffer overflows occur frequently when manipulating strings. To prevent such errors, either limit copies through truncation or, preferably, ensure that the destination is of sufficient size to hold the character data to be copied and the null-termination character. This check is identical to LIB-strncpy-overrun-pos.

Coding standards
CERT STR31-C
Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strncpy(str2, str1, 14);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strncpy(str2, str1, 14);
}
```

### CERT-STR32-C

**Synopsis**

Do not pass a non-null-terminated character sequence to a library function that expects a string.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

Many library functions accept a string or wide string argument with the constraint that the string they receive is properly null-terminated. Passing a character sequence or wide character sequence that is not null-terminated to such a function can result in accessing memory that is outside the bounds of the object. Do not pass a character sequence or wide character sequence that is not null-terminated to a library function that expects a string or wide string argument.

**Coding standards**

CERT STR32-C

Null-terminate byte strings as required
### CERT-STR34-C

**Synopsis**
Cast characters to unsigned char before converting to larger integer sizes.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
Signed character data must be converted to unsigned char before being assigned or converted to a larger signed type. This rule applies to both signed char and (plain) char characters on implementations where char is defined to have the same range, representation, and behaviors as signed char.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR34-C

Cast characters to unsigned char before converting to larger integer sizes

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {}  // Fails the check and gives a warning
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {}  // Passes the check and does not give a warning
```
#include <ctype.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

static int yy_string_get(void) {
    register char *c_str;
    register int c;

    /* c_str = bash_input.location.string; */
    c = EOF;

    /* If the string doesn't exist or is empty, EOF found */
    if (c_str && *c_str) {
        c = *c_str++;
        /* bash_input.location.string = c_str; */
    }
    return (c);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

static int yy_string_get(void) {
    register char *c_str;
    register int c;

    c_str = bash_input.location.string;
    c = EOF;

    /* If the string doesn't exist or is empty, EOF found */
    if (c_str && *c_str) {
        /* Cast to unsigned type */
        c = (unsigned char)*c_str++;
        bash_input.location.string = c_str;
    }
    return (c);
}

**CERT-STR37-C**

**Synopsis**
Arguments to character-handling functions must be representable as an unsigned char.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

**Severity/Certainty:** Low/Low

**Full description:** Some standard library character-handling functions have int-typed arguments, and the value of which shall be representable as an unsigned char or shall equal the value of the macro EOF. If the argument has any other value, the behavior is undefined.

**Coding standards**

CERT STR37-C

Arguments to character handling functions must be representable as an unsigned char

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <ctype.h>
#include <string.h>

size_t count_preceding_whitespace(const char *s) {
    const char *t = s;
    size_t length = strlen(s) + 1;
    while (isspace(*t) && (t - s < length)) {
        ++t;
    }
    return t - s;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <ctype.h>
#include <string.h>

size_t count_preceding_whitespace(const char *s) {
    const char *t = s;
    size_t length = strlen(s) + 1;
    while (isspace((unsigned char)*t) && (t - s < length)) {
        ++t;
    }
    return t - s;
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-memory-leak-alias**

**Synopsis**

A memory leak is caused by incorrect deallocation.
Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: Memory has been allocated, then the pointer value is lost because it is reassigned or its scope ends, without a guarantee that the value will be propagated or the memory be freed. The value must be freed, returned, or passed to another function as an argument, before it is lost, on all possible execution paths. Before a pointer is reassigned or its scope ends, the memory it points to must be freed, or a new pointer must be assigned to the memory.

Coding standards:
- CERT MEM31-C: Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once
- CWE 401: Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')
- CWE 772: Missing Release of Resource after Effective Lifetime

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = NULL; //losing reference to the allocated memory
    free(ptr);
    return 0;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (rand() < 5) {
        free(ptr);
    } else {
        free(ptr);
    }
    return 0;
}

**SEC-BUFFER-memory-leak**

**Synopsis**
A memory leak is caused by incorrect deallocation.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
Memory has been allocated, then the pointer value is lost because it is reassigned or its scope ends, without a guarantee that the value will be propagated or the memory be freed. The value must be freed, returned, or passed to another function as an argument, before it is lost, on all possible execution paths. Before a pointer is reassigned or its scope ends, the memory it points to must be freed, or a new pointer must be assigned to the memory. This check is identical to MEM-leak, MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_a, CERT-MEM31-C.

**Coding standards**
CERT MEM31-C

Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

CWE 401
Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference ('Memory Leak')

CWE 772
Missing Release of Resource after Effective Lifetime

MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.1
(Required) All resources obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions shall be explicitly released.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = NULL; // losing reference to the allocated memory
    free(ptr);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (rand() < 5) {
        free(ptr);
    } else {
        free(ptr);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-memset-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**

A call to memset might overrun the buffer.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

A call to memset might cause a buffer overrun. If memset is called with a size exceeding the size of the allocated buffer, it will overrun. This might cause a runtime error. Make
sure that the size of the buffer passed to memset does not exceed the destination buffer's size. You might need to add a condition before the call to memset.

**Coding standards**

CWE 121

Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122

Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int b) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 21;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    memset(a, 'a', c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int b) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 20;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    memset(a, 'a', c);
}
```
SEC-BUFFER-memset-overrun

Synopsis
A call to memset overruns the buffer.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
A buffer overrun is caused by a call to memset. If memset is called with a size exceeding
the size of the allocated buffer, it will overrun. This might cause a runtime error. Make
sure that the size of the buffer passed to memset does not exceed the destination buffer's
size. You might need to add a condition before the call to memset.

Coding standards
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memset(a, 'a', 21);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this
issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memset(a, 'a', 10);
}
## SEC-BUFFER-qsort-overrun-pos

**Synopsis**  
Arguments passed to qsort might cause it to overrun.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/Medium

**Full description**  
A call to qsort might cause a buffer overrun. An overrun might be caused by passing a buffer length that exceeds that of the buffer passed to either function, as their first argument. Make sure that a correct buffer length and size is passed to qsort. The call to qsort might need to be preceded with a comparison of the buffer length and element size.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 122  
Heap-based Buffer Overflow  
CWE 121  
Stack-based Buffer Overflow  
CWE 119  
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(int b) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 3;
    } else {
        c = 20;
    }
    qsort(a, c, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(int b) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    int c;
    if (b) {
        c = 3;
    } else {
        c = 2;
    }
    qsort(a, c, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-qsort-overrun**

**Synopsis**
Arguments passed to qsort cause it to overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A buffer overrun is caused by a call to qsort. An overrun is caused by passing a buffer length that exceeds that of the buffer passed to either function, as their first argument. Make sure that a correct buffer length and size is passed to qsort. The call to qsort might need to be preceded with a comparison of the buffer length and element size.

**Coding standards**
- CWE 122
  - Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 121
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    qsort(a, 11, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int cmp(const void *a, const void *b) {
    return a == b;
}

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    qsort(a, 3, sizeof(int), &cmp);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-sprintf-overrun**

**Synopsis**

A call to the sprintf function will overrun the target buffer.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High

**Full description**

A call to the sprintf function will overrun the target buffer. Consider using a function that allows you to set the buffer length, such as snprintf. Alternatively, you might be able to compare the lengths of the source and destination buffer before calling sprintf.
Coding standards

CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the
null terminator

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
char buf[5];
void example(void) {
    sprintf(buf, "Hello World!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this
issue:

```c
char buf[14];
void example(void) {
    sprintf(buf, "Hello World!\n");
}
```

SEC-BUFFER-std-sort-overrun-pos (C++ only)

Synopsis

Use of std::sort might cause a buffer overrun.

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

High/Medium

Full description

std::sort can take a pointer to an array and a pointer to the end of the array as arguments.
However, if the pointers do not point into the same array, or if the end pointer is so far
Descriptions of checks

away that some elements outside the array are included, a buffer overrun might occur. Ensure that both pointers passed to std::sort point within the same buffer.

Coding standards

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
#include <algorithm>
void example(void) {
  int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
  std::sort(a, a+11);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
#include <algorithm>
void example(void) {
  int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
  std::sort(a, a+5);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-std-sort-overrun (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
A buffer overrun is caused by use of std::sort.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
std::sort can take a pointer to an array and a pointer to the end of the array as arguments. However, if the pointers do not point into the same array, or if the end pointer is so far
away that some elements outside the array are included, a buffer overrun might occur. Ensure that both pointers passed to `std::sort` point within the same buffer.

**Coding standards**

CWE 122

Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 121

Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
#include <algorithm>

void example(void) {
    int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
    std::sort(a, a+11);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
#include <algorithm>

void example(void) {
    int a[10] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
    std::sort(a, a+5);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-strcat-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**

A call to the `strcat` function might overrun the target buffer.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

A call to the `strcat` function might overrun the target buffer. `strcat` appends to the target the contents of the source string up until a null character. If the length of the source
buffer is longer than the amount allocated in the destination buffer, a buffer overflow occurs. Alternatively, if the source string is not null terminated, strcat could read past the intended bytes and overflow the destination buffer. If possible, use strncat instead of strcat to set an upper bound on the number of bytes to append. You should also try to check the length of source and destination buffer before calling strcat.

Coding standards

CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120

Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121

Stack-based Buffer Overflow

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,"");
    strcat(str2,str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2,"" );
    strcat(str2, str1);
}
```
SEC-BUFFER-strcat-overrun

Synopsis
A call to the strcat function will overrun the target buffer.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
A call to the strcat function will overrun the target buffer. strcat appends to the target the contents of the source string up until a null character. If the length of the source buffer is longer than the amount allocated in the destination buffer, a buffer overflow occurs. Alternatively, if the source string is not null terminated, strcat could read past the intended bytes and overflow the destination buffer. If possible, use strncat instead of strcat to set an upper bound on the number of bytes to append. You should also try to check the length of source and destination buffer before calling strcat.

Coding standards
CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Definition of checks

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,"");
    strcat(str2, str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2,"");
    strcat(str2, str1);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-strcpy-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**

A call to the strcpy function might overrun the target buffer.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

A call to the strcpy function might overrun the target buffer. strcpy will copy the contents of the source string, up until the null character. If the length of the source string exceeds the intended destination, a buffer overflow occurs which might overwrite memory you did not intend to. Alternatively, if the null character is not present, strcpy might continue past the intended end of the string and read unintended memory into the buffer. If possible, use strncpy to set an upper limit on the number of bytes copied into the destination buffer. The number of bytes should be the length of the destination...
buffer. Alternatively, you might be able to check the length of both the source and destination buffers before calling strcpy.

Coding standards

CERT STR31-C
  Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
  Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
  Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
  Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
  Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
  Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
  Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
  Buffer Under-read

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2, str1);
}

**SEC-BUFFER-strcpy-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to the strcpy function will overrun the target buffer.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
A call to the strcpy function will overrun the target buffer. strcpy will copy the contents of the source string, up until the null character. If the length of the source string exceeds the intended destination, a buffer overflow occurs which might overwrite memory you did not intend to. Alternatively, if the null character is not present, strcpy might continue past the intended end of the string and read unintended memory into the buffer. If possible, use strncpy to set an upper limit on the number of bytes copied into the destination buffer. The number of bytes should be the length of the destination buffer. Alternatively, you might be able to check the length of both the source and destination buffers before calling strcpy.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120

Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122

Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 124

Buffer Underwrite (Buffer Underflow)
CWE 126

Buffer Over-read
CWE 127

Buffer Under-read

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2,str1);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-strncat-overrun-pos**

**Synopsis**
A buffer overrun might be caused by a call to `strncat`.

**Enabled by default**
No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**
Calling `strncat` with a destination buffer that is too small causes a buffer overrun. `strncat` takes a destination buffer as its first argument. If the remaining space of this buffer is smaller than the number of characters to be appended, as determined by the position of the null terminator in the source buffer or the size passed as the third argument to `strncat`, then an overflow might occur resulting in undefined behavior and potential runtime errors. Make sure that the length passed to `strncat` is correct. You might need to perform an comparison before calling `strncat`.

**Coding standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 119</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 121</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stack-based Buffer Overflow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWE 122</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heap-based Buffer Overflow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 10;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strncat(a, b, c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 10;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strcat(a, b);
}
```
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int d) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char * b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 100);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 2;
    } else {
        c = 3;
    }
    strcpy(a, "0123");
    strcpy(b, "45678901234");
    strncat(b, a, c);
}

**SEC-BUFFER-strncat-overrun**

**Synopsis**
A call to strncat causes a buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
Calling strncat with a destination buffer that is too small will cause a buffer overrun. Strncat takes a destination buffer as its first argument. If the remaining space of this buffer is smaller than the number of characters to be appended, as determined by the position of the null terminator in the source buffer or the size passed as the third argument to strncat, then an overflow might occur resulting in undefined behavior and potential runtime errors. Make sure that the length passed to strncat is correct. You might need to perform an comparison before calling strncat.

**Coding standards**
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char)*9);
    strcpy(a, "hello");
    strcat(a, "world", 6);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char * a = malloc(sizeof(char)*11);
    strcpy(a, "hello");
    strcat(a, "world", 6);
}
```

SEC-BUFFER-strncmp-overrun-pos

Synopsis  A call to strncmp might cause a buffer overrun.

Enabled by default  No

Severity/Certainty  High/Medium

Full description  Passing an incorrect string length to strncmp might cause a buffer overrun. Strncmp limits the number of characters it compares to the number of characters passed as its third argument, to prevent buffer overruns with non-null terminated strings. However, if the number of characters passed exceeds the length of the two strings, and none of these strings is null terminated, then it will overrun. Make sure the length passed to strncmp is correct. You might need to perform an comparison before calling strncmp.

Coding standards  CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(int d) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 20;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strncmp(a, b, c);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(int d) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    int c;
    if (d) {
        c = 8;
    } else {
        c = 5;
    }
    strncmp(a, b, c);
}
```

SEC-BUFFER-strncmp-overrun

Synopsis
A buffer overrun is caused by a call to strncmp.
Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
A buffer overrun is caused by passing an incorrect string length to strncmp. Strncmp limits the number of characters it compares to the number of characters passed as its third argument, to prevent buffer overruns with non-null terminated strings. However, if the number of characters passed exceeds the length of the two strings, and none of these strings is null terminated, then it will overrun. Make sure the length passed to strncmp is correct. You might need to perform an comparison before calling strncmp.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    strncmp(a, b, 20);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    char *b = malloc(sizeof(char) * 5);
    strncpy(a, b, 5);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-strncpy-overrun-pos**

Synopsis
The target buffer might be overrun by a call to the strncpy function.
Enabled by default: No

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: The target buffer might be overrun by a call to the `strncpy` function. If the supplied buffer length exceeds the actual length of the destination buffer, `strncpy` might write past the bounds of the destination buffer. Make sure the length passed to `strncpy` is correct. You might need to perform a comparison before calling `strncpy`.

Coding standards:
- CERT STR31-C: Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator
- CWE 119: Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120: Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 121: Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 122: Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 124: Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
- CWE 126: Buffer Over-read
- CWE 127: Buffer Under-read
- CWE 805: Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strncpy(str2, str1, 14);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strncpy(str2, str1, 14);
}

## SEC-BUFFER-strncpy-overrun

### Synopsis
A call to the strncpy function will overrun the target buffer.

### Enabled by default
Yes

### Severity/Certainty
High/High

### Full description
A call to the strncpy function will overrun the target buffer. If the supplied buffer length exceeds the actual length of the destination buffer, strncpy might write past the bounds of the destination buffer. Make sure the length passed to strncpy is correct. You might need to perform a comparison before calling strncpy.

### Coding standards
CERT STR31-C

- Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
C-STAT checks

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strncpy(str2,str1,14);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
SEC-BUFFER-tainted-alloc-size

Synopsis
A user is able to control the amount of memory used in an allocation.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
The size of an allocation is derived from user input. User input should be bounds-checked before it is used as an argument to a memory allocation function. If the size being passed to an allocation function is not checked properly, an attacker might cause an application crash via an out-of-memory condition, or cause the application to consume large amounts of memory on a system. Any size derived from user input that is passed to an allocation function should be checked to make sure it is not too large.

Coding standards
CERT INT04-C
Enforce limits on integer values originating from untrusted sources
CWE 789
Uncontrolled Memory Allocation
CWE 770
Allocation of Resources Without Limits or Throttling
CWE 20
Improper Input Validation

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strncpy(str2, str1, 14);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
int main(char* argc, char** argv) {
  int num;
  char buffer[50];
  char *other_string = "Hello World!";
  gets(buffer);
  sscanf(buffer, "%d", &num);
  if (num < strlen(other_string) || num > 100) return -1;
  char *string = (char *)malloc(num);
  strcpy(string, other_string);
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-tainted-copy-length**

**Synopsis**
A tainted value is used as the size of the memory copied from one buffer to another.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A value derived from user input is used as the size of the memory when contents is copied from one buffer to another. An attacker could supply a value that causes a buffer overrun, which might expose sensitive data stored in memory or cause an application
Descriptions of checks

Buffer sizes taken from user input should be properly bounds-tested before they are used.

Coding standards

CERT INT04-C

Enforce limits on integer values originating from untrusted sources

CWE 126

Buffer Over-read

CWE 120

Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    char dest[50], src[50];
    int size = getchar();
    int size2 = 10;
    int size3 = 20;
    int size4 = 30;
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
        memcpy(dest, src, size4);
        size4 = size3;
        size3 = size2;
        size2 = size;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    char dest[50], src[50];
    int size = getchar();
    int size2 = 10;
    int size3 = 20;
    int size4 = 30;
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
        if (size4 >= 0 && size4 <= 50)
            memcpy(dest, src, size4);
        size4 = size3;
        size3 = size2;
        size2 = size;
    }
}

SEC-BUFFER-tainted-copy

Synopsis
User input is copied into a buffer.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
An unbounded copying function is used to copy the contents of a buffer that contains user input, into another buffer. If the length of the user input is not checked before it is copied, an attacker could input data longer than the intended destination. This data could overwrite other values stored in memory, causing unexpected (and potentially dangerous) behavior and could lead to arbitrary code execution. The length of user input should be checked before it is used in an unbounded copy function, or such functions should be avoided altogether.

Coding standards
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    char passwd[10];
    char *input = getenv("PASSWORD");
    int accept;

    strcpy(passwd, input);

    if (accept)
        printf("Login Successful\n");
    else
        printf("Unsuccessful Login\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    char passwd[10];
    int accept;

    if (strlen(argv[1]) < 10)
        strcpy(passwd, argv[1]);

    if (accept)
        printf("Login Successful\n");
    else
        printf("Unsuccessful Login\n");
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-tainted-index**

**Synopsis**

An array is accessed with an index derived from user input.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: An array is accessed with an index that is unchecked and derived from user input. An attacker could create input that might cause a buffer overrun. Such an attack might cause an application crash, corruption of data, or exposure of sensitive information in memory. All input from users should be bounds-checked before it is used to access an array.

Coding standards: CERT INT04-C
Enforce limits on integer values originating from untrusted sources
CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int *main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int *options[10];
    char buffer[1024];
    int index, success, socket;
    success = recv(socket, buffer, sizeof(buffer) - 1, 0);
    if (!success) return 0;
    sscanf(buffer, "%d", &index);
    return options[index]; /* Index could be any integer */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int *main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int *options[10];
    char buffer[1024];
    int index, success, socket;
    success = recv(socket, buffer, sizeof(buffer) - 1, 0);
    if (!success) return 0;
    sscanf(buffer, "%d", &index);
    if (index >= 0 && index < 10)
        return options[index]; /* Index is between 0 and 9 */
}

**SEC-BUFFER-tainted-offset**

**Synopsis**
A user-controlled variable is used as an offset to a pointer without proper bounds checking.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
In an arithmetic operation involving a pointer, a variable is used that is under user control. Without checking the bounds of this variable, an attacker could send a value to the application that might cause a buffer overrun, corruption of data, or exposure of sensitive information stored in memory. The bounds of all tainted variables must be properly checked before used in pointer arithmetic.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *p) {
    int a = atoi(getenv("TEST"));
    p + a;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *p) {
    int a = atoi(getenv("TEST"));
    if (a > 0 && a < 10)
        p + a;
}

## SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-all

**Synopsis**
A pointer is used after it has been freed, on all execution paths.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
Memory is being accessed after it has been deallocated. The application might seem to work, but the operation is illegal. This will probably cause an application crash, or the program might continue operating with erroneous or corrupt data. A pointer should be assigned to a different and valid memory location (either by aliasing another pointer, or by performing another allocation) before being used. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_o, CERT-MEM30-C_a, MEM-use-free-all.

**Coding standards**
CERT MEM30-C

Do not access freed memory

CWE 416
Use After Free

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    *x++;  //x is dereferenced after it is freed
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;  //OK - x is reallocated
}
```

**SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-some**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is used after it has been freed, on some execution paths.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
A pointer is used after it has been freed, on some execution paths. This might cause data corruption or an application crash. A pointer should be assigned to a different and valid memory location (either by aliasing another pointer, or by performing another allocation) before being used. This check is identical to MEM-use-free-some, MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_e, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_p, CERT-MEM30-C_b.

**Coding standards**
CERT MEM30-C
Do not access freed memory

CWE 416
Use After Free

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    if (rand()) {
        x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    } else {
        /* x not reallocated along this path */
    }
    (*x)++;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;
}
```

**SEC-DIV-0-compare-after**

**Synopsis**
After a successful comparison with 0, a variable is used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High
A variable is compared to 0, then used as a divisor before being written to. The comparison implies that the variable's value is 0 for all following statements. Using it as a divisor afterwards causes a 'divide by zero' runtime error. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-aft, MISRAC2004-1.2_e, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_c, CERT-INT33-C_b.

**Coding standards**

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2004 1.2

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3

(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();

    if (p == 0)  /* p is 0 */
        a = 34 / p;

    return a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();

    if (p != 0)   /* p is not 0 */
       a = 34 / p;

    return a;
}

**SEC-DIV-0-compare-before**

**Synopsis**
A variable is first used as a divisor, then compared with 0.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
A variable is compared to 0 after it is used as a divisor, but before it is written to again. The comparison implies that the variable’s value might be 0, and might have been for the preceding statements. Because one of these statements is an operation that uses the variable as a divisor (which would cause a 'divide by zero' runtime error), the execution can never reach the comparison when the value is 0, making it meaningless. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-bef, MISRAC2004-1.2_f, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_d, CERT-INT33-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2004 1.2

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b = 1;
    b = a / p;
    if (p == 0) // Checking the value of 'p' too late.
        return 0;
    return b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b;
    if (p == 0)
        return 0;
    b = a / p;    /* Here 'p' is non-zero. */
    return b;
}
```

**SEC-DIV-0-tainted**

**Synopsis**

User input is used as a divisor without validation.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

User input is used as a divisor without first checking that it is within a range. This means that an attacker can send a value that might trigger a division by zero error, for example as part of a denial of service attack.

**Coding standards**

CWE 369

Divide By Zero
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    return 10 / argc;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    if (argc > 0 && argc < 10)
        return 10 / argc;
    else
        return 1;
}
```

**SEC-FILEOP-open-no-close**

**Synopsis**
All file pointers obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions must be explicitly released.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
If file pointers are not explicitly released, a failure might occur caused by exhaustion of the resources. Release file pointers as soon as possible to reduce the risk of exhaustion. Make sure that files are closed on all execution paths in a function. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_b, RESOURCE-file-no-close-all, CERT-FIO42-C_a.

**Coding standards**
CWE 404
Improper Resource Shutdown or Release

MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.1
(Required) All resources obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions shall be explicitly released

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
    fclose(fp);
}

## SEC-FILEOP-path-traversal

**Synopsis**
User input is used as a file path, or used to derive a file path.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
User input is used either directly or in part to derive a file path. Unless this information is checked, an attacker could send a value that causes a file open to traverse out of the intended directory. As a result, files you wish to keep secure could be opened, modified, or deleted. An attacker could also create files in undesired locations. Values that come from user input should be checked, by string comparison or similar, before being used as a path to a file.

**Coding standards**

- CWE 22
  Improper Limitation of a Pathname to a Restricted Directory ('Path Traversal')

- CWE 23
  Relative Path Traversal

- CWE 36
  Absolute Path Traversal
C-STAT checks

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char path[100] = "/tmp/sandbox/";
    strcat(path, argv[1], 50);
    FILE *file = fopen(path, "r");
    if (!file) return -1;
    char c;
    while((c = fgetc(file)) != EOF) {
        printf("%c", c);
    }
    fclose(file);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char path[100] = "/tmp/sandbox/plain.txt";
    FILE *file = fopen(path, "r");
    if (!file) return -1;
    char c;
    while((c = fgetc(file)) != EOF) {
        printf("%c", c);
    }
    fclose(file);
    return 0;
}
```

SEC-FILEOP-use-after-close

Synopsis

A file resource is used after it has been closed.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

High/Medium
A file resource is referred to after it has been closed. Once a file has been closed, the reference to that file is invalidated. Any use of this reference is undefined and might result in an application crash. A file pointer should not be used after the file it points to is closed. To use the file pointer again, you must open a new file with that pointer.

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fclose(f1);
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
    fclose(f1);
}
```

An SQL statement is constructed either completely or partially from user input. When user input is used in an SQL statement, that statement should be parameterized and the
user input be passed as a parameter. By using user input directly in an SQL statement (through string concatenation or similar) you leave the statement open to attack. An attacker could provide input to execute arbitrary commands on your database. These commands could expose information in the database, overwrite existing data, or delete elements from the database. This check supports the following C/C++ libraries for SQL:

* MySQL C API
* MySQL Connector/C++
* libpq (PostgreSQL)
* libpq++ (PostgreSQL)
* libpqxx (PostgreSQL)
* sqlite3
* Microsoft ODBC
* OLE DB

User input should be sanitized using an SQL escaping function.

**Coding standards**

CWE 89

Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection')

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void example(void * conn) {
    char *name;
    char *sql;
    name = gets(name);
    strcpy(sql, "SELECT age FROM people WHERE name = ");
    strcat(sql, name);
    strcat(sql, ");
    sqlite3_exec(conn, sql);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>

void example(void * conn, void * stmt) {
    char *name;
    name = gets(name);
    sqlite3_bind_text(stmt, "A", name);
    sqlite3_exec(conn, "SELECT age FROM people WHERE name = $A");
}
```

**SEC-INJECTION-xpath**

**Synopsis**

User input is improperly used as an XPath expression

**Enabled by default**

No
An XPath expression is constructed either entirely or partially from user input. User input used in XPath expressions must be sanitized before used. An attacker could provide input to expose the structure of the XML document, or access fields they normally do not have access to. Unlike databases there is no level access control, so an attacker can access the entire document. This check supports the following C/C++ libraries for XPath: * libxml2 * Xerces * MSXML * libxml++ * TinyXPath * libroxml * pugixml User input should be checked through string comparison or similar before being used in an XPath query.

CWE 91
XML Injection (aka Blind XPath Injection)

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void example(void * xml) {
    char *name;
    char *xpath;
    name = gets(name);
    strcpy(xpath, "children::*[@name = '");
    strcat(xpath, name);
    strcat(xpath, '");
    xmlXPathEval(xml, xpath);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>

void example(void * xml, char *name) {
    char *xpath;
    strcpy(xpath, "children::*[@name = '");
    strcat(xpath, name);
    strcat(xpath, '");
    xmlXPathEval(xml, xpath);
}
```
SEC-LOOP-tainted-bound

Synopsis
A user-controlled value is used as part of a loop condition.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
A user-controlled value is used as part of a loop condition. Unless the bounds of the value used in the condition is checked properly, an attacker might control the number of times a loop executes. This might cause integer overflows or possibly be used in denial of service attacks. User input used in a loop condition must have its upper and lower bounds checked before used.

Coding standards
CWE 606
Unchecked Input for Loop Condition

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a;
    int i = 0;
    scanf("%d", &a);
    while (i < a) {
        i++;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a;
    int i = 0;
    scanf("%d", &a);
    if (a > 0 && a < 10) {
        while (i < a) {
            i++;
        }
    }
}
```
**SEC-NULL-assignment-fun-pos**

Synopsis
A pointer that might have been assigned the value NULL is dereferenced.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
A pointer that might have been assigned the value NULL, either directly or by a function call that can return NULL, is dereferenced, either directly or by being passed to a function which might dereference it without checking its value. This might cause an application crash. A pointer that might be NULL should be checked before it is dereferenced.

Coding standards
CERT EXP34-C
Do not dereference null pointers
CWE 476
NULL Pointer Dereference

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#define NULL ((void*) 0)
void * malloc(unsigned long);

int * xmalloc(int size){
    int * res = malloc(sizeof(int)*size);
    if (res != NULL)
        return res;
    else
        return NULL;
}

void zeroout(int *xp, int i)
{
    xp[i] = 0;
}

int foo() {
    int * x;
    int i;
    x = xmalloc(45);
    // if (x)
    //    return -1;
    for(i = 0; i < 45; i++)
        zeroout(x, i);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
SEC-NULL-assignment

Synopsis
A pointer is assigned the value NULL, then dereferenced.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High
A pointer is assigned the value NULL, then dereferenced. The assignment might be intentional to indicate that the pointer is no longer used, but it is an error to subsequently dereference it, and it might cause an application crash. The pointer should be checked for NULL before it is dereferenced. If the dereference is unintentional, you might want to either assign a value to the pointer or remove the dereference.

**Coding standards**
- CERT EXP34-C
  
  Do not dereference null pointers

- CWE 476
  
  NULL Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *p;
    p = NULL;
    return *p;  //dereference after
    //assignment to NULL
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *p;
    p = NULL;
    p = (int *)1;
    return *p;
}
```

**SEC-NULL-cmp-aft**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is dereferenced, then compared with NULL.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: Checks whether a dereferenced pointer are subsequently compared with NULL. Dereferencing a pointer implicitly asserts that it is not NULL. Comparing it with NULL after this may suggests that it may have been NULL at the point of dereference. The pointer should be checked to be non-NULL before being derefenced.

Coding standards: CERT EXP34-C

- Do not dereference null pointers
- CWE 476
  - NULL Pointer Dereference

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4; // line 8 asserts that p may be NULL
    if (p != NULL) {
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(int *p) {
    if (p == NULL) {
        return;
    }
    *p = 4;
}
```

---

**SEC-NULL-cmp-bef-fun**

**Synopsis**: A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced by a function.
Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: High/Low

Full description: A pointer is compared with NULL, then passed as an argument to a function that might dereference it. This might be caused by an accidental use of the wrong comparison operator, for example == instead of !=, or by accidentally swapping the then- and else-clauses of an if-statement. If the function does dereference the pointer, the application will crash. If it does not, the argument is not needed. Check comparison operators to make sure they test the correct condition, and make sure that branches have not been accidentally swapped.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP34-C
  - Do not dereference null pointers
- CWE 476
  - NULL Pointer Dereference

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x) {
    *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (*x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(*x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL)
        *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(x);
}

**SEC-NULL-cmp-bef**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
A pointer is compared with NULL, then dereferenced. This might be caused by an accidental use of the wrong comparison operator, for example == instead of !=, or by accidentally swapping the then- and else- clauses of an if-statement. If the condition is evaluated and found to be true, the application will crash. Check comparison operators to make sure they test the correct condition, and make sure that branches have not been accidentally swapped.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP34-C
   Do not dereference null pointers
CWE 476
   NULL Pointer Dereference

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
C-STAT checks

#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    if (p == NULL) {
        *p = 4;  //dereference after comparison with NULL
    }
    return 1;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    int *p;
    if (p != NULL) {
        *p = 4;  //OK - after comparison with non-NULL
    }
    return 1;
}

**SEC-NULL-literal-pos**

**Synopsis**
A literal pointer expression (e.g. NULL) is dereferenced by a function call.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A literal pointer expression (for example, NULL) is passed as an argument to a function that might dereference it. Pointer values are generally only useful if acquired at runtime; thus dereferencing a literal address will usually be an accident, resulting in corrupted memory or an application crash. Make sure that the function being called checks the argument it is given with NULL, before it dereferences it.

**Coding standards**
CWE 476
NULL Pointer Dereference

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

extern int sometimes;

int bar(int *x) {
    if (sometimes)
        *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    bar(NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define NULL ((void *) 0)

int bar(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL)
        *x = 3;
    return 0;
}

int foo(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL) {
        *x = 4;
    }
    bar(x);
}
```

SEC-STRING-format-string

Synopsis

User input is used as a format string.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

High/Medium
User input is used as a format string. An attacker might supply an input string that contains format tokens. Such a string can be used to read and write to arbitrary memory locations, making the attacker able to execute code, crash the application, or access sensitive information stored in memory. User input should be tested, using string comparison or similar, before being used as a format string. This check is identical to CERT-FIO30-C.

**Coding standards**

 CERT FIO30-C
- Exclude user input from format strings

 **CWE 134**
- Uncontrolled Format String

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(char* argc, char** argv) {
    char mystring[100];
    fgets(mystring, 100, stdin);
    char buf[100];
    snprintf(buf, sizeof buf, mystring);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(char* argc, char** argv) {
    char mystring[100];
    fgets(mystring, 100, stdin);
    char buf[100];
    snprintf(buf, sizeof buf, "%s", mystring);
    return 0;
}
```

**SEC-STRING-hard-coded-credentials**

**Synopsis**

The application hard codes a username or password to connect to an external component.
Descriptions of checks

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium

Full description

The application uses a hard-coded username or password to connect to an external resource, such as a database. An attacker might extract the password from the application binary through an exploit. Or, if the application is intended for client-side use, an attacker could extract the credentials from the binary itself. Credentials should be read into the application using a strongly-protected encrypted configuration file or database. This check supports the following C/C++ SQL libraries: MySQL C API, MySQL Connector/C++, libpq (PostgreSQL), libpq++ (PostgreSQL), libpqxx (PostgreSQL), Microsoft ODBC, OLE DB, and, also supports Windows Login functions. This check is identical to CERT-MSC41-C_a.

Coding standards

CWE 798
Use of Hard-coded Credentials

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void *conn) {
    char *b;
    char *a = "top_secret_password";
    mysql_real_connect(conn, "localhost", b, a, "FOO", 2000);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void *conn, FILE *f) {
    char *b;
    char *a;
    fscanf(f, "%s;%s", a, b);
    mysql_real_connect(conn, "localhost", b, a, "FOO", 2000);
}
```

MISRAC2004-1.1

Synopsis

Code was found that does not conform to the ISO/IEC 9899:1990 standard.
**C-STAT checks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabled by default</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**
(Required) All code shall conform to ISO 9899 standard, with no extensions permitted.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 1.1
(Required) All code shall conform to ISO 9899 standard, with no extensions permitted.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
struct { int i; }; /* Does not declare anything */
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
struct named { int i; };
```

**MISRAC2004-1.2_a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>There are read accesses from local buffers that are not preceded by write accesses.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>High/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This is a semi-equivalent initialization check for arrays, which ensures that at least one element of the array has been written before any element is attempted to be read. A warning generally means that you have read an uninitialized value, which might cause the application to behave erroneously or crash. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_b, SPC-uninit-arr-all, CERT-EXP33-C_d.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

MISRA C:2004 1.2
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example() {
    int a[20];
    int b = a[1];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern void f(int*);
void example() {
    int a[20];
    f(a);
    int b = a[1];
}
```

**MISRAC2004-1.2_b**

**Synopsis**
On all execution paths, one or more fields are read from a struct before they are initialized.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. Using uninitialized values might cause unexpected results or unpredictable behavior, particularly in the case of pointer fields. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_c, SPC-uninit-struct, CERT-EXP33-C_e.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

MISRA C:2004 1.2
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    a = str.x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(int i) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    str.x = i;
    a = str.x;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-1.2_c**

**Synopsis**
An expression resulting in 0 is used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_a.

**Coding standards**

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2004 1.2

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;  // a-2 is 0
    return 5 / (a-2);  // a-2 is 0
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;  // OK - a+2 is 4
    return 5 / (a+2);  // OK - a+2 is 4
}
```

**MISRAC2004-1.2_d**

**Synopsis**

A variable was found that is assigned the value 0, and then used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/High
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-assign, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_b, CERT-INT33-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors
CWE 369
Divide By Zero
MISRA C:2004 1.2
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20, b = 0, c;
    c = a / b;    /* Divide by zero */
    return c;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20, b = 5, c;
    c = a / b;    /* b is not 0 */
    return c;
}
```

MISRAC2004-1.2_e
Synopsis
A variable is used as a divisor after a successful comparison with 0.
Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty
Medium/High
Descriptions of checks

Full description

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-aft, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_c, SEC-DIV-0-compare-after, CERT-INT33-C_b.

Coding standards

CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2004 1.2

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();
    if (p == 0)    /* p is 0 */
        a = 34 / p;
    return a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();
    if (p != 0)    /* p is not 0 */
        a = 34 / p;
    return a;
}
```
MISRAC2004-1.2_f

Synopsis
A variable used as a divisor is subsequently compared with 0.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-bef, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_d, SEC-DIV-0-compare-before, CERT-INT33-C_c.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369
Divide By Zero
MISRA C:2004 1.2
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b = 1;
    b = a / p;
    if (p == 0) // Checking the value of 'p' too late.
        return 0;
    return b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b;
    if (p == 0)
        return 0;
    b = a / p;    /* Here 'p' is non-zero. */
    return b;
}

**MISRAC2004-1.2_g**

**Synopsis**
A value that is determined using interval analysis to be 0 is used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-interval, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_e, CERT-INT33-C_d.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369
Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2004 1.2

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 1;
    a--;    /* a is 0 */
    return 5 / a;    /* a is 0 */
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 2;
    a--;
    return 5 / a; /* OK - a is 1 */
}
```

**MISRAC2004-1.2_h**

**Synopsis**
An expression that might be 0 is used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-pos, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_f, CERT-INT33-C_e.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT33-C
   Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369
   Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2004 1.2
   (Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a-2); // a-2 is 0
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a+2);  // OK - a+2 is 4
}
```

**MISRAC2004-1.2_i**

**Synopsis**
A global variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low

**Full description**
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-unchk-global, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_g, CERT-INT33-C_f.

**Coding standards**
CWE 369

Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2004 1.2

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int x;

int example() {
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int x;

int example() {
    if (x != 0){
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

### MISRAC2004-1.2_j

**Synopsis**
A local variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low

**Full description**
(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-unchk-local, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_h, CERT-INT33-C_g.

**Coding standards**
CWE 369
- Divide By Zero
- MISRA C:2004 1.2

(Required) No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int rand();

int example() {
    int x = rand();
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int rand();

int example() {
    int x = rand();
    if (x != 0) {
        return 5/x;
    }
}

**MISRAC2004-2.1**

**Synopsis**
Inline assembler statements were found that are not encapsulated in functions.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Assembler language shall be encapsulated and isolated. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7-4-3, MISRAC2012-Dir-4.3.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 2.1
(Required) Assembler language shall be encapsulated and isolated.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example(int x) {
    int r;
    asm("" );
    return r + 1;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int example(int x) {
    asm("" );
    return x;
}
```
**MISRAC2004-2.2**

**Synopsis**
Uses of // comments were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) Source code shall only use /* ... */ style comments.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 2.2
(Required) Source code shall only use /* ... */ style comments.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    // an end of line comment
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    /* a terminated comment */
}
```

**MISRAC2004-2.3**

**Synopsis**
The character sequence /* was found inside comments.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) The character sequence /* shall not be used within a comment. This check is identical to COMMENT-nested, MISRAC++2008-2-7-1.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 2.3
(Required) The character sequence /* shall not be used within a comment.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    /* This comment starts here
    /* Nested comment starts here
    */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    /* This comment starts here */
    /* Nested comment starts here */
}
```

MISRAC2004-2.4

Synopsis
Code sections in comments were found, where the comment ends in ;, (, or ) characters.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) Sections of code should not be commented out. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.4.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 2.4
(Advisory) Sections of code should not be commented out.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    /*
    int i;
    */
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    #if 0
        int i;
    #endif
}

## MISRAC2004-5.1

### Synopsis
Identifiers were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters (#defines, structs, unions, fields, enums, and variables).

### Enabled by default
Yes

### Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

### Full description
(Required) Identifiers (internal and external) shall not rely on the significance of more than 31 characters.

### Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 5.1
(Required) Identifiers (internal and external) shall not rely on the significance of more than 31 characters.

### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int long_identifier_name_123456789012345678901234567890;
int long_identifier_name_123456789012345678901234567891;
int long_identifier_name_123456789012345678901234567892;
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int long_identifier_name_123456789012345678901234567893;
```
int long_identifier_name;
int long_identifier_namb;

MISRAC2004-5.2

Synopsis
An identifier name was found that is not distinct in the first 31 characters from other names in an outer scope.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) Identifiers in an inner scope shall not use the same name as an identifier in an outer scope, and thus hide that identifier.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 5.2
(Required) Identifiers in an inner scope shall not use the same name as an identifier in an outer scope, and therefore hide that identifier.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
extern int n01_param_hides_var__________31x;
extern int n02_var_hides_var___________31x;
void n03_var_hides_function___________31x (void) {}

union n04_var_hides_union_tag______31x {
    int v1;
    unsigned int v2;
};
enum n05_var_hides_enum_tag_______31x {
    n06_var_hides_enum_const_____31x,
    n07_tag_hides_enum_const____31x
};
#define n08_var_hides_macro_name_____31x 123
extern int n09_label_hides_var__________31x;
extern int n10_type_hides_var___________31x;

void f1(int n01_param_hides_var__________31y) {
    int n02_var_hides_var___________31y;
    int n03_var_hides_function___________31y;
    int n04_var_hides_union_tag______31y;
    int n05_var_hides_enum_tag_______31y;
    int n06_var_hides_enum_const____31y;
    struct n07_tag_hides_enum_const____31y {
        int ff2;
    };
    int n08_var_hides_macro_name_____31y;
*/
1234567890123456789012345678901********* */

n09_label_hides_var__________31y:
switch(f2()) {
    case 1: {
        typedef int n10_type_hides_var________31y;
        do {
            /* 1234567890123456789012345678901********* */
            struct n11_var_hides_struct_tag_____31x {
                int ff1;
            };
            if(f3()) {
                int n11_var_hides_struct_tag_____31y = 1;
            }
        } while(f2());
    }
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void f1 (void) {
    extern int n01_var_in_same_scope________31x;
    static int n01_var_in_same_scope________31y;

    switch(fn()) {  
        case 1:  
        { 
            int    n02_var_in_different_scope___31a;
        }  
        break;
        case 2:  
        { 
            int    n02_var_in_different_scope___31b;
        }  
        break;
        } 
        int    n02_var_in_different_scope___31c;
        } 
        int    n02_var_in_different_scope___31d;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-5.3**

**Synopsis**
A typedef declaration was found with a name already used for a previously declared typedef.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A typedef name shall be a unique identifier. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-2-10-3, MISRAC2012-Rule-5.6. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 5.3
(Required) A typedef name shall be a unique identifier.

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef int WIDTH;
void f1()
{
  WIDTH w1;
}
void f2()
{
  typedef float WIDTH;
  WIDTH w2;
  WIDTH w3;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
namespace NS1
{
  typedef int WIDTH;
}
// f2.cc
namespace NS2
{
  typedef float WIDTH; // Compliant - NS2::WIDTH is not the same as NS1::WIDTH
  NS1::WIDTH w1;
  NS2::WIDTH w2;
}
```

### MISRAC2004-5.4

#### Synopsis

A class, struct, union, or enum declaration was found that clashes with a previous declaration.

#### Enabled by default

Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  Low/Medium

Full description  (Required) A tag name shall be a unique identifier. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-2-10-4, MISRAC2012-Rule-5.7. This is a link analysis check.

Coding standards  
MISRA C:2004 5.4
(Required) A tag name shall be a unique identifier.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f1()
{
    class TYPE {};
}

void f2()
{
    float TYPE;  // non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
enum ENS {ONE, TWO };

void f1()
{
    class TYPE {};
}

void f4()
{
    union GRRR {
        int i;
        float f;
    };
}
```

**MISRAC2004-5.5**

Synopsis  An identifier is used that might clash with another static identifier.
(Advisory) No object or function identifier with static storage duration should be reused. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-2-10-5.

(MISRA C:2004 5.5)

(Advisory) No object or function identifier with static storage duration should be reused.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
namespace NS1
{
    static int global = 0;
}

namespace NS2
{
    void fn()
    {
        int global; // Non-compliant
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
namespace NS1
{
    int global = 0;
}
namespace NS2
{
    void f1()
    {
        int global; // Non-compliant
    }
}
void f2()
{
    static int global;
}

**MISRAC2004-5.6**

**Synopsis**
Identifier reuse in different namespaces

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Advisory) No identifier in one namespace should have the same spelling as an identifier in another namespace, with the exception of structure member and union member names.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 5.6

(Advisory) No identifier in one namespace should have the same spelling as an identifier in another namespace, with the exception of structure member and union member names.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
struct n01_tag_vs_var {
    int n02_field_vs_var;
    int n03_field_vs_func;
} n01_tag_vs_var;

int n04_var_vs_label;

int n02_field_vs_var;

void n03_field_vs_func(void) {
    n04_var_vs_label:
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

struct s {
    int n01_field_vs_field;
};

union u {
    int n01_field_vs_field;
    int u2;
};

**MISRAC2004-5.7**

**Synopsis** An identifier in a variable, enumeration, struct, #define, or union definition is reused.

**Enabled by default** No

**Severity/Certainty** Low/Low

**Full description** (Advisory) No identifier name should be reused. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards** MISRA C:2004 5.7

(Advisory) No identifier name should be reused.

**Code examples** The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Descriptions of checks

void example(void) {
    struct {
        int x;
    } name1;
    struct {
        int x; // x is reused here
    } name2;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    struct {
        int x;
    } name1;
    struct {
        int y;
    } name2;
}

MISRAC2004-6.1

Synopsis
Arithmetic is performed on objects of type plain char, without an explicit signed or unsigned qualifier.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
(Required) The plain char type shall be used only for the storage and use of character values. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-4-5-3.

Coding standards
CERT INT07-C
Use only explicitly signed or unsigned char type for numeric values

MISRA C:2004 6.1
(Required) The plain char type shall be used only for the storage and use of character values.
Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

typedef signed char INT8;
typedef unsigned char UINT8;

UINT8 toascii(INT8 c)
{
    return (UINT8)c & 0x7f;
}

int func(int x)
{
    char sc = 4;
    char *scp = &sc;
    UINT8 (*fp)(INT8 c) = &toascii;

    x = x + sc;
    x *= *scp;
    return (*fp)(x);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef signed char INT8;
typedef unsigned char UINT8;

UINT8 toascii(INT8 c)
{
    return (UINT8)c & 0x7f;
}

int func(int x)
{
    signed char sc = 4;
    signed char *scp = &sc;
    UINT8 (*fp)(INT8 c) = &toascii;

    x = x + sc;
    x *= *scp;
    return (*fp)(x);
}

MISRAC2004-6.2

Synopsis

A signed or unsigned char is used on character data.
Descriptions of checks

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/High

Full description: (Required) signed and unsigned char type shall be used only for the storage and use of numeric values.

Coding standards:
- CERT INT07-C: Use only explicitly signed or unsigned char type for numeric values
- MISRA C:2004 6.2: (Required) signed and unsigned char type shall be used only for the storage and use of numeric values.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned char c = 'c';
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    char c = 'c';
}
```

MISRAC2004-6.3

Synopsis: One or more of the basic types char, int, short, long, double, and float are used without a typedef.

Enabled by default: No

Severity/Certainty: Low/High
Full description

(Advisory) typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic types. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-3-9-2, MISRAC2012-Dir-4.6_a.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 6.3

(Advisory) typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic types.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef signed char SCHAR;
typedef int INT;
typedef float FLOAT;

INT func(FLOAT f, INT *pi)
{
    INT x;
    INT (*fp)(const char *);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef signed char SCHAR;
typedef int INT;
typedef float FLOAT;

INT func(FLOAT f, INT *pi)
{
    INT x;
    INT (*fp)(const SCHAR *);
}
```

**MISRAC2004-6.4**

Synopsis

Bitfields of plain int type were found.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>(Required) Bitfields shall only be defined to be of type unsigned int or signed int. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-6.1.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) Bitfields shall only be defined to be of type unsigned int or signed int.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>struct bad {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int x:3;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>};</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>struct good {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unsigned int x:3;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>};</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISRAC2004-6.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Signed bitfields consisting of a single bit (excluding anonymous fields) were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>(Required) Bitfields of signed type shall be at least 2 bits long. This check is identical to STRUCT-signed-bit, MISRAC++2008-9-6-4, MISRAC2012-Rule-6.2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) Bitfields of signed type shall be at least 2 bits long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>struct S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>signed int a : 1; // Non-compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>};</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct S {
    signed int b : 2;
    signed int : 0;
    signed int : 1;
    signed int : 2;
};
```

**MISRAC2004-7.1**

**Synopsis**
Uses of octal integer constants were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Octal constants shall not be used. Zero is okay. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-2-13-2, MISRAC2012-Rule-7.1.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 7.1

(Required) Octal constants shall not be used. Zero is okay

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(void)
{
    int x = 077;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void)
{
    int x = 63;
}
```
### MISRAC2004-8.1

**Synopsis**
Functions were found that are used despite not having a valid prototype.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Required) Functions shall have prototype declarations and the prototype shall be visible at both the function definition and call. This check is identical to FUNC-implicit-decl, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.3, CERT-DCL31-C.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL31-C
- Declare identifiers before using them

MISRA C:2004 8.1
- (Required) Functions shall have prototype declarations and the prototype shall be visible at both the function definition and call.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void func(void);
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

### MISRAC2004-8.2

**Synopsis**
An implicit int was found in a declaration.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: (Required) Whenever an object or function is declared or defined, its type shall be explicitly stated. This check is identical to DECL-implicit-int, MISRAC2012-Rule-8.1.

Coding standards: CERT DCL31-C
Declar identifiers before using them
MISRA C:2004 8.2
(Required) Whenever an object or function is declared or defined, its type shall be explicitly stated.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void func(void)
{
    static y;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void func(void)
{
    int x;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-8.3**

Synopsis: A declaration and definition for a function were found that use different type qualifiers.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium
Descriptions of checks

Full description

(Required) For each function parameter the type given in the declaration and definition shall be identical, and the return types shall also be identical. This check is identical to CERT-EXP37-C_b. This is a link analysis check.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 8.3

(Required) For each function parameter, the type given in the declaration and definition shall be identical and the return types shall also be identical.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef int INT;
void foo(int i);
void foo(INT i) {};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void foo(int i);
void foo(int i) {};
```

MISRAC2004-8.5_a

Synopsis

A global variable is declared in a header file.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium

Full description

(Required) There shall be no definitions of objects or functions in a header file.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 8.5

(Required) There shall be no definitions of objects or functions in a header file.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
C-STAT checks

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/*
global_decl.h contains:
extern int global_variable;
*/
#include "global_decl.h"
```

**MISRAC2004-8.5_b**

**Synopsis**
One or more non-inlined functions are defined in header files.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) There shall be no definitions of objects or functions in a header file. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-3-1-1.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 8.5

(Required) There shall be no definitions of objects or functions in a header file.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/*
global_def.h contains:
int global_variable;
*/
#include "global_def.h"
```
Descriptions of checks

```c
#include "definition.h"
/* Contents of definition.h:
   void definition(void) {
   }
*/
void example(void) {
   definition();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include "declaration.h"
/* Contents of declaration.h:
   void definition(void);
*/
void example(void) {
   definition();
}
```

**MISRAC2004-8.6**

**Synopsis**
A function declaration was found at block scope.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) Functions shall be declared at file scope.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 8.6
(Required) Functions shall be declared at file scope.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int foo() {
    int bar();
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int foo() {
    return 0;
} int bar();

### MISRAC2004-8.7

**Synopsis**
A global object was found that is only referenced from a single function.

**Enabled by default**  Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  Low/Medium

**Full description**  (Required) Objects shall be defined at block scope if they are only accessed from within a single function. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 8.7
(Required) Objects shall be defined at block scope if they are only accessed from within a single function.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.9
(Advisory) An object should be defined at block scope if its identifier only appears in a single function

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
static int i = 10;
int example(void) {
    return i;
}
void main() {
    printf("example() = %d\n", example());
}
```
**Descriptions of checks**

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int i = 10;
    return i;
}
void main() {
    printf("example() = %d\n", example());
}
```

**MISRAC2004-8.8_a**

**Synopsis**
Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-8.5_a.

**Coding standards**

**MISRA C:2004 8.8**
(Required) An external object or function shall be declared in one and only one file.

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.5**
(Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern int x;
extern int x;
int x = 1;
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern int x;
int x = 1;
```
**MISRAC2004-8.8_b**

Synopsis: Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-8.5_b. This is a link analysis check.

Coding standards:  
MISRA C:2004 8.8  
(Required) An external object or function shall be declared in one and only one file.
MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.5  
(Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
/* file2.c
   extern int foo(int m);
*/
extern int foo(int m);  
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
/* file1.c
   extern int foo( int m );
*/
int foo(int m) {    
   return m; 
}
```

**MISRAC2004-8.9**

Synopsis: Multiple definitions or no definition were found for an external object or function.

Enabled by default: Yes
**Descriptions of checks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) An identifier with external linkage shall have exactly one external definition. Note: This check is not part of C-STAT but detected by the IAR linker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) An identifier with external linkage shall have exactly one external definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) An identifier with external linkage shall have exactly one external definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISRAC2004-8.10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>An externally linked object or function was found referenced in only one translation unit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) All declarations and definitions of objects or functions at file scope shall have internal linkage unless external linkage is required. This check is identical to MISRA2012-Rule-8.7. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 8.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Required) All declarations and definitions of objects or functions at file scope shall have internal linkage unless external linkage is required.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.7

(Advisory) Functions and objects should not be defined with external linkage if they are referenced in only one translation unit

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/* file1.c
static void example (void) {
    // dummy function
}
*/

/* extern linkage */
extern int x;

/* static linkage */
static void foo(void) {
    /* only referenced here */
    x = 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/* static linkage */
static int x;

/* static linkage */
static void foo(void) {
    /* no linkage */
    int y = (x++);
    if(y < 10)
        foo();
}
```

**MISRAC2004-8.12**

**Synopsis**
External arrays are declared without their size being stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

---

### Severity/Certainty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Full description

(Required) When an array is declared with external linkage, its size shall be stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-3-1-3, MISRAC2012-Rule-8.11.

### Coding standards

- MISRA C:2004 8.12
  - (Required) When an array is declared with external linkage, its size shall be stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization.

### Code examples

- The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
  ```c
  extern int a[];
  ```

- The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
  ```c
  extern int a[10];
  extern int b[] = { 0, 1, 2 };
  ```

---

### MISRAC2004-9.1_a

#### Synopsis

A variable is read before it is assigned a value, on all execution paths.

#### Enabled by default

Yes

#### Severity/Certainty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Full description

(Required) All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used. This check is identical to SPC-uninit-var-all, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_e.

#### Coding standards

- CERT EXP33-C
  - Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

MISRA C:2004 9.1
(Required) All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x;
    x++;  /* x is uninitialized */
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x = 0;
    x++;  
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-9.1_b**

Synopsis
On some execution paths, a variable is read before it is assigned a value.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Low

Full description
(Required) All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used.
This check is identical to SPC-uninit-var-some, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_f.

Coding standards
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

MISRA C:2004 9.1
(Required) All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x, y;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    y = x; //x may not be initialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    /* x never read */
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-9.1_c**

**Synopsis**
An uninitialized or NULL pointer that is dereferenced was found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used. This check is identical to PTR-uninit, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457

Use of Uninitialized Variable

CWE 824

Access of Uninitialized Pointer

MISRA C:2004 9.1

(Required) All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  // p is uninitialized
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p,a;
    p = &a;
    *p = 4;  // OK - p holds a valid address
}
```

MISRAC2004-9.2

Synopsis

A non-zero array initialization was found that does not exactly match the structure of the array declaration.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium

Full description

(Required) Braces shall be used to indicate and match the structure in the non-zero initialization of arrays and structures. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-8-5-2.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 9.2
(Required) Braces shall be used to indicate and match the structure in the non-zero initialization of arrays and structures.

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int y[3][2] = { { 1, 2 }, { 4, 5 } };
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int y[3][2] = { { 1, 2 }, { 3, 4 }, { 5, 6 } };
}
```

### MISRAC2004-9.3

#### Synopsis
Partially initialized enum.

#### Enabled by default
Yes

#### Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

#### Full description
(Required) In an enumerator list, the `=` construct shall not be used to explicitly initialise members other than the first, unless all items are explicitly initialized.

#### Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
enum E {
    A = 1,
    B = 2,
    C
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
enum E {
    A = 1,
    B,
    C
};

MISRAC2004-10.1_a

Synopsis
An expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a narrower or differently signed underlying type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (a) it is not a conversion to a wider integer type of the same signedness.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 10.1
(Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider integer type of the same signedness, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is not constant and is a function argument, or d. the expression is not constant and is a return expression.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    long pc[10];
    // integer narrowing from int -> short
    short x = pc[5];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int pc[10];
    long x = pc[5];
}
```
### MISRAC2004-10.1_b

**Synopsis**
A complex expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (b) the expression is complex.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 10.1

(Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider integer type of the same signedness, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is not constant and is a function argument, or d. the expression is not constant and is a return expression.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int pc[10];
    // complex expression
    long long x = pc[5] + 5;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int pc[10];
    // complex expression without an implicit cast.
    int x = pc[5] + 5;
}
```

### MISRAC2004-10.1_c

**Synopsis**
A non-constant expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a function argument.
Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description:
(Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (c) the expression is not constant and is a function argument.

Coding standards:
MISRA C:2004 10.1
(Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider integer type of the same signedness, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is not constant and is a function argument, or d. the expression is not constant and is a return expression.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void function(long long argument);

void example(void) {
    int x = 4;
    function(x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void function(long argument);

void example(void) {
    function(4);
}
```

**MISRAC2004-10.1_d**

Synopsis:
A non-constant expression of integer type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a return expression.

Enabled by default: Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (d) the expression is not constant and is a return expression.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2004 10.1

(Required) The value of an expression of integer type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider integer type of the same signedness, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is not constant and is a function argument, or d. the expression is not constant and is a return expression.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
long long example(void) {
    int x = 4;
    return x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
long example(void) {
    return 4;
}
```

MISRAC2004-10.2_a

Synopsis: An expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a narrower underlying type.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium
(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (a) it is not a conversion to a wider floating type.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 10.2

(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider floating type, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is a function argument, or d. the expression is a return expression.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    double pc[10];
    float x = pc[5]; // architecture dependent
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned char c;
    float x = c;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-10.2_b**

**Synopsis**
An expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a narrower underlying type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (b) the expression is complex.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 10.2
(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider floating type, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is a function argument, or d. the expression is a return expression.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    float pc[10];
    double x = pc[5] + 5; // architecture dependent
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    float pc[10];
    // complex expression without an implicit cast.
    float x = pc[5] + 5;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-10.2_c**

**Synopsis**

A non-constant expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a function argument.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (c) the expression is not constant and is a function argument.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 10.2

(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider floating type, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is a function argument, or d. the expression is a return expression.
### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void function(double argument);

void example(void) {
    float x = 4;
    function(x); // architecture dependent
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void function(double argument);

void example(void) {
    function(4.0);
}
```

### MISRAC2004-10.2_d

**Synopsis**

A non-constant expression of floating type was found that is implicitly converted to a different underlying type in a return expression.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: (d) the expression is not constant and is a return expression.

**Coding standards**

MISRAC C:2004 10.2

(Required) The value of an expression of floating type shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type if: a. it is not a conversion to a wider floating type, or b. the expression is complex, or c. the expression is a function argument, or d. the expression is a return expression.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
MISRAC2004-10.3

Synopsis
A complex expression of integer type was found that is cast to a wider or differently signed underlying type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The value of a complex expression of integer type shall only be cast to a type that is not wider and of the same signedness as the underlying type of the expression.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 10.3

(Required) The value of a complex expression of integer type shall only be cast to a type that is not wider and of the same signedness as the underlying type of the expression.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int s16a = 3;
    int s16b = 3;

    // arithmetic makes it a complex expression
    long long x = (long long)(s16a + s16b);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
double example(void) {
    float x = 4;
    return x; // architecture dependent
}
```
void example(void) {
    int array[10];

    // A non complex expression is considered safe
    long x = (long)(array[5]);
}

MISRAC2004-10.4

Synopsis
A complex expression of floating type was found that is cast to a wider or different
underlying type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The value of a complex expression of floating type shall only be cast to a
floating type which is narrower or of the same size.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 10.4
(Required) The value of a complex expression of floating type shall only be cast
to a floating type which is narrower or of the same size.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    float array[10];
    // architecture dependant
    double x = (double)(array[5] + 3.0f);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this
issue:
void example(void) {
    float array[10];

    // A non complex expression is considered safe
    double x = (double)(array[5]);
}
### MISRAC2004-10.5

**Synopsis**
Detected a bitwise operation on unsigned char or unsigned short, that are not immediately cast to this type to ensure consistent truncation.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) If the bitwise operators ~ and << are applied to an operand of underlying type unsigned char or unsigned short, the result shall be immediately cast to the underlying type of the operand. This check is identical to MISRA++2008-5-0-10.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 10.5

(Required) If the bitwise operators ~ and << are applied to an operand of underlying type unsigned char or unsigned short, the result shall be immediately cast to the underlying type of the operand.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t port = 0x5aU;
    uint8_t result_8;
    uint16_t result_16;
    uint16_t mode;

    result_8 = (~port) >> 4;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t port = 0x5aU;
    uint8_t result_8;
    uint16_t result_16;
    uint16_t mode;

    result_8 = (~port) >> 4;
}
```
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t port = 0x5aU;
    uint8_t result_8;
    uint16_t result_16;
    uint16_t mode;

    result_8 = ((uint8_t)(~port)) >> 4;
    result_16 = ((uint16_t)(~(uint16_t)port)) >> 4;
}

MISRAC2004-10.6

Synopsis
Constants of unsigned type were found that do not have a U suffix.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) A U suffix shall be applied to all constants of unsigned type. This check is identical to MISRA C++2008-2-13-3, MISRA2012-Rule-7.2.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 10.6
(Required) A U suffix shall be applied to all constants of unsigned type.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

void example(void) {
    // 2147483648 -- does not fit in 31bits
    unsigned int x = 0x80000000;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    unsigned int x = 0x80000000u;
}
### MISRAC2004-11.1

**Synopsis**
Conversions were found between a pointer to a function and a type other than an integral type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Conversions shall not be performed between a pointer to a function and any type other than an integral type.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 11.1
(Required) Conversions shall not be performed between a pointer to a function and any type other than an integral type.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int (*fptr)(int,int);
    (int*)fptr;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int (*fptr)(int,int);
    (int)fptr;
}
```

### MISRAC2004-11.3

**Synopsis**
A cast between a pointer type and an integral type was found.

**Enabled by default**
No
Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

Full description

(Advisory) A cast should not be performed between a pointer type and an integral type. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-2-9, MISRAC2012-Rule-11.4.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 11.3

(Advisory) A cast should not be performed between a pointer type and an integral type.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    int x;
    x = (int)p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    int *x;
    x = p;
}
```

MISRAC2004-11.4

Synopsis

A pointer to object type was found that is cast to a pointer to different object type.

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

Full description

(Advisory) A cast should not be performed between a pointer to object type and a different pointer to object type. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-2-7.
Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 11.4

(Advisory) A cast should not be performed between a pointer to object type and a different pointer to object type.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef unsigned int uint32_t;
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t * p1;
    uint32_t * p2;
    p2 = (uint32_t *)p1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef unsigned int uint32_t;
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t * p1;
    uint8_t * p2;
    p2 = (uint8_t *)p1;
}
```

MISRAC2004-11.5

Synopsis

Casts were found that that remove any const or volatile qualification.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/High

Full description

(Required) A cast shall not be performed that removes any const or volatile qualification from the type addressed by a pointer. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-2-5, MISRAC2012-Rule-11.8.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 11.5
(Required) A cast shall not be performed that removes any const or volatile qualification from the type addressed by a pointer.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;
void example(void) {
    uint16_t x;
    const uint16_t * pci; /* pointer to const int */
    uint16_t * pi; /* pointer to int */
    pi = (uint16_t *)pci; // not compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;
void example(void) {
    uint16_t x;
    uint16_t * const cpi = &x; /* const pointer to int */
    uint16_t * pi; /* pointer to int */
    pi = cpi; // compliant - no cast required
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.1**

**Synopsis**
Expressions were found without parentheses, making the operator precedence implicit instead of explicit.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium
Full description

(Advisory) Limited dependence should be placed on the C operator precedence rules in expressions. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-2.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 12.1

(Advisory) Limited dependence should be placed on the C operator precedence rules in expressions.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int j;
    int k;
    int result;

    result = i + j * k;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int j;
    int k;
    int result;

    result = i + (j - k);
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.2_a**

Synopsis

Expressions were found that depend on the order of evaluation.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/High

Full description

(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_i, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_a, SPC-order, CERT-EXP30-C_a.
Coding standards

CERT EXP10-C
Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place

CERT EXP30-C
Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696
Incorrect Behavior Order

MISRA C:2004 12.2
(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    i = i * i++;  //unspecified order of operations
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i;  //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.2_b**

**Synopsis**
More than one read access with volatile-qualified type was found within one sequence point.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits. This check is identical to SPC-volatile-reads, MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_b.

Coding standards
CERT EXP10-C
Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place

CERT EXP30-C
Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696
Incorrect Behavior Order

MISRA C:2004 12.2
(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v;
    x = v + v;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    volatile int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i;  //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}
```
MISRAC2004-12.2_c

Synopsis
More than one modification access with volatile-qualified type was found within one
sequence point.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation
that the standard permits. This check is identical to SPC-volatile-writes,
MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_c.

Coding standards
CERT EXP10-C
Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which
side effects take place

CERT EXP30-C
Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696
Incorrect Behavior Order

MISRA C:2004 12.2
(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of
evaluation that the standard permits.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v, w;
    v = w = x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this
issue:
MISRAC2004-12.3

Synopsis
Sizeof expressions were found that contain side effects.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The sizeof operator shall not be used on expressions that contain side effects. The sizeof operator was found used on expressions that contain side effects. This might make it look as if the expression will be evaluated, but because sizeof only operates on the type of the expression, the expression itself is not evaluated. This check is identical to SIZEOF-side-effect, MISRAC++2008-5-3-4.

Coding standards
CERT EXP06-C
Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects

CERT EXP06-CPP
Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects

MISRA C:2004 12.3
(Required) The sizeof operator shall not be used on expressions that contain side effects.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = sizeof(i++);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = sizeof(i);
    i++;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.4**

**Synopsis**
Right-hand operands of && or || were found that contain side effects.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The right-hand operand of a logical && or || operator shall not contain side effects. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-14-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.5.

**Coding standards**
CWE 768
Incorrect Short Circuit Evaluation

MISRA C:2004 12.4
(Required) The right-hand operand of a logical && or || operator shall not contain side effects.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = rand() && i++;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = rand() && i;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.5**

**Synopsis**
The operands of a logical && or || is not an identifier, a constant, a parenthesized expression or a sequence of the same logical operator.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The operands of a logical && or || shall be primary-expressions.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int a,b;
    if (a > 0 && !b);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int a,b;
    if ((a > 0) && (!b));
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.6_a**

**Synopsis**
Operands of logical operators (&&, ||, and !) were found that are not effectively Boolean.
Enabled by default: No

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Advisory) The operands of logical operators (&&, ||, and !) should be effectively boolean. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-3-1.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2004 12.6

(Advisory) The operands of logical operators (&&, ||, and !) should be effectively boolean. Expressions that are effectively boolean should not be used as operands to operators other than (&&, ||, !=, ==, !=, and ?).

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int d, c, b, a;
    d = ( c & a ) && b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef char boolean_t; /* Compliant: Boolean-by-enforcement */

void example(void) {
    boolean_t d;
    boolean_t c = 1;
    boolean_t b = 0;
    boolean_t a = 1;
    d = ( c && a ) && b;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.6_b**

Synopsis: Uses of arithmetic operators on Boolean operands were found.
(Advisory) Expressions that are effectively boolean should not be used as operands to operators other than (&&, ||, !, ==, !=, and ?:). This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-4-5-1.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 12.6
(Advisory) The operands of logical operators (&&, ||, and !) should be effectively boolean. Expressions that are effectively boolean should not be used as operands to operators other than (&&, ||, !, ==, !=, and ?:).

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(bool b)
{
    bool x;
    bool y;
    y = x % b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef char boolean_t; /* Compliant: Boolean-by-enforcement */

void example(void)
{
    boolean_t d;
    boolean_t c = 1;
    boolean_t b = 0;
    boolean_t a = 1;

    d = ( c && a ) && b;
}
void func()
{
    bool x;
    bool y;
    y = x && y;
}
```
**MISRAC2004-12.7**

**Synopsis**  
Applications of bitwise operators to signed operands were found.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) Bitwise operators shall not be applied to operands whose underlying type is signed. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-21.

**Coding standards**  
CERT INT13-C  
Use bitwise operators only on unsigned operands

MISRA C:2004 12.7  
(Required) Bitwise operators shall not be applied to operands whose underlying type is signed.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = -(1U);
    x ^ 1;
    x & 0x7F;
    ((unsigned int)x) & 0x7F;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = -1;
    ((unsigned int)x) ^ 1U;
    2U ^ 1U;
    ((unsigned int)x) & 0x7FU;
    ((unsigned int)x) & 0x7FU;
}
```
**MISRAC2004-12.8**

**Synopsis**
Shifts were found where the right-hand operand might be negative, or too large.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The right-hand operand of a shift operator shall lie between zero and one less than the width in bits of the underlying type of the left-hand operand. This check is identical to ATH-shift-bounds, MISRAC++2008-5-8-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-12.2.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT34-C
Do not shift a negative number of bits or more bits than exist in the operand

CWE 682
Incorrect Calculation

MISRA C:2004 12.8
(Required) The right-hand operand of a shift operator shall lie between zero and one less than the width in bits of the underlying type of the left-hand operand.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x, unsigned int y)
{
    int shift = 33; // too big
    return 3U << shift;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    int y = 1; // OK - this is within the correct range
    return x << y;
}
```
MISRAC2004-12.9

Synopsis
Uses of unary minus on unsigned expressions were found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The unary minus operator shall not be applied to an expression whose underlying type is unsigned. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R8, MISRAC++2008-5-3-2.a.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 12.9
(Required) The unary minus operator shall not be applied to an expression whose underlying type is unsigned.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned int max = -1U;
    // use max = -0U;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int neg_one = -1;
}
```

MISRAC2004-12.10

Synopsis
Uses of the comma operator were found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High
Descriptions of checks

Full description
(Required) The comma operator shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-18-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-12.3.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 12.10
(Required) The comma operator shall not be used.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void reverse(char *string) {
    int i, j;
    j = strlen(string);
    for (i = 0; i < j; i++, j--) {
        char temp = string[i];
        string[i] = string[j];
        string[j] = temp;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>

void reverse(char *string) {
    int i;
    int length = strlen(string);
    int half_length = length / 2;
    for (i = 0; i < half_length; i++) {
        int opposite = length - i;
        char temp = string[i];
        string[i] = string[opposite];
        string[opposite] = temp;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.11**

**Synopsis**
Found a constant unsigned integer expression that overflows.

**Enabled by default**
No
(Advisory) Evaluation of constant unsigned integer expressions should not lead to wrap-around. This check is identical to EXPR-const-overflow, MISRAC++2008-5-19-1.

Coding standards

CWE 190
Integer Overflow or Wraparound
MISRA C:2004 12.11
(Advisory) Evaluation of constant unsigned integer expressions should not lead to wrap-around.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    0xFFFFFFFF + 1u;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    0x7FFFFFFF + 0;
}
```

MISRAC2004-12.12_a

Synopsis
Found a read access to a field of a union following a write access to a different field, which effectively re-interprets the bit pattern with a different type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**

(Required) The underlying bit representations of floating-point values shall not be used. To reinterpret bit patterns deliberately, use an explicit cast. This check is identical to UNION-type-punning.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP39-C

Do not access a variable through a pointer of an incompatible type

CWE 188

Reliance on Data/Memory Layout

MISRA C:2004 12.12

(Required) The underlying bit representations of floating-point values shall not be used.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
union name {
    int int_field;
    float float_field;
};

void example(void) {
    union name u;
    u.int_field = 10;
    float f = u.float_field;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
union name {
    int int_field;
    float float_field;
};

void example(void) {
    union name u;
    u.int_field = 10;
    float f = u.int_field;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.12_b**

**Synopsis**

An expression was found that provides access to the bit representation of a floating-point variable.
**C-STAT checks**

**AFE1_AFE2-1:1**

**Enabled by default**  Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  Medium/Medium

**Full description**  (Required) The underlying bit representations of floating-point values shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-3-9-3.

**Coding standards**  MISRA C:2004 12.12

(Required) The underlying bit representations of floating-point values shall not be used.

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(float f) {
    int * x = (int *)&f;
    int i = *x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(float f) {
    int i = (int)f;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-12.13**

**Synopsis**  Uses of the increment (++) and decrement (--) operators were found mixed with other operators in an expression.

**Enabled by default**  No

**Severity/Certainty**  Low/Medium

**Full description**  (Advisory) The increment (++) and decrement (--) operators should not be mixed with other operators in an expression. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-2-10, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.3.
(Advisory) The increment (++) and decrement (--) operators should not be mixed with other operators in an expression.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(char *src, char *dst) {
    while (*src++ = *dst++);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(char *src, char *dst) {
    while (*src) {
        *dst = *src;
        src++;
        dst++;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-13.1**

**Synopsis**
Assignment operators were found in expressions that yield a Boolean value.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Assignment operators shall not be used in expressions that yield a boolean value.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 13.1

(Required) Assignment operators shall not be used in expressions that yield a boolean value.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int result;
    if (result = condition()) {
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    int result = condition();
    if (result) {
    }
}

**MISRAC2004-13.2_a**

**Synopsis**
Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in do ... while statements.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean. This check is identical to MISRA++2008-5-0-13_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_a.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 13.2
(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef int int32_t;
int32_t func();

void example(void)
{
    do {
    } while (func());
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}
int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}
bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}
void example(void)
{
    while (int *ptr = fn() )  // Compliant by exception
    {
    }
    do
    {
        int *ptr = fn();
        if ( NULL == ptr )
        {
            break;
        }
    } while (true); // Compliant
    while (int len = fn2() )  // Compliant by exception
    {
    }
    if (int *p = fn() ) { } // Compliant by exception
    if (int len = fn2() ) {} // Compliant by exception
    if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant
}
```
**MISRAC2004-13.2_b**

**Synopsis**
Non-boolean termination conditions were found in `for` loops.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 13.2
(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void)
{
    for (int x = 10; x--; ) {} // Warning will be given
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
  int * ptr;
  return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
  return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
  return true;
}

void example(void)
{
  for (fn(); fn3(); fn2()) // Compliant 
  {} 

  for (fn(); true; fn()) // Compliant
  { 
    int *ptr = fn();
    if ( NULL == ptr )
    {
      break;
    }
  }

  for (int len = fn2(); len < 10; len++) // Compliant  
  ; 
}

**MISRAC2004-13.2_c**

**Synopsis**
Non-Boolean conditions were found in if statements.

**Enabled by default**
No
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_c.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2004 13.2
(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean.

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    if (u8) {}
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    if (u8) {}
}
```
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}

void example(void)
{
    while (int *ptr = fn() ) { // Compliant by exception
    }

do
    { int *ptr = fn();
        if ( NULL == ptr )
            break;
    }
} while (true); // Compliant

while (int len = fn2() ) { // Compliant by exception
    if (int *p = fn()) {} // Compliant by exception
    if (int len = fn2() ) {} // Compliant by exception
    if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant
}

MISRAC2004-13.2_d

Synopsis
Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in while statements.

Enabled by default
No
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_d.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2004 13.2

(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    while (u8) {}  
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}

void example(void)
{
    while (int *ptr = fn() )  // Compliant by exception
    {}

do
{
    int *ptr = fn();
    if ( NULL == ptr )
    {
        break;
    }
} while (true); // Compliant

while (int len = fn2() )  // Compliant by exception
{}

if (int *p = fn()) {}   // Compliant by exception
if (int len = fn2() ) {} // Compliant by exception
if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant

**MISRAC2004-13.2_e**

**Synopsis**
Non-Boolean operands to the conditional ( ? : ) operator were found.

**Enabled by default** No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-14.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Advisory) Tests of a value against zero should be made explicit, unless the operand is effectively boolean.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(int x) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int z;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>z = x ? 1 : 2; //x is an int, not a bool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(bool b) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int x;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x = b ? 1 : 2; //OK - b is a bool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISRAC2004-13.3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Floating-point comparisons using == or != were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) Floating-point expressions shall not be tested for equality or inequality. This check is identical to ATH-cmp-float, MISRAC++2008-6-2-2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>CERT FLP06-C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Understand that floating-point arithmetic in C is inexact

CERT FLP35-CPP

Take granularity into account when comparing floating point values

MISRA C:2004 13.3

(Required) Floating-point expressions shall not be tested for equality or inequality.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void)
{
    float f = 3.0;
    int i = 3;
    if (f == i) //comparison of a float and an int
        ++i;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int i = 60;
    char c = 60;
    if (i == c)
        ++i;
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-13.4**

**Synopsis**

Floating-point values were found in the controlling expression of a `for` statement.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) The controlling expression of a for statement shall not contain any objects of floating type.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2004 13.4

(Required) The controlling expression of a for statement shall not contain any objects of floating type.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int input, float f) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < input && f < 0.1f; ++i) {
        // 
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int input, float f) {
    int i;
    int f_condition = f < 0.1f;
    for (i = 0; i < input && f_condition; ++i) {
        f_condition = f < 0.1f;
    }
}
```

MISRAC2004-13.5

Synopsis: A for loop counter variable is not initialized in the for loop.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: High/Medium
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**

(Required) The three expressions of a for statement shall be concerned only with loop control.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 13.5

(Required) The three expressions of a for statement shall be concerned only with loop control.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    int i, x = 10;

    /* 'i' used as a counter, not initialized */
    for ( ; i < 10; i++) {
        x++;
    }

    return x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int i, x = 10;

    /* 'i' initialized in loop header */
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        x++;
    }

    return x;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-13.6**

**Synopsis**

A for loop counter variable was found that is modified in the body of the loop.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High
(Required) Numeric variables being used within a for loop for iteration counting shall not be modified in the body of the loop. This check is identical to MISRA C++2008-6-5-3.

MISRA C:2004 13.6

(Required) Numeric variables being used within a for loop for iteration counting shall not be modified in the body of the loop.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i;
    /* i is incremented inside the loop body */
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        i = i + 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i;
    int x = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        x = i + 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

MISRAC2004-13.7_a

Synopsis A comparison using ==, <, <=, >, or >= was found that always evaluates to true.

Enabled by default Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) Boolean operations whose results are invariant shall not be permitted. This check is identical to RED-cmp-always.

Coding standards
CWE 571
Expression is Always True
MISRA C:2004 13.7
(Required) Boolean operations whose results are invariant shall not be permitted.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 42;
    if (x == 42) {  //always true
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 42;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 40;
    }
    if (x == 42) {  //OK - may not be true
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}
```
**MISRAC2004-13.7_b**

**Synopsis**
A comparison using `==`, `<`, `<=`, `>`, or `>=` was found that always evaluates to false.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Boolean operations whose results are invariant shall not be permitted. This check is identical to RED-cmp-never.

**Coding standards**
CWE 570

Expression is Always False

MISRA C:2004 13.7

(Required) Boolean operations whose results are invariant shall not be permitted.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 10;
    if (x < 10) {  //never true
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    if (x < 10) {  //OK - may be true
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```
MISRAC2004-14.1

Synopsis
A part of the application is not executed on any of the execution paths.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) There shall be no unreachable code. This check is identical to RED-dead, MISRAC++2008-0-1-1, MISRAC++2008-0-1-9, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_b.

Coding standards
CERT MSC07-C
Detect and remove dead code

CWE 561
Dead Code
MISRA C:2004 14.1
(Required) There shall be no unreachable code.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
    case 0:
        return 1;
        printf("Hello!"); // This line cannot execute.
        default:
            return -1;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MISRAC2004-14.2

Synopsis
A statement was found that potentially contains no side effects.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) All non-null statements shall either have at least one side effect however executed, or cause control flow to change. This check is identical to RED-no-effect, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2.a.

Coding standards
CERT MSC12-C

- Detect and remove code that has no effect

CWE 482

- Comparing instead of Assigning

MISRAC C:2004 14.2

(Required) All non-null statements shall either have at least one side effect however executed, or cause control flow to change.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int x = 1;
    x = 2;
    x < x;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
#include <string>

void f();

template<class T>
struct X {
    int x;
    int get() const { return x; }
    X(int y) : x(y) {}
};
typeid X<int> intX;

void example(void) {
    /* everything below has a side-effect */
    int i=0;
    f();
    (void)f();
    ++i;
    i+=1;
    i++;
    char *p = "test";
    std::string s;
    s.assign(p);
    std::string *ps = &s;
    ps->assign(p);
    intX xx(1);
    xx.get();
    intX(1);
}
```

**MISRAC2004-14.3**

**Synopsis**

There are stray semicolons on the same line as other code.
AFE1_AFE2-1:1

C-STAT checks

Enabled by default | Yes
Severity/Certainty | Low/Low

Full description
(Required) Before preprocessing, a null statement shall only occur on a line by itself; it may be followed by a comment, provided that the first character following the null statement is a whitespace character. This check is identical to EXP-stray-semicolon, MISRAC++2008-6-2-3.

Coding standards
CERT EXP15-C
Do not place a semicolon on the same line as an if, for, or while statement
MISRA C:2004 14.3
(Required) Before preprocessing, a null statement shall only occur on a line by itself; it may be followed by a comment, provided that the first character following the null statement is a whitespace character.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i);  //Null statement as the
    //body of this for loop
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i){  //An empty block is much
    //more readable
}
```

MISRAC2004-14.4

Synopsis
Uses of the goto statement were found.

Enabled by default | Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) The goto statement shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-15.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) The goto statement shall not be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>goto testin;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>testin:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>printf(&quot;Reached by goto&quot;);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>printf(&quot;Not reached by goto&quot;);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISRAC2004-14.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Synopsis</strong></th>
<th>Uses of the continue statement were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) The continue statement shall not be used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 14.5

(Required) The continue statement shall not be used.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

// Print the odd numbers between 0 and 99

void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
        if (i % 2 == 0) {
            continue;
        }
        printf("%d", i);
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

// Print the odd numbers between 0 and 99

void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
        if (i % 2 != 0) {
            printf("%d", i);
        }
    }
}
```

MISRAC2004-14.6

Synopsis

Multiple termination points were found in a loop.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium
Descriptions of checks

Full description

(Required) For any iteration statement, there shall be at most one break statement used for loop termination.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 14.6

(Required) For any iteration statement, there shall be at most one break statement used for loop termination.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func()
{
  int x = 1;
  for ( int i = 0; i < 10; i++ )
  {
    if ( x )
      break;
    else if ( i )
      break; // Non-compliant – second jump from loop
    else
      // Code
  }
}
int test1(int);
int test2(int);

void example(void)
{
  int i = 0;
  for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
  {
    if (test1(i))
      break;
    else if (test2(i))
      break;
  }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void)
{
    int i = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < 10 && i != 9; i++) {
        if (i == 9) {
            break;
        }
    }
}

void func()
{
    int x = 1;
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
    {
        if (x)
        {
            break;
        }
        else if (i)
        {
            while (true)
            {
                if (x)
                {
                    break;
                }
                do
                {
                    break;
                }
            }
        }
        else
        {
        }
    }
}

**MISRAC2004-14.7**

**Synopsis**

More than one point of exit was found in a function, or an exit point before the end of the function.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) A function shall have a single point of exit at the end of the function. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-6-5, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.5.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2004 14.7

(Required) A function shall have a single point of exit at the end of the function.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern int errno;
void example(void) {
    if (errno) {
        return;
    }
    return;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern int errno;
void example(void) {
    if (errno) {
        goto end;
    }
end:
    {
        return;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-14.8_a**

Synopsis: There are missing braces in one or more do ... while statements.

Enabled by default: Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while, or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_a.

**Coding standards**
- CERT EXP19-C
  - Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement
- CWE 483
  - Incorrect Block Delimitation
- MISRA C:2004 14.8
  - (Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while, or for statement shall be a compound statement.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example(void) {
    do
        return 0;
    while (1);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int example(void) {
    do {
        return 0;
    } while (1);
}
```

**MISRAC2004-14.8_b**

**Synopsis**
There are missing braces in one or more for statements.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ..., while, or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_b.

Coding standards

CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

MISRA C:2004 14.8
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ..., while, or for statement shall be a compound statement.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    for (;;)
        return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    for (;;){
        return 0;
    }
}
```

MISRAC2004-14.8_c

Synopsis
There are missing braces in one or more switch statements.

Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: (Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while, or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_d.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP19-C
  Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement
- CWE 483
  Incorrect Block Delimitation
- MISRA C:2004 14.8
  (Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while, or for statement shall be a compound statement.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    while(1);
    for(;;);
    do ;
    while (0);
    switch(0);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    while(1) {
    }
    for(;;) {
    }
    do {
    } while (0);
    switch(0) {
    }
}```
**MISRAC2004-14.8_d**

**Synopsis**
There are missing braces in one or more while statements.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while, or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

MISRA C:2004 14.8
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while, or for statement shall be a compound statement.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    while (1)
        return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    while (1){
        return 0;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-14.9**

**Synopsis**
There are missing braces in one or more if, else, or else if statements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Enabled by default</strong></th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) An if expression construct shall be followed by a compound statement. The else keyword shall be followed by either a compound statement or another if statement. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Coding standards** | CERT EXP19-C  
  Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement  
  CWE 483  
  Incorrect Block Delimitation  
  MISRA C:2004 14.9  
  (Required) An if expression construct shall be followed by a compound statement. The else keyword shall be followed by either a compound statement or another if statement. |
| **Code examples** | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
```c
void example(void) {
    if (random());
    if (random());
    else;
}
```  
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:  
```c
void example(void) {
    if (random()) {
    }
    if (random()) {
    } else {
    }
    if (random()) {
    } else if (random()) {
    }
}
**MISRAC2004-14.10**

**Synopsis**
One or more `if ... else if` constructs were found that are not terminated with an `else` clause.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) All `if ... else if` constructs shall be terminated with an else clause. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-2, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.7.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 14.10
(Required) All `if ... else if` constructs shall be terminated with an else clause.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    if (!rand()) {
        printf("The first random number is 0");
    } else if (!rand()) {
        printf("The second random number is 0");
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    if (!rand()) {
        printf("The first random number is 0");
    } else if (!rand()) {
        printf("The second random number is 0");
    } else {
        printf("Neither random number was 0");
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-15.0**

**Synopsis**
Switch statements were found that do not conform to the MISRA C switch syntax.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Enabled by default</strong></th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) The MISRA C switch syntax shall be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-3, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) The MISRA C switch syntax shall be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
void example(void) {
    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            // WARNING: missing break at end of statement list
            default:
                break; // statement list ends in a break
    }
    switch(expr()) {
        // WARNING: missing at least one case label
        default:
            break; // statement list ends in a break
    }
    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        case 0:
            stmt();
            // WARNING: declaration list without block
            int decl = 0;
            int x;
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        default:
            break; // statement list ends in a break
    }
    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1: {
            // statement list
            stmt();
            // WARNING: Additional block inside of the case clause
            block
            {
                stmt();
            }
        }
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list (no declarations)
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        case 0: {
            // one level of block is allowed
            // declaration list
            int decl = 0;
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        }
        case 2: // empty cases are allowed
        default:
            break; // statement list ends in a break
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-15.1**

**Synopsis**
Switch labels were found in nested blocks.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**
(Required) A switch label shall only be used when the most closely-enclosing compound statement is the body of a switch statement. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-4, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.2.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 15.1
(Required) A switch label shall only be used when the most closely-enclosing compound statement is the body of a switch statement.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    switch(rand()) {
        case 1:
        case 2:
        case 3:
        default:
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    switch(rand()) {
        case 1:
        case 2:
        case 3:
        default:
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-15.2**

**Synopsis**
Non-empty switch cases were found that are not terminated by a break statement.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: 
(Required) An unconditional break statement shall terminate every non-empty switch clause. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-5, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.3.

Coding standards:
CERT MSC17-C
Finish every set of statements associated with a case label with a break statement

CWE 484
Omitted Break Statement in Switch

MISRA C:2004 15.2
(Required) An unconditional break statement shall terminate every non-empty switch clause.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
        case 0:
            if (rand()) {
                break;
            }
        default:
            break;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
    case 0:
        if (rand()) {
            break;
        }
        break;
    default:
        break;
    }
}

MISRAC2004-15.3

Synopsis
Switch statements were found without a default clause, or with a default clause that is not the final clause.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The final clause of a switch statement shall be the default clause. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-6.

Coding standards
CWE 478

Missing Default Case in Switch Statement

MISRA C:2004 15.3

(Required) The final clause of a switch statement shall be the default clause.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
    default:
        return 2;
        break;
    case 0:
        return 0;
        break;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
    case 3:
        return 0;
        break;
    case 5:
        return 1;
        break;
    default:
        return 2;
        break;
    }
}

**MISRAC2004-15.4**

**Synopsis**
A switch expression was found that represents a value that is effectively Boolean.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A switch expression shall not represent a value that is effectively boolean. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-7, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.7.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 15.4
(Required) A switch expression shall not represent a value that is effectively boolean.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int x) {
    switch(x == 0) {
    case 0:
    case 1:
    default:
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int x) {
    switch(x) {
    case 1:
    case 0:
    default:
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-15.5**

**Synopsis**
Switch statements without case clauses were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Every switch statement shall have at least one case clause. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-4-8.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 15.5

(Required) Every switch statement shall have at least one case clause.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
    default:
        return 2;
        break;
    }
}
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
        case 3:
            return 0;
            break;
        case 5:
            return 1;
            break;
        default:
            return 2;
            break;
    }
}
```

## MISRAC2004-16.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Functions that are defined using ellipsis (...) notation were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Full description

(Required) Functions shall not be defined with a variable number of arguments. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-8-4-1.

### Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 16.1

(Required) Functions shall not be defined with a variable number of arguments.

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int puts(const char *);
void func(void)
{
    puts("Hello, world!");
}
```

**MISRAC2004-16.2_a**

**Synopsis**
Functions were found that call themselves directly.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
**C-STAT checks**

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7-5-4_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_a.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 16.2
(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    example();
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC2004-16.2_b**

**Synopsis**
Functions were found that call themselves indirectly.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-7-5-4_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_b. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 16.2
(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void);
void callee(void) {
    example();
}
void example(void) {
    callee();
}
```

**MISRAC2004-16.3**

**Synopsis**
Function prototypes were found that do not give all parameters a name.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) Identifiers shall be given for all of the parameters in a function prototype declaration. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRAC:2004 16.3
(Required) Identifiers shall be given for all of the parameters in a function prototype declaration.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
char *strchr(const char *s, int c);
void func(void)
{
    strchr("hello, world!\n", '!');
}
```

**MISRAC2004-16.4**

**Synopsis**
The parameter names between the function declaration and definition does not match.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) The identifiers used in the declaration and definition of a function shall be identical. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/*
file2.c:
int foo(int b, int a);
*/
int foo(int a, int b)
{
    return a + b;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/*
file2.c:
int foo(int a, int b);
*/
int foo(int a, int b)
{
    return a + b;
}
```

**MISRA2004-16.5**

**Synopsis**
Functions were found that are declared with an empty () parameter list that does not form a valid prototype.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Required) Functions with no parameters shall be declared and defined with the parameter list void. This check is identical to FUNC-unprototyped-all, MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2.a.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL20-C
- Always specify void even if a function accepts no arguments

MISRA C:2004 16.5
- (Required) Functions with no parameters shall be declared and defined with the parameter list void.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(); /* not a valid prototype in C */
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void);
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

**MISRAC2004-16.7**

**Synopsis**
A function was found that does not modify one of its parameters.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A pointer parameter in a function prototype should be declared as pointer to `const` if the pointer is not used to modify the addressed object. This check is identical to CONST-param, MISRAC++2008-7-1-2.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 16.7

(Required) A pointer parameter in a function prototype should be declared as pointer to `const` if the pointer is not used to modify the addressed object.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int* x) {  //x should be const
    if (*x > 5){
        return *x;
    } else {
        return 5;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int example(const int* x) {  //OK
    if (*x > 5)
        return *x;
    else {
        return 5;
    }
}

MISRAC2004-16.8

Synopsis  For some execution paths, no return statement is executed in a function with a non-void return type.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty Medium/High

Full description  (Required) All exit paths from a function with non-void return type shall have an explicit return statement with an expression. This check is identical to SPC-return, MISRAC++2008-8-4-3, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.4.

Coding standards CERT MSC37-C

Ensure that control never reaches the end of a non-void function

MISRA C:2004 16.8

(Required) All exit paths from a function with non-void return type shall have an explicit return statement with an expression.

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
int example(void) {
    int x;
    scanf("%d", &x);
    if (x > 10) {
        return 10;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-16.9**

**Synopsis**

One or more function addresses are taken without an explicit &.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

**Full description**

(Required) A function identifier shall only be used with either a preceding &, or with a parenthesized parameter list, which may be empty. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-8-4-4.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 16.9
(Required) A function identifier shall only be used with either a preceding &, or with a parenthesized parameter list, which may be empty.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(void);

void example(void)
{
    void (*pf)(void) = func;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void);

void example(void)
{
    void (*pf)(void) = &func;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-16.10**

**Synopsis**

A return value for a library function that might return an error value is not used.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested. This check is identical to LIB-return-error, MISRAC++2008-0-3-2.

**Coding standards**

CWE 252  
Unchecked Return Value  
CWE 394  
Unexpected Status Code or Return Value
MISRA C:2004 16.10

(Required) If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    malloc(sizeof(int));  // This function could fail,
    // and the return value is
    // not checked
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x = malloc(sizeof(int));  // OK - return value
    // is stored
}
```

**MISRAC2004-17.1_a**

**Synopsis**

A direct access to a field of a struct was found, that uses an offset from the address of the struct.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/High

**Full description**

(Required) Pointer arithmetic shall only be applied to pointers that address an array or array element. This check is identical to PTR-arith-field.

**Coding standards**

CERT ARR37-C

- Do not add or subtract an integer to a pointer to a non-array object

CWE 188

- Reliance on Data/Memory Layout
MISRA C:2004 17.1

(Required) Pointer arithmetic shall only be applied to pointers that address an array or array element.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct S {
    char c;
    int x;
};

void main(void) {
    struct S s;
    *(s.c+1) = 10;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct S {
    char c;
    int x;
};

void example(void) {
    struct S s;
    s.x = 10;
}
```

MISRAC2004-17.1_b

Synopsis

Detected pointer arithmetic applied to a pointer that references a stack address.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/High

Full description

(Required) Pointer arithmetic shall only be applied to pointers that address an array or array element. This check is identical to PTR-arith-stack, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_a.

Coding standards

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input (‘Classic Buffer Overflow’)

MISRA C:2004 17.1

(Required) Pointer arithmetic shall only be applied to pointers that address an array or array element.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int *p = &i;
    p++;
    *p = 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int *p = &i;
    *p = 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-17.1_c**

**Synopsis**

Detected invalid pointer arithmetic with an automatic variable that is neither an array nor a pointer.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/High

**Full description**

(Required) Pointer arithmetic shall only be applied to pointers that address an array or array element. This check is identical to PTR-arith-var, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_b.

**Coding standards**

CWE 120

Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input (‘Classic Buffer Overflow’)

MISRA C:2004 17.1
Descriptions of checks

(Required) Pointer arithmetic shall only be applied to pointers that address an array or array element.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int x) {
    *(&x+10) = 5;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *x) {
    *(x+10) = 5;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-17.2**

**Synopsis**

A subtraction was found between pointers that address elements of different arrays.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) Pointer subtraction shall only be applied to pointers that address elements of the same array. Note: This rule will only accept arrays of the form `<type> <name>[<size>]`. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-18.2, CERT-ARR36-C.a.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 17.2

(Required) Pointer subtraction shall only be applied to pointers that address elements of the same array.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.2

(Required) Subtraction between pointers shall only be applied to pointers that address elements of the same array

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

void example(void) {
    int a[20];
    int b[20];
    int *p1 = &a[5];
    int *p2 = &b[2];
    ptrdiff_t diff;
    diff = p2 - p1;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-17.3**

**Synopsis**

A relational operator was found applied to an object of pointer type that does not point into the same object.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) >, >=, < and <= shall not be applied to pointer types except where they point to the same array. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-18.3, CERT-ARR36-C_b.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 17.3

(Required) >, >=, <, <= shall not be applied to pointer types except where they point to the same array.
MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.3

(Required) The relational operators >, >=, < and <= shall not be applied to objects of pointer type except where they point into the same object.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[10];
    int b[10];
    int *p1 = &a[1];
    if (p1 < b) {
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[10];
    int b[10];
    int *p1 = &a[1];
    if (p1 < a) {
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2004-17.4_a**

**Synopsis**

Pointer arithmetic that is not array indexing was detected.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) Array indexing shall be the only allowed form of pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-15_a.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 17.4

(Required) Array indexing shall be the only allowed form of pointer arithmetic.
**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef int INT32;

void example(INT32 array[]) {  
    INT32 *pointer = array;  
    INT32 *end = array + 10;  
    for (; pointer != end; pointer += 1) {  
        *pointer = 0;  
    }  
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef int INT32;

void example(INT32 array[]) {  
    INT32 index = 0;  
    INT32 end = 10;  
    for (; index != end; index += 1) {  
        array[index] = 0;  
    }  
}
```

**MISRAC2004-17.4_b**

**Synopsis**

Array indexing was detected applied to an object defined as a pointer type.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) Array indexing shall be the only allowed form of pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-15_b.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 17.4

(Required) Array indexing shall be the only allowed form of pointer arithmetic.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef unsigned char UINT8;
typedef unsigned int UINT;

void example(UINT8 *p, UINT size) {
  UINT i;
  for (i = 0; i < size; i++) {
    p[i] = 0;
  }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef unsigned char UINT8;
typedef unsigned int UINT;

void example(void) {
  UINT8 p[10];
  UINT i;
  for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
    p[i] = 0;
  }
}

**MISRAC2004-17.5**

**Synopsis**
One or more declarations of objects were found that contain more than two levels of pointer indirection.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The declaration of objects should contain no more than two levels of pointer indirection. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-19, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.5.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 17.5

(Required) The declaration of objects should contain no more than two levels of pointer indirection.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int ***p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int **p;
}
```

**MISRAC2004-17.6_a**

**Synopsis**
Detected the return of a stack address.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack, MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_a, CERT-DCL30-C_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 562
Return of Stack Variable Address

MISRA C:2004 17.6
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int *example(void) {
    int a[20];
    return a;  //a is a local array
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int* example(void) {
    int *p,i;
    p = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    return p;  //OK - p is dynamically allocated
}

**MISRAC2004-17.6_b**

**Synopsis**
Detected a stack address stored in a global pointer.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_b, CERT-DCL30-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL30-C
- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
- Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

MISRA C:2004 17.6
- (Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int *px;
void example() {
    int i = 0;
    px = &i; // assigning the address of stack
    // variable a to the global px
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(int *pz) {
    int x; int *px = &x;
    int *py = px; /* local variable */
    pz = px; /* parameter */
}

**MISRAC2004-17.6_c**

**Synopsis**
Detected a stack address stored in the field of a global struct.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global-field, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_c, CERT-DCL30-C_d.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL30-C  
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations  
CWE 466  
Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range  
MISRA C:2004 17.6  
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i; //storing local address in global struct
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i; //OK - the field is written to later
    s.px = NULL;
}

MISRAC2004-17.6_d

Synopsis
Detected a stack address stored outside a function via a parameter.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-param, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_d, CERT-DCL30-C_e.

Coding standards
CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

MISRA C:2004 17.6

(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int **ppx) {
    int x;
    ppx[0] = &x;  //local address
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
static int y = 0;
void example3(int **ppx) {
    *ppx = &y;  //OK - static address
}
```

**MISRAC2004-18.1**

**Synopsis**

Structs and unions were found that are used without being defined.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) All structure and union types shall be complete at the end of the translation unit.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 18.1

(Required) All structure and union types shall be complete at the end of the translation unit.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
struct incomplete;

void example(struct incomplete *p)
{
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

struct complete {
    int x;
};

void example(struct complete *p)
{
}

MISRAC2004-18.2

Synopsis
Assignments from one field of a union to another were found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
(Required) An object shall not be assigned to an overlapping object. This check is identical to UNION-overlap-assign, MISRAC++2008-0-2-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-19.1.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 18.2

(Required) An object shall not be assigned to an overlapping object.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    u.i = u.c[2];
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    int x;
    x = (int)u.c[2];
    u.i = x;
}

**MISRAC2004-18.4**

**Synopsis**
Unions were detected.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Unions shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-9-5-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-19.2.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 18.4

(Required) Unions shall not be used.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
union cheat {
    int i;
    float f;
};

int example(float f) {
    union cheat u;
    u.f = f;
    return u.i;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int example(int x) {
    return x;
}

**MISRAC2004-19.1**

**Synopsis**
#include directives were found that are not first in the source file.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Advisory) #include statements in a file should only be preceded by other preprocessor directives or comments. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-20.1, MISRA C:2008-16-0-1.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 19.1
(Advisory) #include statements in a file should only be preceded by other preprocessor directives or comments.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.1
(Advisory) #include directives should only be preceded by preprocessor directives or comments

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int x;
#include <stdio>
void example(void) {}
void example(void) {}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio>
void example(void) {}
void example(void) {}
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Enabled by default</strong></th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Full description

(Required) C macros shall only expand to a braced initialiser, a constant, a string literal, a parenthesised expression, a type qualifier, a storage class specifier, or a do-while-zero construct.

#### Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 19.4

(Required) C macros shall only expand to a braced initialiser, a constant, a string literal, a parenthesised expression, a type qualifier, a storage class specifier, or a do-while-zero construct.

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define PLUS_TWO(X) (X) + 2
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define PLUS_TWO(X) ((X) + 2)
```

---

### MISRAC2004-19.5

#### Synopsis

A `#define` or `#undef` was found inside a block.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Enabled by default</strong></th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Full description

(Required) Macros shall not be `#define'd` or `#undef'd` within a block.

#### Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 19.5

(Required) Macros shall not be `#define'd` or `#undef'd` within a block.

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define PLUS_TWO(X) (X) + 2
```
int example() {
#define ONE 1
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#define ONE 1
int example() {
    return 0;
}

**MISRAC2004-19.6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>#undef directives were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**

(Required) #undef shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-16-0-3, MISRAC2012-Rule-20.5.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 19.6

(Required) #undef shall not be used.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define SYM
#undef SYM
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define SYM
```

**MISRAC2004-19.7**

| Synopsis | Function-like macros were detected. |

**Synopsis**

Function-like macros were detected.
(Advisory) A function should be used in preference to a function-like macro. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-16-0-4, MISRAC2012-Dir-4.9.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2004 19.7

(Advisory) A function should be used in preference to a function-like macro.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define ABS(x) { (x) < 0 ? -(x) : (x) }

void example(void) {
    int a;
    ABS (a);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
template <typename T>
inline T ABS(T x) { return x < 0 ? -x : x; }
```

**MISRAC2004-19.10**

**Synopsis**

A macro parameter was not enclosed in parentheses or used as the operand of # or ##.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) In the definition of a function-like macro each instance of a parameter shall be enclosed in parentheses unless it is used as the operand of # or ##.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 19.10
(Required) In the definition of a function-like macro, each instance of a parameter shall be enclosed in parentheses unless it is used as the operand of # or ##.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define abs(x) ((x >= 0) ? x : -x)
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define abs(x) (((x) >= 0) ? (x) : -(x))
```

---

**MISRAC2004-19.12**

**Synopsis**

Multiple # or ## preprocessor operators were found in a macro definition.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Low

**Full description**

(Required) There shall be at most one occurrence of the # or ## preprocessor operators in a single macro definition. This check is identical to DEFINE-hash-multiple, MISRAC++2008-16-3-1.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 19.12

(Required) There shall be at most one occurrence of the # or ## preprocessor operators in a single macro definition.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define C(x, y) # x ## y /* Non-compliant */
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define A(x)#x /* Compliant */
```

---

**MISRAC2004-19.13**

**Synopsis**

Uses were found of the # and ## operators.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISRAC2004-19.15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#include "unguarded_header.h"
void example(void) {}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdlib.h>
#include "header.h" /* contains #ifndef HDR #define HDR ... #endif */
void example(void) {}
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The names of standard library macros, objects and functions shall not be reused. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-17-0-3, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) The names of Standard Library macros, objects, and functions shall not be reused.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) A reserved identifier or macro name shall not be declared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extern &quot;C&quot; void strcpy(void);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void strcpy(void) {}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extern &quot;C&quot; void bar(void);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void foo(void) {}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**MISRAC2004-20.3_a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>A parameter value (&lt;=0) might cause a domain or range error.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (&gt;0 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C-STAT checks

**Coding standards**

**MISRA C:2004 20.3**

*(Required)* The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

**MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11**

*(Required)* The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void gtz(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = tgamma(-1.0);   /* const not in range */
    e = tgamma(d1);     /* var not checked */
    if(d1 > 0) {
        e = tgamma(d1);   /* checked but in wrong branch */
    } else {
        e = tgamma(d1);   /* checked but updated */
    }
    if(d1 > 0) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = tgamma(d1);   /* checked but updated */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d > 0) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 < d) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d <= 0) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 >= d) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = tgamma(1.0); /* constant > 0 */
}
```
**MISRAC2004-20.3_b**

**Synopsis**
A parameter value (<0) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked ($\geq 0$ case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

double gez(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = sqrt(-2); /* const not in range */
    e = sqrt(d1); /* var not checked */
    if(d1 >= 0) {
        e = sqrt(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    } else {
        e = sqrt(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
    if(d1 >= 0) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = sqrt(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MISRAC2004-20.3_c

Synopsis
A parameter value (==0) might cause a domain or range error.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (!=0 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_c.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include<math.h>

void gez(double d) {
  double e;
  if(d >= 0) {
    e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  if(0 <= d) {
    e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  if(d < 0) {
    e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  if(0 > d) {
    e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  e = sqrt(1.0); /* constant > 0 */
}
```
#include <math.h>

void nez(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = fmod(1, 0.0);      /* const not in range */
    e = fmod(1, d1);     /* var not checked */
    if(d1 != 0) {
    } else {
        e = fmod(1, d1);   /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 != 0) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = fmod(1, d1);   /* checked but updated */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d != 0) {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 != d) {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d == 0) {
    } else {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 == d) {
    } else {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = logb(1.0); /* constant != 0 */
}

**MISRAC2004-20.3_d**

**Synopsis**

A parameter value (>1) might cause domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (<=1 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_d.

Coding standards:
- MISRA C:2004 20.3
  (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.
- MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
  (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <math.h>
void le1(double d1, double d2) {
  double e;
  e = acos(2);      /* const not in range */
  e = acos(d1);     /* var not checked */
  if(d1 <= 1) {
    } else {
    e = acos(d1);   /* checked but in wrong branch */
  }
  if(d1 <= 1) {
    d1 = d2;
    e = acos(d1);   /* checked but updated */
  }
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include<math.h>

void example(double d) {
  double e;
  if(d <= 1) {
    e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  if(1 >= d) {
    e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  if(d > 1) {
    } else {
    e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  if(1 < d) {
    } else {
    e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
  }
  e = acos(0.5); /* constant <= 1 */
}

MISRAC2004-20.3_e

Synopsis
A parameter value (>=1) might cause domain or range error.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (<1 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_e.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <math.h>

void lt1(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = atanh(2.0);    /* const not in range */
    e = atanh(d1);     /* var not checked */
    if(d1 < 1) {
        e = atanh(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    } else {
        e = atanh(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
    if(d1 < 1) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = atanh(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include<math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d < 1) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 > d) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d >= 1) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(1 <= d) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = atanh(0.5); /* constant < 1 */
    }
}

**MISRAC2004-20.3_f**

**Synopsis**
A parameter value (<-1) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (\(\geq -1\) case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11.f.

**Coding standards**

- **MISRA C:2004 20.3**
  
  (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

- **MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11**
  
  (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void gen1(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = acos(-2.0);      /* const not in range */
    e = acos(d1);     /* var not checked */
    if(d1 >= -1) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d1);    /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 >= -1) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = acos(d1);    /* checked but updated */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d >= -1) {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 <= d) {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d < -1) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 > d) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = acos(-0.5); /* constant >= -1 */
}
```

**MISRAC2004-20.3_g**

**Synopsis**
A parameter value (<=-1) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (> -1 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_g.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <math.h>

void gtn1(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = atanh(-1.5);      /* const not in range */
    e = atanh(d1);     /* var not checked */
    if(d1 > -1) {
        } else {
        e = atanh(d1);   /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 > -1) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = atanh(d1);   /* checked but updated */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d > -1) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 < d) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d <= -1) {
        } else {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 >= d) {
        } else {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = atanh(-0.5); /* constant > -1 */
}

**MISRAC2004-20.3_h**

**Synopsis**

A parameter value (>255) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (&lt;=255 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11.h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 20.3 (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked. MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11 (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning: extern int isalpha(int c); void leff(int d1, int d2) { int e; e = isalpha(2512); /* const not in range <em>/ e = isalpha(d1); /</em> var not checked <em>/ if(d1 &lt;= 0xFF) { } else { e = isalpha(d1); /</em> checked but in wrong branch <em>/ } if(d1 &lt;= 255) { d1 = d2; e = isalpha(d1); /</em> checked but updated */ } } The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
extern int isalpha(int c);

void example(int d) {
    int e;
    if(d <= 255) {
        e = isalpha(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0xFF >= d) {
        e = isalpha(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d > 0xFF) {
    } else {
        e = isalpha(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(255 < d) {
    } else {
        e = isalpha('c'); /* constant <= 0xFF */
    }
}

**MISRAC2004-20.3_i**

**Synopsis**
A parameter value (min) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (min value case). This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_i.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 20.3

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <math.h>
#include <limits.h>

void minint(int d1, int d2) {
    int e;
    e = abs(INT_MIN); /* const not in range */
    e = abs(d1); /* var not checked */
    if(d1 > INT_MIN) {
        e = abs(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    } else {
        e = abs(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
    if(d1 > INT_MIN) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = abs(d1); /* checked before use */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <math.h>
#include <limits.h>

void example(int d) {
    int e;
    if(d > INT_MIN) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(INT_MIN < d) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d <= INT_MIN) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(INT_MIN >= d) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = abs(INT_MIN+1); /* constant not INT_MIN */
}

MISRAC2004-20.4

Synopsis
Detected use of malloc, calloc, realloc, or free.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) Dynamic heap memory allocation shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-18-4-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.3.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.4
(Required) Dynamic heap memory allocation shall not be used.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void *example(void) {
    return malloc(100);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
}
```

MISRAC2004-20.5

Synopsis
Detected use of the error indicator errno.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The error indicator errno shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-19-3-1.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.5
(Required) The error indicator errno shall not be used.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <errno.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(char buf[]) {
    int i;
    errno = 0;
    i = atoi(buf);
    return (errno == 0) ? i : 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC2004-20.6**

- **Synopsis**: Detected use of the built-in function offsetof.
- **Enabled by default**: Yes
- **Severity/Certainty**: Low/Medium
- **Full description**: (Required) The macro offsetof in the stddef.h library shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-18-2-1.
- **Coding standards**: MISRA C:2004 20.6
- **Code examples**: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stddef.h>

struct stat {
    int st_size;
};

int example(void) {
    return offsetof(struct stat, st_size);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
}

MISRAC2004-20.7

Synopsis
Detected use of setjmp.h.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The setjmp macro and the longjmp function shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-17-0-5, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.4.

Coding standards
CERT ERR34-CPP
Do not use longjmp

MISRA C.2004 20.7
(Required) The setjmp macro and the longjmp function shall not be used.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <setjmp.h>

jmp_buf ex;

void example(void) {
    setjmp(ex);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
}

**MISRAC2004-20.8**

**Synopsis**  
Use of signal.h was detected.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) The signal handling facilities of signal.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-18-7-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.5.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2004 20.8

(Required) The signal handling facilities of signal.h shall not be used.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <signal.h>
#include <stddef.h>

void example(void) {
    signal(SIGFPE, NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```
## MISRAC2004-20.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synopsis</td>
<td>Use of stdio.h was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The input/output library stdio.h shall not be used in production code. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-27-0-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 20.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Code examples | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
#include <stdio.h>  
void example(void) {
  printf("Hello, world!\n");
}  
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:  
void example(void) {
  }

## MISRAC2004-20.10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synopsis</td>
<td>Use of the functions atof, atoi, atol, or atoll was detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C-STAT checks

Full description
(Required) The functions atof, atoi, and atol from the library stdlib.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-18-0-2, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.7.

Coding standards
CERT INT06-C
Use strtol() or a related function to convert a string token to an integer
MISRA C:2004 20.10
(Required) The functions atof, atoi, and atol from the library stdlib.h shall not be used.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(char buf[]) {
    return atoi(buf);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
}
```

MISRAC2004-20.11

Synopsis
Use of the functions abort, exit, getenv, or system was detected.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The functions abort, exit, getenv, and system from the library stdlib.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-18-0-3, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.8.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.11
(Required) The functions abort, exit,.getenv, and system from the library stdlib.h shall not be used.
**MISRAC2004-20.12**

**Synopsis**  
Use of the time.h functions was detected: asctime, clock, ctime, difftime, gmtime, localtime, mktime, strftime, or time.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) The time handling functions of time.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-18-0-4, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.10.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2004 20.12

(Required) The time handling functions of time.h shall not be used.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
#include <time.h>

int example(void) {
    return time(NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```
void example(void) {
}

MISRAC2012-Dir-4.3

Synopsis
Inline assembler statements were found that are not encapsulated in functions.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) Assembly language shall be encapsulated and isolated. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-2.1, MISRAC++2008-7-4-3.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.3
(Required) Assembly language shall be encapsulated and isolated

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x)
{
    int r;
    asm("" );
    return r + 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x)
{
    asm("" );
    return x;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Dir-4.4

Synopsis
Code sections in comments were found where the comment ends with a ‘:’, ‘{’, or ‘}’ character.
Descriptions of checks

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) Sections of code should not be "commented out" Code sections in comments were found where the comment ends with a ';' ';' '}' character. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-2.4.

Coding standards
MISRAC C:2012 Dir-4.4
(Advisory) Sections of code should not be "commented out"

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    /*
        int i;
    */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    #if 0
        int i;
    #endif
}
```

MISRAC2012-Dir-4.5

Synopsis
Identifiers in the same namespace, with overlapping visibility, should be typographically unambiguous.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium
Full description (Advisory) Identifiers in the same namespace, with overlapping visibility, should be typographically unambiguous.

Coding standards MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.5
(Advisory) Identifiers in the same name space with overlapping visibility should be typographically unambiguous

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int foo;
    int f00;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int foo;
    int bar;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.6_a**

Synopsis The basic types char, int, short, long, double, and float are used without a typedef.

Enabled by default No

Severity/Certainty Low/High

Full description (Advisory) typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic numerical types. The basic types char, int, short, long, double, and float are used without a typedef. Best practice is to use typedefs for portability. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-6.3, MISRAC++2008-3-9-2.

Coding standards MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.6
(Advisory) typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic numerical types

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef signed char SCHAR;
typedef int INT;
typedef float FLOAT;

INT func(FLOAT f, INT *pi)
{
    INT x;
    INT (*fp)(const char *);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef signed char SCHAR;
typedef int INT;
typedef float FLOAT;

INT func(FLOAT f, INT *pi)
{
    INT x;
    INT (*fp)(const SCHAR *);
}

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.6_b**

**Synopsis**
Typedefs of basic types were found with names that do not indicate the size or signedness.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Advisory) typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic numerical types

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.6
(Advisory) typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic numerical types

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
/* MISRA C 2012 Directive 4.6 Example */

/* Non-compliant - no sign or size specified */
typedef int speed_t;
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

/* MISRA C 2012 Directive 4.6 Example */

/* Compliant   - int used to define specific-length type */
typedef int SINT_16;

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.7_a**

**Synopsis**
Returned error information should be tested.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested.

**Coding standards**
CWE 252
-Unchecked Return Value

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.7
(Required) If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    malloc(5);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.7_b**

**Synopsis**  
Returned error information should be tested.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 252

Unchecked Return Value

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.7

(Required) If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example() {
    int p = malloc(5);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int ec = malloc(5);
    ec = 2;
}
```
### MISRAC2012-Dir-4.7_c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
<td>Returned error information should be tested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>CWE 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include<errno.h>
#include<stdio.h>

void test() {
    FILE * f;
    fpos_t * p;
    int x = fgetpos(f, p);

    switch(errno) {
    case 1:
        /* ... */
        break;
    }
}

void test_again() {
    FILE * f;
    fpos_t * p;
    int x = fgetpos(f, p);

    switch(errno) {
    case 1:
        /* ... */
        break;
    }
    x = fgetpos(f, p);
    switch(errno) {
    case 1:
        /* ... */
        break;
    }
}

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.8**

**Synopsis**
The implementation of a structure is unnecessarily exposed to a translation unit.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium
Full description

(Advisory) If a pointer to a structure or union is never dereferenced within a translation unit, then the implementation of the object should be hidden.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.8

(Advisory) If a pointer to a structure or union is never dereferenced within a translation unit, then the implementation of the object should be hidden.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include "transparent_struct.h"
/
transparent_struct.h:
struct t_struct {
    int field;
};
*/

#include "transparent_struct_getset.h"
/
transparent_struct_getset.h:
struct t_struct * get();
void set(struct t_struct *);
*/

void example() {
    struct t_struct * value = get();
    // struct t_struct * is not derefenced
    set(value);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
Synopsis

Function-like macros were detected.

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

Low/Low

Full description

(Advisory) A function should be used in preference to a function-like macro where they are interchangeable. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.7, MISRAC++2008-16-0-4.

Coding standards

MISRAC2012-Dir-4.9

(Advisory) A function should be used in preference to a function-like macro where they are interchangeable.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include "opaque_struct.h"
/*
opaque_struct.h:
typedef struct o_struct * structure;
*/

#include "opaque_struct_getset.h"
/*
opaque_struct_getset.h:
structure get();
void set_field(structure, int);
void set(structure);
*/

void example() {
    structure value = get();
    // structure is not dereferenced explicitly
    set_field(value, 10);
    set(value);
}"
#define ABS(x) { (x) < 0 ? -(x) : (x) }

void example(void) {
    int a;
    ABS(a);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "header.h" /* contains #ifndef HDR #define HDR ... #endif */
void example(void) {}
```

## MISRAC2012-Dir-4.10

**Synopsis**
Header files were found without #include guards.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) Precautions shall be taken in order to prevent the contents of a header file being included more than once This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.15, MISRAC C++2008-16-2-3.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.10

(Required) Precautions shall be taken in order to prevent the contents of a header file being included more than once

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include "unguarded_header.h"
void example(void) {}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "header.h" /* contains #ifndef HDR #define HDR ... #endif */
void example(void) {}
```
MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_a

Synopsis
A parameter value (<=0) might cause a domain or range error.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (>0 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_a.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void gtz(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = tgamma(-1.0);   /* const not in range */
    e = tgamma(d1);     /* var not checked */
    if(d1 > 0) {
        e = tgamma(d1);   /* checked but in wrong branch */
    } else {
    }
    if(d1 > 0) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = tgamma(d1);   /* checked but updated */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d > 0) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 < d) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d <= 0) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 >= d) {
        e = tgamma(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = tgamma(1.0); /* constant > 0 */
    }
}

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_b**

**Synopsis**
A parameter value (<0) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (>=0 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 20.3

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <math.h>

void gez(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = sqrt(-2);    /* const not in range */
    e = sqrt(d1);   /* var not checked */
    if(d1 >= 0) {
    } else {
        e = sqrt(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 >= 0) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = sqrt(d1);/* checked but updated */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include<math.h>

void gez(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d >= 0) {
        e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 <= d) {
        e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d < 0) {
    } else {
        e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 > d) {
    } else {
        e = sqrt(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = sqrt(1.0); /* constant > 0 */
}

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_c**

*Synopsis*  
A parameter value (==0) might cause a domain or range error.

*Enabled by default*  
No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (\(!=0\) case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 20.3

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void nez(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = fmod(1, 0.0); /* const not in range */
    e = fmod(1, d1); /* var not checked */
    if(d1 != 0) {
    } else {
        e = fmod(1, d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 != 0) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = fmod(1, d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_d

Synopsis
A parameter value (>1) might cause domain or range error.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (<=1 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_d.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d != 0) {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 != d) {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d == 0) {
    } else {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 == d) {
    } else {
        e = logb(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = logb(1.0); /* constant != 0 */
}
```
void le1(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = acos(2);    /* const not in range */
    e = acos(d1);   /* var not checked */
    if(d1 <= 1) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 <= 1) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = acos(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}

#include<math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d <= 1) {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d > 1) {
        } else {
        e =acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(1 < d) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }

    e = acos(0.5); /* constant <= 1 */
}

MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_e

Synopsis
A parameter value (>=1) might cause domain or range error.

Enabled by default
No
**Full description**

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (<1 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_e.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2004 20.3

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void lt1(double d1, double d2) {
  double e;
  e = atanh(2.0);     /* const not in range */
  e = atanh(d1);      /* var not checked */
  if(d1 < 1) {
    } else {
    e = atanh(d1);     /* checked but in wrong branch */
  }
  if(d1 < 1) {
    d1 = d2;
    e = atanh(d1);     /* checked but updated */
  }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include<math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d < 1) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0 > d) {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d >= 1) {
    } else {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(1 <= d) {
    } else {
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = atanh(0.5); /* constant < 1 */
}

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_f**

**Synopsis**
A parameter value (<-1) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (>=-1 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_f.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 20.3

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11

(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <math.h>

void gen1(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = acos(-2.0);      /* const not in range */
    e = acos(d1);     /* var not checked */
    if(d1 >= -1) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 >= -1) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = acos(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```n
```c
#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d >= -1) {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 <= d) {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d < -1) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 > d) {
    } else {
        e = acos(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = acos(-0.5); /* constant >= -1 */
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_g**

**Synopsis**

A parameter value (<=-1) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**

No
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (>-1 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_g.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <math.h>

void gtn1(double d1, double d2) {
    double e;
    e = atanh(-1.5); /* const not in range */
    e = atanh(d1); /* var not checked */
    if(d1 > -1) {
    } else {
        e = atanh(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 > -1) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = atanh(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <math.h>

void example(double d) {
    double e;
    if(d > -1) { 
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 < d) { 
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d <= -1) { 
    } else { 
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(-1 >= d) { 
    } else { 
        e = atanh(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = atanh(-0.5); /* constant > -1 */
}

### MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_h

#### Synopsis
A parameter value (>255) might cause a domain or range error.

#### Enabled by default
No

#### Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

#### Full description
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (<=255 case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_h.

#### Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.3
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
(Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

#### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
extern int isalpha(int c);

void leff(int d1, int d2) {
    int e;
    e = isalpha(2512); /* const not in range */
    e = isalpha(d1);  /* var not checked */
    if(d1 <= 0xFF) {
    } else {
        e = isalpha(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 <= 255) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = isalpha(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

extern int isalpha(int c);

void example(int d) {
    int e;
    if(d <= 255) {
        e = isalpha(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(0xFF >= d) {
        e = isalpha(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d > 0xFF) {
    } else {
        e = isalpha(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(255 < d) {
    } else {
        e = isalpha(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    e = isalpha('c'); /* constant <= 0xFF */
}

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.11_i**

**Synopsis**
A parameter value (min) might cause a domain or range error.

**Enabled by default**
No
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked (min value case). This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.3_1.

Coding standards:
- MISRA C:2004 20.3
  (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.
- MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.11
  (Required) The validity of values passed to library functions shall be checked.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <math.h>
#include <limits.h>

void minint(int d1, int d2) {
    int e;
    e = abs(INT_MIN); /* const not in range */
    e = abs(d1);  /* var not checked */
    if(d1 > INT_MIN) {
        } else {
            e = abs(d1); /* checked but in wrong branch */
    }
    if(d1 > INT_MIN) {
        d1 = d2;
        e = abs(d1); /* checked but updated */
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <math.h>
#include <limits.h>

void example(int d) {
    int e;
    if(d > INT_MIN) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(INT_MIN < d) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(d == INT_MIN) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    }
    if(INT_MIN >= d) {
        e = abs(d); /* checked before use */
    } else {
        e = abs(INT_MIN+1); /* constant not INT_MIN */
    }
    e = abs(INT_MIN+1); /* constant not INT_MIN */
}

MISRAC2012-Dir-4.12

Synopsis
Dynamic memory allocation found.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
(Required) Dynamic memory allocation shall not be used.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.12
(Required) Dynamic memory allocation shall not be used

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int * x = new int[10];
}
#include<stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int * x = malloc(sizeof(int));
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    int x[10];
    int * y = x;
}

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_b**

**Synopsis**  Incorrect deallocation causes memory leak.

**Enabled by default**  Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  Medium/Medium

**Full description**  (Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence. Memory is allocated, but then the pointer value is lost due to reassignment or its scope ending, without a guarantee of the value being propagated or the memory being freed. There must be no possible execution path during which the value is not freed, returned, or passed into another function as an argument, before it is lost. This is a memory leak.

**Coding standards**  MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.13

(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Descriptions of checks

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));

    ptr = NULL; // losing reference to the allocated memory

    free(ptr);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (rand() < 5) {
        free(ptr);
    } else {
        free(ptr);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_c**

**Synopsis**
A file pointer is never closed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence. One or more file pointers are never closed. To avoid failure caused by resource exhaustion, all file pointers obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions must be explicitly released. Releasing them as soon as possible reduces the risk that exhaustion will occur. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_b, RESOURCE-file-no-close-all, SEC-FILEOP-open-no-close, CERT-FIO42-C_a.
C-STAT checks

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.13

(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
    fclose(fp);
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_d**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is used after it has been freed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence. Memory is being accessed after it has been deallocated. The application might appear to run normally, but the operation is illegal. The most likely result is a crash, but the application might keep running with erroneous or corrupt data. This check is identical to MISRA2012-Rule-1.3_o, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-all, CERT-MEM30-C_a, MEM-use-free-all.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.13

(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    *x++;  //x is dereferenced after it is freed
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;  //OK - x is reallocated
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_e**

**Synopsis**
A pointer is used after it has been freed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence. A pointer is used after it has been freed. This might cause data corruption or an application crash. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_p, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-some, MEM-use-free-some, CERT-MEM30-C_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.13

(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    if (rand()) {
        x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    } else {
        /* x not reallocated along this path */
    }
    (*x)++;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_f**

**Synopsis**
A file resource is used after it has been closed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence. A file resource is referred to after it has been closed. When a file has been closed, any reference to it is invalid. Using this reference might cause an application crash.
### Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.13

(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence.

### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fclose(f1);
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
    fclose(f1);
}
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_g**

### Synopsis
A pointer is freed without having been allocated.

### Enabled by default
Yes

### Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

### Full description
(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence. A pointer is freed without having been allocated.

### Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.13
(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *p;
    // Do stuff
    free(p);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
    // Do something
    free(p);
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_h**

**Synopsis**
A struct field is deallocated without first having been allocated.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence. A struct field is deallocated without first having been allocated. This might cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Dir-4.13

(Advisory) Functions which are designed to provide operations on a resource should be called in an appropriate sequence.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
## MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_a

### Synopsis
An expression resulting in 0 is used as a divisor.

### Enabled by default
Yes

### Severity/Certainty
High/High

### Full description
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0, MISRAC2004-1.2_c.

### Coding standards
CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.
CWE 369
Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3

(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;  
    return 5 / (a-2);  // a-2 is 0
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;  
    return 5 / (a+2);  // OK - a+2 is 4
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_b**

Synopsis
A variable was found that is assigned the value 0, and then used as a divisor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-assign, MISRAC2004-1.2_d, CERT-INT33-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.
CWE 369  
Divide By Zero  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3  
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour  

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)  
{  
    int a = 20, b = 0, c;  
    c = a / b; /* Divide by zero */  
    return c;  
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)  
{  
    int a = 20, b = 5, c;  
    c = a / b; /* b is not 0 */  
    return c;  
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_c**  
**Synopsis**  
A variable is used as a divisor after a successful comparison with 0.  
**Enabled by default**  
Yes  
**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/High  

**Full description**  
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior.  
This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-aft, MISRAC2004-1.2_e, SEC-DIV-0-compare-after, CERT-INT33-C_b.  

**Coding standards**  
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

CWE 369
Divide By Zero

MISRA C.2012 Rule-1.3
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();

    if (p == 0) /* p is 0 */
        a = 34 / p;

    return a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 20;
    int p = rand();

    if (p != 0) /* p is not 0 */
        a = 34 / p;

    return a;
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_d

**Synopsis**
A variable used as a divisor is subsequently compared with 0.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
**Severity/Certainty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**

(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior.

This check is identical to ATH-div-0-cmp-bef, MISRAC2004-1.2_f, SEC-DIV-0-compare-before, CERT-INT33-C_c.

**Coding standards**

- CERT INT33-C
  
  Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors.

- CWE 369
  
  Divide By Zero

- MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3
  
  (Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b = 1;
    b = a / p;
    if (p == 0) // Checking the value of 'p' too late.
        return 0;
    return b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(int p)
{
    int a = 20, b;
    if (p == 0)
        return 0;
    b = a / p;    /* Here 'p' is non-zero. */
    return b;
}
```
### MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_e

**Synopsis**  
A value that is determined using interval analysis to be 0 is used as a divisor.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-interval, MISRAC2004-1.2_g, CERT-INT33-C_d.

**Coding standards**  
CERT INT33-C

Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors

CWE 369

Divide By Zero

MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3

(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 1;
    a--;  
    return 5 / a;  /* a is 0 */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 2;
    a--;  
    return 5 / a;  /* OK - a is 1 */
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_f

Synopsis
An expression that might be 0 is used as a divisor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Low

Full description
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-pos, MISRAC2004-1.2_h, CERT-INT33-C_e.

Coding standards
CERT INT33-C
Ensure that division and modulo operations do not result in divide-by-zero errors
CWE 369
Divide By Zero
MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a-2); // a-2 is 0
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int foo(void)
{
    int a = 3;
    a--;
    return 5 / (a+2); // OK - a+2 is 4
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_g

Synopsis
A global variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Low

Full description
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-unchk-global, MISRAC2004-1.2_i, CERT-INT33-C_f.

Coding standards
CWE 369
Divide By Zero
MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int x;
int example() {
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int x;
int example() {
    if (x != 0){
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_h

Synopsis
A local variable is not checked against 0 before it is used as a divisor.
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabled by default</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**  
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behavior. This check is identical to ATH-div-0-unchk-local, MISRAC2004-1.2_j, CERT-INT33-C_g.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 369  
Divide By Zero  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-1.3  
(Required) There shall be no occurrence of undefined or critical unspecified behaviour

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int rand();

int example() {
    int x = rand();
    return 5/x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int rand();

int example() {
    int x = rand();
    if (x != 0){
        return 5/x;
    }
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_i

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Expressions found that depend on order of evaluation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

842  
C-STAT® Static Analysis Guide
Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: One and the same variable is changed in different parts of an expression with an unspecified evaluation order, between two consecutive sequence points. Standard C does not specify an evaluation order for different parts of an expression. For this reason different compilers are free to perform their own optimizations regarding the evaluation order. Projects containing statements that violate this check are not easily ported to another architecture or compiler, and if they are they might be difficult to debug. Only four operators have a guaranteed order of evaluation: logical AND \((a \&\& b)\) evaluates the left operand, then the right operand only if the left is found to be true; logical OR \((a || b)\) evaluates the left operand, then the right operand only if the left is found to be false; a ternary conditional \((a ? b : c)\) evaluates the first operand, then either the second or the third, depending on whether the first is found to be true or false; and a comma \((a , b)\) evaluates its left operand before its right. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-1.a, MISRAC2004-12.2.a, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2.a, SPC-order, CERT-EXP30-C.a.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP10-C
  Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place
- CERT EXP30-C
  Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points
- CWE 696
  Incorrect Behavior Order

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    i = i * i++; //unspecified order of operations
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i;  //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_j

Synopsis
A variable is read before it is assigned a value.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
A variable is read before it is assigned a value. Different execution paths might result in a variable being read at different points in the execution. Because uninitialized data is read, application behavior might be unpredictable. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-9.1_a, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_e, SPC-uninit-var-all.

Coding standards
CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x;
    x++;  //x is uninitialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i;  //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}
```
int main(void) {
    int x = 0;
    x++;
    return 0;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_k**

**Synopsis**  
A variable is read before it is assigned a value.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/Low

**Full description**  
A variable is read before it is assigned a value. On some execution paths, the variable might be assigned a value before it is read. This might cause unpredictable application behavior. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-9.1_b, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_f, SPC-uninit-var-some.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 457  
Use of Uninitialized Variable

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x, y;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    y = x;  //x may not be initialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    } /* x never read */
    return 0;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_m

Synopsis
A function pointer is used in an invalid context.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
A function pointer is used in an invalid context. It is an error to use a function pointer to do anything other than calling the function being pointed to, comparing the function pointer to another pointer using != or ==, passing the function pointer to a function, returning the function pointer from a function, or storing the function pointer in a data structure. Misusing a function pointer might result in erroneous behavior, and in junk data being interpreted as instructions and being executed as such.

Coding standards
CERT EXP16-C
  Do not compare function pointers to constant values
CWE 480
  Use of Incorrect Operator

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int foo(int x, int y){
    return x+y;
}

int foo2(int x, int y) {
    if (foo)
        return (foo)(x,y);
    if (foo && foo2)
        return (foo)(x,y);
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef int (*fptr)(int,int);
int f_add(int x, int y) {
    return x+y;
}

int f_sub(int x, int y) {
    return x-y;
}

int foo(int opcode, int x, int y) {
    fptr farray[2];
    farray[0] = f_add;
    farray[1] = f_sub;
    return (farray[opcode])(x,y);
}

int foo2(fptr f1, fptr f2) {
    if (f1 == f2)
        return 1;
    else
        return 0;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_n**

**Synopsis**

The left-hand side of a right shift operation might be a negative value.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  Medium/Medium

Full description  The left-hand side of a right shift operation might be a negative value. Because performing a right shift operation on a negative number is implementation-defined, this operation might have unexpected results.

Coding standards  CWE 682

Incorrect Calculation

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return -10 >> x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return 10 >> x;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_o**

Synopsis  A pointer is used after it has been freed.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  High/High

Full description  Memory is being accessed after it has been deallocated. The application might appear to run normally, but the operation is illegal. The most likely result is a crash, but the application might keep running with erroneous or corrupt data. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_d, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-all, CERT-MEM30-C_a, MEM-use-free-all.
Coding standards

CERT MEM30-C

Do not access freed memory

CWE 416

Use After Free

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    *x++;  //x is dereferenced after it is freed
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;  //OK - x is reallocated
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_p

Synopsis

A pointer is used after it has been freed.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

High/Low

Full description

A pointer is used after it has been freed. This might cause data corruption or an application crash. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_e, SEC-BUFFER-use-after-free-some, MEM-use-free-some, CERT-MEM30-C_b.
**Descriptions of checks**

**Coding standards**

CERT MEM30-C

Do not access freed memory

CWE 416

Use After Free

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    if (rand()) {
        x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    } else {
        /* x not reallocated along this path */
    }
    (*x)++;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x;
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(x);
    x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x++;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_q**

**Synopsis**

Might return an address on the stack.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>A local variable is defined in stack memory, then its address is potentially returned from the function. When the function exits, its stack frame will be considered illegal memory, and thus the address returned might be dangerous. This code and subsequent memory accesses might appear to work, but the operations are illegal and an application crash, or memory corruption, is very likely. To correct this problem, consider returning a copy of the object, using a global variable, or dynamically allocating memory.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coding standards   | CERT DCL30-C  
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations  
CWE 562  
Return of Stack Variable Address |
| Code examples      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
```c
int *example(void) {
    int a[20];
    return a;  //a is a local array
}
```  
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:  
```c
int* example(void) {
    int *p,i;
    p = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    return p;  //OK - p is dynamically allocated
}
``` |

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_r**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>A stack address is stored in a global pointer.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: The address of a variable in stack memory is being stored in a global variable. When the relevant scope or function ends, the memory will become unused, and the externally stored address will point to junk data. This is particularly dangerous because the application might appear to run normally, when it is in fact accessing illegal memory. This might also lead to an application crash, or data changing unpredictably.

Coding standards: CERT DCL30-C
- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
- Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int *px;
void example() {
    int i = 0;
    px = &i; // assigning the address of stack variable a to the global px
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(int *pz) {
    int x; int *px = &x;
    int *py = px; /* local variable */
    pz = px; /* parameter */
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s**

Synopsis: A stack address is stored outside a function via a parameter.

Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: The address of a local stack variable is assigned to a location supplied by the caller via a parameter. When the function ends, this memory address will become invalid. This is particularly dangerous because the application might appear to run normally, when it is in fact accessing illegal memory. This might also lead to an application crash, or data changing unpredictably. Note that this check looks for any expression referring to the store located by the parameter, so the assignment local[*parameter] = & local; will trigger the check despite being OK. This check is identical to MEM-stack-param, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_c, MISRAC2004-17.6_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_d, CERT-DCL30-C_e.

Coding standards: CERT DCL30-C
- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
- Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int **ppx) {
    int x;
    ppx[0] = &x;  //local address
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
static int y = 0;
void example3(int **ppx){
    *ppx = &y;  //OK - static address
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_t

Synopsis: A call to memcpy or memmove causes the memory to overrun.

Enabled by default: Yes
Descriptive checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>High/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**
A call to `memcpy` or `memmove` causes the memory to overrun at either the destination or the source address.

**Coding standards**
- CWE 119
  - Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120
  - Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 121
  - Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 122
  - Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 124
  - Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
- CWE 126
  - Buffer Over-read
- CWE 127
  - Buffer Under-read
- CWE 805
  - Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value
- CWE 676
  - Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
void func()
{
    int size = 10;
    int arr[10];
    int * ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    memcpy(ptr, arr, sizeof(int) * 10);
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_u**

**Synopsis**
A call to `memset` causes a buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
A call to `memset` causes a buffer overrun. If `memset` is called with a size greater than the size of the allocated buffer, it will overrun and might cause a runtime error.

**Coding standards**

- CWE 676
  Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
- CWE 122
  Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 805
Buffer Access with Incorrect Length Value

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memset(a, 'a', 21);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 20);
    memset(a, 'a', 10);
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_v

Synopsis
A call to `strcpy` causes a destination buffer overrun.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
A call to the `strcpy` function causes a destination buffer overrun.

Coding standards
CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2, str1);
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_w**

**Synopsis**
A call to `strcat` causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
A call to the `strcat` function causes a destination buffer overrun.

**Coding standards**
CERT STR31-C

Guarantee that storage for strings has sufficient space for character data and the null terminator

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 676
Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(13);
    strcpy(str2,""tm_0019_e8ca5b5d_0558_4d6f_8f2d_5458a3a554d5"");
    strcat(str2,str1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
    char *str1 = "Hello World!\n";
    char *str2 = (char *)malloc(14);
    strcpy(str2,""tm_0019_e8ca5b5d_0558_4d6f_8f2d_5458a3a554d5"");
    strcat(str2,str1);
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_a**

**Synopsis**
A case statement within a switch statement cannot be reached.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A project shall not contain unreachable code. This check is identical to RED-case-reach, MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT MSC07-C
Detect and remove dead code

MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.1
(Required) A project shall not contain unreachable code

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    switch(2 * x) {
        case 42:  // unreachable case, as x is 84
            ;
        default:
            ;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    switch(2 * x) {
        case 84:
            ;
        default:
            ;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_b**

**Synopsis**
A part of the application is never executed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A project shall not contain unreachable code. This check is identical to RED-dead, MISRAC2004-14.1, MISRAC++2008-0-1-1, MISRAC++2008-0-1-9.
Coding standards

CERT MSC07-C
Detect and remove dead code

CWE 561
Dead Code

MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.1
(Required) A project shall not contain unreachable code

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
    case 0:
        return 1;
        printf("Hello!"); // This line cannot execute.
        default:
        return -1;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
    case 0:
        printf("Hello!"); // This line can execute.
        return 1;
        default:
        return -1;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_a**

**Synopsis**
A statement potentially contains no side effects.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
<th>![Severity Indicator]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**

(Required) There shall be no dead code. This check is identical to RED-no-effect, MISRA2004-14.2.

**Coding standards**

CERT MSC12-C

Detect and remove code that has no effect

CWE 482

Comparing instead of Assigning

MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.2

(Required) There shall be no dead code

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 1;
    x = 2;
    x < x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
// No dead code example
```
```cpp
#include <string>

void f();
template<class T>
struct X {
    int x;
    int get() const {
        return x;
    }  
    X(int y) : x(y) {}  
};
typedef X<int> intX;

void example(void) {
    /* everything below has a side-effect */
    int i=0;
    f();
    (void)f();
    ++i;
    i+=1;
    i++;
    char *p = "test";
    std::string s;
    s.assign(p);
    std::string *ps = &s;
    ps -> assign(p);
    intX xx(1);
    xx.get();
    intX(1));
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_b**

**Synopsis**
A field in a struct is assigned a non-trivial value that is never used.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) There shall be no dead code. This check is identical to RED-unused-assign-struct-field.

**Coding standards**
CERT MSC13-C
Detect and remove unused values
CWE 563
Unused Variable
MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.2
(Required) There shall be no dead code

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
typedef struct simpleStruct {
    int a;
} ss_t;

void example(void) {
    ss_t data;
    data.a = 0;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
extern void foo(int num);
typedef struct simpleStruct {
    int a;
} ss_t;

void example(void) {
    ss_t data;
    data.a = 0;
    foo(data.a);
}
**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_c**

**Synopsis**  
A variable is assigned a value that is never used.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) There shall be no dead code. This check is identical to RED-unused-val, MISRAC++2008-0-1-6.

**Coding standards**  
CWE 563

Unused Variable

MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.2

(Required) There shall be no dead code

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
  int x;
  x = 20;
  x = 3;
  return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
  int x;
  x = 20;
  return x;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.3**

**Synopsis**  
Unused type declaration.

**Enabled by default**  
No
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Advisory) A project should not contain unused type declarations. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Advisory) A project should not contain unused type declarations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Code examples      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
|                    | typedef int unused; |
|                    | The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:  
|                    | typedef int used;  
|                    | used name; |

### MISRAC2012-Rule-2.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Unused tag declarations were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Advisory) A project should not contain unused tag declarations. This is a link analysis check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Advisory) A project should not contain unused tag declarations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Code examples        | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
|                      | typedef int unused; |
C-STAT checks

```
struct abc {
    int x;
};

void foo(void) {
    /* not using abc */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
struct abc {
    int x;
};

void foo(void) {
    struct abc m;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.5**

**Synopsis**
An unused macro declaration was found.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) A project should not contain unused macro declarations. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.5

(Advisory) A project should not contain unused macro declarations

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
#define M(x) (x + 1)

void example(void) {
    /* not invoking M */
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define M(x) (x + 1)

void example(void) {
    /* invoking M */
    int x = M(1);
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-2.6

**Synopsis**
A function was found that contains an unused label declaration.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) A function should not contain unused label declarations.

**Coding standards**
MISRAC C 2012 Rule-2.6
(Advisory) A function should not contain unused label declarations

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    unusedlabel:
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void skip_funcion_call(void);

void example(void) {
    goto usedlabel;
    skip_funcion_call();
    usedlabel:
}
```
**MISRAC2012-Rule-2.7**

**Synopsis**
A function parameter is declared but not used.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) There should be no unused parameters in functions. This check is identical to RED-unused-param, MISRAC++2008-0-1-11.

**Coding standards**
CWE 563
Unused Variable
MISRA C:2012 Rule-2.7
(Advisory) There should be no unused parameters in functions

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    /* `x` is not used */
    return 20;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return x + 20;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-3.1**

**Synopsis**
The character sequences /* and // were found within a comment.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The character sequences /* and // shall not be used within a comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) The character sequences /* and // shall not be used within a comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>// This is /* a comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>// This is a comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### MISRAC2012-Rule-3.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Line-splicing was found in // comments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) Line-splicing shall not be used in // comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) Line-splicing shall not be used in // comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                     | // This comment \
|                     | has a line splice |
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
// This comment
// has no line splice
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.1**

**Synopsis**
An external identifier was found that is not unique for the first 31 characters, but still not identical to another identifier.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) External identifiers shall be distinct. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.1
(Required) External identifiers shall be distinct

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/* file2.c
int ABC;
*/
int ABC;

void example (void) {
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/* file2.c
int ABC;
*/
int a;

void example (void) {
}
```
**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.2_c89**

**Synopsis**
Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from other names in the same scope.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Identifiers declared in the same scope and name space shall be distinct.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.2

(Required) Identifiers declared in the same scope and name space shall be distinct

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
extern int n01_var_hides_var___________31x;
static int n01_var_hides_var___________31y;

static int n02_function_hides_var_______31x;
void n02_function_hides_var_______31y (void) {}
union U {
    int n08_field_hides_field________31x;
    int n08_field_hides_field________31y;
};

struct S {
    int n09_field_hides_field________31x;
    int n09_field_hides_field________31y;
};

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
/* 1234567890123456789012345678901******** */
extern int n01_var_in_different_scope___3lx;
void n02_different_function_name___3ly (void) {
    static int n01_var_in_different_scope___3ly;
    switch(fn()) {
        case 1:
            {
                int n01_var_in_different_scope___3la;
            }
            break;
        case 2:
            {
                int n01_var_in_different_scope___3lb;
            }
            break;
            {
                int n01_var_in diferentescope___3lc;
            }
            {
                int n01_var_in diferentescope___3ld;
            }
    }
    /* exception for typedef of tag name*/
typedef struct s1 {
    int sf1;
} s1;
typedef union u1 {
    int uf1;
    int uf2;
} u1;
typedef enum e1 {
    ec1, ec2
} e1;
/* identifiers in different name spaces */
/* 1234567890123456789012345678901******** */
typedef union n02_var_hides_union_tag___3lx {
    int v1;
    unsigned int v2;
} n02_var_hides_union_tag___3ly;
/* 1234567890123456789012345678901******** */
MISRAC2012-Rule-5.2_c99

Synopsis  Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from other names in the same scope.

Enabled by default  Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) Identifiers declared in the same scope and name space shall be distinct.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coding standards   | MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.2  
(Required) Identifiers declared in the same scope and name space shall be distinct |
| Code examples      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning: |
Descriptions of checks

```c
/*   0        1         2         3         4         5         6 */
/*
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123
*/
extern int
n01_var_hides_var____________________________________________63x;
static int
n01_var_hides_var____________________________________________63y;
/*   0        1         2         3         4         5         6 */
/*
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123
*/
static int
n02_function_hides_var_______________________________________63x;
void
n02_function_hides_var_______________________________________63y
(void) {} 

void foo(void) {
  int i;
  switch(f1()) {
    case 1: {
      do {
        for(i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
          if(f3()) {
            /*   0        1         2         3         4         5         6 */
            /*
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123
*/
            int
n03_var_hides_var____________________________________________63x;
            int
n03_var_hides_var____________________________________________63y;
          }
        }
      } while(f2());
    }
  }
}
/*   0        1         2         3         4         5         6 */
/*
*/
```
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```c
enum E {
    n04_var_hides_enum_const_________63x
};

/* 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 */

/* 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 */

typedef int n07_type_hides_var_________63y;
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void n02_different_function_name__________________________________63x(void) {
    static int n01_var_in_different_scope___________________________________63y;
    switch(fn()) {
        case 1:
            int n01_var_in_difference_scope___________________________________63a;
            break;
        case 2:
            int n01_var_in_difference_scope___________________________________63b;
            break;
    }
}

void n12_var_hides_function_different_scope_______________________63x(void) {
    static int n12_var_hides_function_different_scope_______________________63y;
}
Descriptions of checks

```c
/* exception for typedef of tag name*/
typedef struct s1 {
    int sf1;
} s1;

typedef union u1 {
    int uf1;
    int uf2;
} u1;

typedef enum e1 {
    ec1, ec2
} e1;

/* identifiers in different name spaces */
void foo(void) {
    int i;
    switch(f1()) {
    case 1: {
        do {
            for(i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
                /*                 0        1         2         3         4
                 5         6     */
                /*
1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234*/
                struct
                n03_var_hides_struct_tag_____________________________63x
                { int f1; }
                n03_var_hides_struct_tag_____________________________63y;
            }
        } while(f2());
    }
    /*
1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234*/
    */
```

C-STAT® Static Analysis Guide
union
n04_var_hides_union_tag______________________________________63x
{
  int v1;
  unsigned int v2;
}

n04_var_hides_union_tag______________________________________63y;

/* 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 */
/* */
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123* */
enum
n05_var_hides_enum_tag_______________________________________63x
{

n07_tag_hides_enum_const_____________________________________63x
};

/* 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 */
/* */
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123* */
int
n05_var_hides_enum_tag_______________________________________63y;

struct
n07_tag_hides_enum_const_____________________________________63y
{
  int sf2;
};

void bar(void) {
/* 0 1 2 3 4 5 */
6 */
/* */
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123* */
/* int
n09_label_hides_var__________________________________________63x;
{
/*0 1 2 3 4 5 */
6 */
/*123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123* */
**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.3_c89**

**Synopsis**  
Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from other names in an outer scope.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) An identifier declared in an inner scope shall not hide an identifier declared in an outer scope.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.3  
(Required) An identifier declared in an inner scope shall not hide an identifier declared in an outer scope

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/*
  1234567890123456789012345678901********* */
extern int n01_param_hides_var__________31x;
extern int n02_var_hides_var___________31x;
void n03_var_hides_function_________31x (void) {}

enum E {
  n04_var_hides_enum_const_____31x,
};
#define n05_var_hides_macro_name_____31x 123
extern int n06_type_hides_var___________31x;

void f1(int n01_param_hides_var__________31y) {
  int n02_var_hides_var______________31y;
  int n03_var_hides_function_________31y;
  int n04_var_hides_enum_const_____31y;
  int n05_var_hides_macro_name_____31y;
  switch(f2()) {
  case 1: {
    typedef int n06_type_hides_var___________31y;
    do {
      /*
         1234567890123456789012345678901********* */
      int n07_var_hides_var__________31x;
      if(f3()) {
        int n07_var_hides_var__________31y = 1;
      }
    } while(f2());
  }
  }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int f1 (void) {
   /*           1234567890123456789012345678901********* */
   extern int n01_var_in_same_scope________31x;
   static int n01_var_in_same_scope________31y;

   switch(fn()) {
      case 1:
      {
         int n02_var_in_different_scope___31a;
      }
      break;
      case 2:
      {
         int n02_var_in_different_scope___31b;
      }
      break;
      }
   
   return 0;
}

/* identifiers in different name spaces */
/*           1234567890123456789012345678901********* */
union n03_var_hides_union_tag______31x {
   int v1;
   unsigned int v2;
};
enum n04_var_hides_enum_tag_______31x {
   n05_tag_hides_enum_const_____31x
};
extern int n06_label_hides_var__________31x;

int f2(void) {
   int n03_var_hides_union_tag______31y;
   int n04_var_hides_enum_tag_______31y;
   struct n05_tag_hides_enum_const_____31y {
      int ff2;
   };
   /*
   1234567890123456789012345678901********* */
   n06_label_hides_var__________31y:
switch(f2()) { 
    case 0: { 
        do { 
            /* 1234567890123456789012345678901********** */ 
            struct n07_var_hides_struct_tag_____31x { 
                int ff1; 
            }; 
            if(f3()) { 
                int n07_var_hides_struct_tag_____31y = 1; 
            } 
        } while(f2()); 
    } 
    return 0; 
} 

**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.3_c99**

**Synopsis**
Identifier names were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from other names in an outer scope.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An identifier declared in an inner scope shall not hide an identifier declared in an outer scope.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.3

(Required) An identifier declared in an inner scope shall not hide an identifier declared in an outer scope

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
extern int n01_param_hides_var__________________________________________63x;
extern int n02_var_hides_var____________________________________________63x;
void n03_var_hides_function_______________________________________63x;

enum E {
    n04_var_hides_enum_const_____________________________________63x
};
#define n05_var_hides_macro_name_____________________________________63x
extern int n06_type_hides_var___________________________________________63x;

void f1(int n01_param_hides_var__________________________________________63x) {
    int n02_var_hides_var____________________________________________63y;
    int n03_var_hides_function_______________________________________63y;
    int n04_var_hides_enum_const_____________________________________63y;
    int n05_var_hides_enum_const_____________________________________63y;
    switch(f2()) {
        case 1: {
            typedef int n06_type_hides_var___________________________________________63x;
            do {
                int n07_var_var__________________________________________________63x;
            } while (0);
        }
        /*          0        1         2         3         4         5
         6     */
    /*
    123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123*
     */
};
/*
 */
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
if(f3()) {
    int n07_var_var = 1;
}
while(f2());
```
int f1 (void) {
    /* identifiers in different name spaces */
    /*          0        1         2         3         4         5
     */
    /* */
    123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123*
    */
    extern int
    n01_var_in_name_scope________________________________________63x;
    static int
    n01_var_in_same_scope________________________________________63y;
    switch(fn()) {
        case 1:
        {
            int
            n02_var_in_different_scope___________________________________63a;
            break;
            case 2:
            {
                int
                n02_var_in_different_scope___________________________________63b;
                break;
            }
            {
                int
                n02_var_in_different_scope___________________________________63c;
            }
            {
                int
                n02_var_in_different_scope___________________________________63d;
            } return 1;
    }
    /* identifiers in different name spaces */
    /*          0        1         2         3         4         5
     */
    /* */
    123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123*
    */
    union
    n03_var_hides_union_tag______________________________________63x
    { int v1;
      unsigned int v2;
C-STAT checks

};
enum
n04_var_hides_enum_tag_______________________________________63x
{

n05_tag_hides_enum_const_____________________________________63x
};
extern int
n06_label_hides_var__________________________________________63x;
int f2(void) {
    int
n03_var_hides_union_tag______________________________________63y;
    int
n04_var_hides_enum_tag_______________________________________63y;
    struct
n05_tag_hides_enum_const_____________________________________63y
    {
        int ff2;
    };
    /*
      0        1         2         3         4         5         6
      123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123*
    */
    n06_label_hides_var__________________________________________63y:
    switch(f2()) {
        case 1: {
            /*
              0        1         2         3         4
              5         6     */
            /*
              123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123*
            */
            do {
                struct
n07_var_hides_struct_tag_____________________________________63x
                {
                    int ff1;
                };
                if(f3()) {
                    int
n07_var_hides_struct_tag_____________________________________63y
                        = 1;
                    }
                }
            while(f2());
        }
MISRAC2012-Rule-5.4_c89

Synopsis
Macro names were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from their macro parameters or other macro names.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) Macro identifiers shall be distinct.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.4
(Required) Macro identifiers shall be distinct

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/*
   1234567890123456789012345678901*** */
#define n01_macro_hides_macro________31x 1
#define n02_param_hides_macro________31x 1
#define n03_macro_hides_param________31x 1
#define n01_macro_hides_macro________31y 2
#define m1(n02_param_hides_macro________31y) (n01_param_hides_macro________31y + 1)
#define n03_macro_hides_param________31y 2
#define m2(n04_param_hides_param________31x,\
       n04_param_hides_param________31y) 1
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define m1(n01_param_of_other_macro) (n01_param_hides_macro + 1)
#define m2(n01_param_of_other_macro) (n01_param_hides_macro + 1)
```
**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.4_c99**

**Synopsis**
Macro names were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from their macro parameters or other macro names.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Macro identifiers shall be distinct.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.4

(Required) Macro identifiers shall be distinct

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Descriptions of checks

/*         0        1         2         3         4         5
 6     */
/*
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123* */
#define n01_macro_hides_macro________________________________________63x 1
#define n02_param_hides_macro________________________________________63x 1
#define n01_macro_hides_param________________________________________63x 1
#define n03_macro_hides_param________________________________________63x 2
#define n01_macro_hides_macro________________________________________63y 2
#define m1(n02_param_hides_macro________________________________________63y + 1) \
(n01_param_hides_macro________________________________________63y + 1)
#define n03_macro_hides_param________________________________________63y 2
#define m2(n04_param_hides_param________________________________________63x, \n(n04_param_hides_param________________________________________63y) 1
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#define m1(n01_param_of_other_macro) (n01_param_hides_macro + 1)
#define m2(n01_param_of_other_macro) (n01_param_hides_macro + 1)

**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.5_c89**

**Synopsis**
Non-macro identifiers were found that are not distinct in their first 31 characters from macro names.
Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) Identifiers shall be distinct from macro names.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.5

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/*          1234567890123456789012345678901***  */
#define n01_var_hides_macro__________31x 1
#define n02_function_hides_macro____31x 1
#define n03_param_hides_macro_______31x 1
#define n04_type_hides_macro_________31x 1
#define n05_tag_hides_macro__________31x 1
#define n06_label_hides_macro________31x 1

int n01_var_hides_macro__________31y;
void n02_function_hides_macro____31y(int n03_param_hides_macro_______31y) {}
typedef int n04_type_hides_macro_________31y;
struct n05_tag_hides_macro__________31y {
    int x;
};
void f1() {
    n06_label_hides_macro________31y:
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define n01_expanded_macro 1

void foo() {
    int x = n01_expanded_macro;
}
```
### MISRAC2012-Rule-5.5_c99

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
<td>Non-macro identifiers were found that are not distinct in their first 63 characters from macro names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) Identifiers shall be distinct from macro names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.5 (Required) Identifiers shall be distinct from macro names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/*          0        1         2         3         4         5
6     */
/*
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123*
*/
#define n01_var_hides_macro__________________________________________63x
1
#define n02_function_hides_macro_____________________________________63x
1
#define n03_param_hides_macro________________________________________63x
1
#define n04_type_hides_macro_________________________________________63x
1
#define n05_tag_hides_macro__________________________________________63x
1
#define n06_label_hides_macro________________________________________63x
1

int
n01_var_hides_macro__________________________________________63y;
void
n02_function_hides_macro_____________________________________63y(
    int
n03_param_hides_macro________________________________________63y)
{}
typedef int
n04_type_hides_macro_________________________________________63y;
struct
n05_tag_hides_macro__________________________________________63y
{
    int x;
};
void f1() {
    n06_label_hides_macro________________________________________63y:
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#define     n01_expanded_macro 1

void foo() {
    int x = n01_expanded_macro;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-5.6

Synopsis             A typedef with this name has already been declared.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  Low/Medium

Full description    (Required) A typedef name shall be a unique identifier. This check is identical to
                    MISRAC2004-5.3, MISRAC++2008-2-10-3. This is a link analysis check.

Coding standards    MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.6
                    (Required) A typedef name shall be a unique identifier

Code examples       The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
                    typedef int WIDTH;
                    void f1()
                    {    
                        WIDTH w1;
                    }
                    void f2()
                    {    
                        typedef float WIDTH;
                        WIDTH w2;
                        WIDTH w3;
                    }

                    The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this
                    issue:
namespace NS1
{
    typedef int WIDTH;
}
// f2.cc
namespace NS2
{
    typedef float WIDTH; // Compliant - NS2::WIDTH is not the same
    as NS1::WIDTH
}
NS1::WIDTH w1;
NS2::WIDTH w2;

**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.7**

**Synopsis**
A class, struct, union, or enum declaration clashes with a previous declaration.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A tag name shall be a unique identifier. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-5.4, MISRAC++2008-2-10-4. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.7

(Required) A tag name shall be a unique identifier

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f1()
{
    class TYPE {};
}

void f2()
{
    float TYPE; // non-compliant
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
enum ENS {ONE, TWO};

void f1()
{
    class TYPE {};
}

void f4()
{
    union GRRR {
        int i;
        float f;
    };
}
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-5.8**

**Synopsis**
One or more external identifier names were found that are not unique.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Identifiers that define objects or functions with external linkage shall be unique. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-5.8

(Required) Identifiers that define objects or functions with external linkage shall be unique

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Synopsis
An internal identifier name was found that is not unique.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) Identifiers that define objects or functions with internal linkage should be unique. This is a link analysis check.

Coding standards
MISRAC2012 Rule-5.9
(Advisory) Identifiers that define objects or functions with internal linkage should be unique

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
static int x;
void example(void) {
    int x;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
static int x;
void example(void) {
    int y;
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-6.1

**Synopsis**
Bitfields of plain int type were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Bitfields shall only be declared with an appropriate type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-6.4.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-6.1

(Required) Bit-fields shall only be declared with an appropriate type

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct bad {
    int x:3;
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct good {
    unsigned int x:3;
};
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-6.2

**Synopsis**
Signed single-bit bitfields (excluding anonymous fields) were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
### Severity/Certainty

| Low/Low |

### Full description

(Required) Single-bit named bitfields shall not be of a signed type. This check is identical to STRUCT-signed-bit, MISRAC2004-6.5, MISRAC++2008-9-6-4.

### Coding standards

MISRA C:2012 Rule-6.2

(Required) Single-bit named bit fields shall not be of a signed type

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct S {
    signed int a : 1; // Non-compliant
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct S {
    signed int b : 2;
    signed int : 0;
    signed int : 1;
    signed int : 2;
};
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-7.1

#### Synopsis

Octal integer constants are used.

#### Enabled by default

Yes

#### Severity/Certainty

| Low/Medium |

#### Full description

(Required) Octal constants shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-7.1, MISRAC++2008-2-13-2.
Coding standards  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-7.1  
(Required) Octal constants shall not be used

Code examples  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void
func(void)
{
    int x = 077;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void
func(void)
{
    int x = 63;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-7.2**

**Synopsis**  
There are unsigned integer constants without a U suffix.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Low

**Full description**  
(Required) A "u" or "U" suffix shall be applied to all integer constants that are represented in an unsigned type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-10.6, MISRAC++2008-2-13-3.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-7.2  
(Required) A "u" or "U" suffix shall be applied to all integer constants that are represented in an unsigned type

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    // 2147483648 -- does not fit in 31 bits
    unsigned int x = 0x80000000;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    unsigned int x = 0x80000000u;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-7.3**

**Synopsis**
The lower case character l was found used as a suffix on numeric constants.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The lowercase character "l" shall not be used in a literal suffix.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-7.3

(Required) The lowercase character "l" shall not be used in a literal suffix

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func()
{
    const int b = 0l;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func()
{
    const int a = 0L;
}
**MISRAC2012-Rule-7.4_a**

**Synopsis**
A string literal was found assigned to a variable that is not declared as constant.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A string literal shall not be assigned to an object unless the object's type is "pointer to const-qualified char".

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-7.4

(Required) A string literal shall not be assigned to an object unless the object's type is "pointer to const-qualified char"

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    char *s = "Hello, World!";
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    const char *s = "Hello, World!";
}
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-7.4_b**

**Synopsis**
Part of a string literal was found that is modified via the array subscript operator [].

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

---
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**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-7.4  
(Required) A string literal shall not be assigned to an object unless the object's type is "pointer to const-qualified char".

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    "012345"[0]++;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    const char *c = "01234";
}
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.1**

**Synopsis**  
An object or function of the type int is declared or defined, but its type is not explicitly stated.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/High

**Full description**  
(Required) Types shall be explicitly specified. This check is identical to DECL-implicit-int, MISRAC2004-8.2.

**Coding standards**  
CERT DCL31-C  
Declare identifiers before using them

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.1**  
(Required) Types shall be explicitly specified

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    x = 10;
}
```
void func(void)
{
    static y;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void func(void)
{
    int x;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2_a**

**Synopsis**
There are functions declared with an empty () parameter list that does not form a valid prototype.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Required) Function types shall be in prototype form with named parameters. This check is identical to FUNC-unprototyped-all, MISRAC2004-16.5.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL20-C
- Always specify void even if a function accepts no arguments

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.2**
- (Required) Function types shall be in prototype form with named parameters

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func();/* not a valid prototype in C */
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void func(void);
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2_b**

**Synopsis**
Function prototypes were found with unnamed parameters.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) Function types shall be in prototype form with named parameters. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.3.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.2
(Required) Function types shall be in prototype form with named parameters

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
char *strchr(const char *, int c);
void func(void)
{
    strchr("hello, world!\n", '!');
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
char *strchr(const char *, int c);
void func(void)
{
    strchr("hello, world!\n", '!');
}
**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.3**

**Synopsis**
Multiple declarations of an object or function were found that use different names and type qualifiers.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) All declarations of an object or function shall use the same names and type qualifiers. This check is identical to CERT-DCL40-C. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.3

(Required) All declarations of an object or function shall use the same names and type qualifiers

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/* file2.c:
const int x;
volatile int v;
*/
extern const unsigned int x;
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/* file2.c
extern const int x;
*/
const int x;

int foo(const int param) {
    return (param + 1);
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.4**

**Synopsis**
An extern definition is missing a compatible declaration.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) A compatible declaration shall be visible when an object or function with external linkage is defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>MISRA C.2012 Rule-8.4 (Required) A compatible declaration shall be visible when an object or function with external linkage is defined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Code examples**      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
```c
extern int x = 1;
char c = 'c';
void foo (void) {}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:  
```c
extern int x;
int x = 0;
extern void foo (void);
void foo (void) {}
static void bar1 (void){}
static void bar2 (void);
void bar2 (void) {}
``` |

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.5_a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Synopsis</strong></th>
<th>Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.8_a.

Coding standards:

- MISRA C:2004 8.8
  (Required) An external object or function shall be declared in one and only one file.
- MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.5
  (Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include"example.fail.h"

int x;
extern int x;
extern int x;

extern void fun(void);

void fun(void) {
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include"example.pass.h"

int x = 1;

void fun(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.5_b**

Synopsis: Multiple declarations of the same external object or function were found.

Enabled by default: Yes
### Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

### Full description

(Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.8_b. This is a link analysis check.

### Coding standards

**MISRA C:2004 8.8**

(Required) An external object or function shall be declared in one and only one file.

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.5**

(Required) An external object or function shall be declared once in one and only one file.

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/* file2.c
   extern int foo(int m);
*/
extern int foo(int m);
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/* file1.c
   extern int foo( int m );
*/

int foo(int m) {
    return m;
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-8.6

**Synopsis**

Multiple definitions or no definition were found for an external object or function.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) An identifier with external linkage shall have exactly one external definition. Note: This check is not part of C-STAT but detected by the IAR linker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2004 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) An external object or function shall be declared in one and only one file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) An identifier with external linkage shall have exactly one external definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int foo(int v);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int example() {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>return foo(3);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extern int x;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extern void example(void);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int x = 1;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.7**

**Synopsis** An externally linked object or function was found referenced in only one translation unit.

**Enabled by default** No
### Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

### Full description
(Advisory) Functions and objects should not be defined with external linkage if they are referenced in only one translation unit. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.10. This is a link analysis check.

### Coding standards
**MISRA C:2004 8.10**
(Required) All declarations and definitions of objects or functions at file scope shall have internal linkage unless external linkage is required.

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.7**
(Advisory) Functions and objects should not be defined with external linkage if they are referenced in only one translation unit

### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/* file1.c
 static void example (void) {
   // dummy function
 }
*/

/* extern linkage */
extern int x;

/* static linkage */
static void foo(void) {
  /* only referenced here */
  x = 1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/* file1.c
 static void example (void) {
   // dummy function
 }
*/

/* static linkage */
static void foo(void) {
  /* only referenced here */
  x = 1;
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-8.9_a

Synopsis
A global object was found that is only referenced from a single function.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) An object should be defined at block scope if its identifier only appears in a single function.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.9
(Advisory) An object should be defined at block scope if its identifier only appears in a single function

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
static int i = 10; // this object is only used inside the example function

int example(void) {
    return i;
}

void main() {
    printf("example() = %d\n", example());
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
/* static linkage */
static int x;

/* static linkage */
static void foo(void) {
    /* no linkage */
    int y = (x++);
    if(y < 10)
        foo();
}
```
int example(void) {
    int i = 10; // this object is only used inside the example function
    return i;
}

void main() {
    printf("example() = %d\n", example());
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-8.9_b

Synopsis
A global object was found that is only referenced from a single function.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) An object should be defined at block scope if its identifier only appears in a single function. This is a link analysis check.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.9
(Advisory) An object should be defined at block scope if its identifier only appears in a single function

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
static int i = 10; // this object is only used inside the example function

int example(void) {
    return i;
}

void main() {
    printf("example() = %d\n", example());
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int example(void) {
    int i = 10; // this object is only used inside the example function
    return i;
}

void main() {
    printf("example() = \%d\n", example());
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.10**

**Synopsis**
Inline functions were found that are not declared as static.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An inline function shall be declared with the static storage class.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.10
(Required) An inline function shall be declared with the static storage class

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
inline int example(int a) {
    return a + 1;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
inline static int example(int a) {
    return a + 1;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.11**

**Synopsis**
One or more external arrays are declared without their size being stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization.
### C-STAT checks

**Enabled by default**  No

**Severity/Certainty**  Low/Medium

**Full description**  (Advisory) When an array with external linkage is declared, its size should be explicitly specified. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.12, MISRAC++2008-3-1-3.

**Coding standards**  MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.11

(Advisory) When an array with external linkage is declared, its size should be explicitly specified

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern int a[];
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern int a[10];
extern int b[] = { 0, 1, 2 };  
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.12**

**Synopsis**  A duplicated implicit enumeration constant was found.

**Enabled by default**  Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  Medium/Medium

**Full description**  (Required) The value of an implicitly-specified enumeration constant shall be unique.

**Coding standards**  MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.12

(Required) Within an enumerator list, the value of an implicitly-specified enumeration constant shall be unique
Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
/* skink equals to geko */
enum lizards { goanna = 1, parentie = 2, skink, geko = 3 };
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
enum lizards { goanna, parentie, skink = 3, geko = 3 };
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.13**

**Synopsis**  A pointer was found that is not const-qualified.

**Enabled by default**  No

**Severity/Certainty**  Low/Medium

**Full description**  (Advisory) A pointer should be const-qualified whenever possible.

**Coding standards**  MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.13

(Advisory) A pointer should point to a const-qualified type whenever possible.

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int *p) {
    return *p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(const int *p) {
    return *p;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-8.14**

**Synopsis**  The restrict type qualifier was found used in function parameters.

**Enabled by default**  Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) The restrict type qualifier shall not be used.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-8.14

(Required) The restrict type qualifier shall not be used

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void * restrict p, void * restrict q, int n) {
    printf("Bad function!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void * p, void * q, int n) {
    printf("Bad function!\n");
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_a

Synopsis: A possible dereference of an uninitialized or NULL pointer was found.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/High

Full description: (Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set. This check is identical to PTR-uninit-pos, CERT-EXP33-C_c.

Coding standards: CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457

Use of Uninitialized Variable
CWE 824
Access of Uninitialized Pointer

MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.1

(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  // p is uninitialized
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p, a;
    p = &a;
    *p = 4;  // OK - p holds a valid address
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_b**

**Synopsis**
Read accesses from local buffers were found that are not preceded by writes.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_a, SPC-uninit-arr-all, CERT-EXP33-C_d.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP33-C
- Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
- Use of Uninitialized Variable
MISRAC2012 Rule-9.1

(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example() {
    int a[20];
    int b = a[1];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern void f(int*);
void example() {
    int a[20];
    f(a);
    int b = a[1];
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_c**

**Synopsis**

On all execution paths, there is a struct that has one or more fields read before they are initialized.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

High/Medium

**Full description**

(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-1.2_b, SPC-uninit-struct, CERT-EXP33-C_e.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457

Use of Uninitialized Variable
MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.1

(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct st {  
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    a = str.x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct st {  
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(int i) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    str.x = i;
    a = str.x;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_d**

**Synopsis**
A field of a local struct is read before it is initialized.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium
(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set. This check is identical to SPC-uninit-struct-field, CERT-EXP33-C_f.

Coding standards

CERT EXP33-C

Do not reference uninitialized memory

CWE 457

Use of Uninitialized Variable

MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.1

(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    a = str.x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct st {
    int x;
    int y;
};

void example(void) {
    int a;
    struct st str;
    str.x = 0;
    a = str.x;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_e

Synopsis

On all execution paths, there is a variable that is read before it is assigned a value.
**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set. This check is identical to SPC-uninit-var-all, MISRAC2004-9.1_a, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_a.

**Coding standards**
- CERT EXP33-C
  - Do not reference uninitialized memory
- CWE 457
  - Use of Uninitialized Variable
- MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.1
  - (Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x;
    x++;  //x is uninitialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x = 0;
    x++;
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_f**

**Synopsis**
A variable was found that might read before it is assigned a value.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/Low

Full description: (Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set. This check is identical to SPC-uninit-var-some, MISRAC2004-9.1_b, MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_b.

Coding standards:
- CWE 457 Use of Uninitialized Variable
- MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.1
  (Mandatory) The value of an object with automatic storage duration shall not be read before it has been set

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x, y;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    y = x;  //x may not be initialized
    return 0;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    /* x never read */
    return 0;
}
```
**MISRAC2012-Rule-9.2**

**Synopsis**
An initializer for an aggregate or union was found that is not enclosed in braces.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The initializer for an aggregate or union shall be enclosed in braces.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.2

(Required) The initializer for an aggregate or union shall be enclosed in braces.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[2][2] = { 1, 2, 3, 4 };
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[2][2] = { { 1, 2 }, { 3, 4 } };
}
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-9.3**

**Synopsis**
Arrays were found that are partially initialized.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Arrays shall not be partially initialized.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.3
(Required) Arrays shall not be partially initialized

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int y[3][3] = { { 1, 2, 3 }, { 4, 5, 6 } ;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int y[3][2] = { { 1, 2 }, { 3, 4 }, { 5, 6 } ;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-9.4

Synopsis
An object field was found that is initialized more than once. The last initialization will overwrite previous value(s).

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) An element of an object shall not be initialized more than once.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.4
(Required) An element of an object shall not be initialized more than once

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct example {
    int x;
    int y;
};

struct example object = { .x = 100, .x = 200 };
// object = { .x = 100, .y = 0 };
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct example {
    int x;
    int y;
};

struct example object = { .x = 100, .y = 200 }; // object = { .x = 100, .y = 200 }
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-9.5_a**

**Synopsis**
Arrays, initialized with designated initializers but with no fixed length, were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Where designated initializers are used to initialize an array object the size of the array shall be specified explicitly.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.5
(Required) Where designated initializers are used to initialize an array object the size of the array shall be specified explicitly

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a1[] = { [0] = 1 }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a1[10] = { [0] = 1 }
}
MISRAC2012-Rule-9.5_b

Synopsis
A flexible array member was found that is initialized with a designated initializer.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) Where designated initializers are used to initialize an array object the size of the array shall be specified explicitly.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-9.5
(Required) Where designated initializers are used to initialize an array object the size of the array shall be specified explicitly

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct A {
    int x;
    int y[];
};
struct A a1 = {1,{{[1]=2}}};

void example (void) {
    ...
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct A {
    int x;
    int y[2];
};
struct A a1 = {1,{{[1]=2}}};

void example (void) {
    ...
}
```
### MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R2

**Synopsis**
An operand was found that is not of essentially Boolean type, despite being interpreted as a Boolean value.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.1
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int d, c, b, a;
    d = ( c & a ) && b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef char boolean_t;/* Compliant: Boolean-by-enforcement */

void example(void) {
    boolean_t d;
    boolean_t c = 1;
    boolean_t b = 0;
    boolean_t a = 1;
    d = ( c && a ) && b;
}
```
**MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R3**

**Synopsis**
An operand was found that is of essentially Boolean type, despite being interpreted as a numeric value.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.1
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(bool b)
{
    bool x;
    bool y;
    y = x % b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(bool b)
{
    if (b)
    {
        bool x;
        bool y;
        y = x % b;
    }
```

"C-STAT checks"
typedef char boolean_t; /* Compliant: Boolean-by-enforcement */

void example(void)
{
    boolean_t d;
    boolean_t c = 1;
    boolean_t b = 0;
    boolean_t a = 1;

    d = (c && a) && b;
}

void func()
{
    bool x;
    bool y;
    y = x && y;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R4**

**Synopsis**  
An operand was found that is of essentially character type, despite being interpreted as a numeric value.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.1  
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    char a = 'a';
    char b = 'b';
    char c;
    c = a * b;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    char a = 'a';
    char b = 'b';
    char c;
    c = a + b;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R5**

**Synopsis**
An operand that is of essentially enum type is used in an arithmetic operation, because an enum object uses an implementation-defined integer type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.1
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
enum ens { ONE, TWO, THREE };

void func(ens b) {
    ens x;
    bool y;
    y = x | b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
enum ens { ONE, TWO, THREE };

void func(ens b)
{
    ens y;
    y = b;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R6**

**Synopsis**  
Shift and bitwise operations were found performed on operands of essentially signed type.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.1

(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = -(1U);
    x ^ 1;
    x & 0x7F;
    ((unsigned int)x) & 0x7F;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R7

Synopsis
The right-hand operand of a shift operator is not of essentially unsigned type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type. The right-hand operand of a shift operator is not of essentially unsigned type, meaning that undefined behavior might result from a negative shift.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.1
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a;
    unsigned int b;
    b << a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned int a;
    unsigned int b;
    b << a;
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R8

Synopsis
An operand of essentially unsigned typed is used as the operand to the unary minus operator.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type. An operand of essentially unsigned typed is used as the operand to the unary minus operator. This is problematic because the signedness of the result is determined by the implementation-defined size of int. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-3-2_a, MISRAC2004-12.9.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.1

(Required) Operands shall not be of an inappropriate essential type

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned int max = -1U;
    // use max = ~0U;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int neg_one = -1;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-10.2

Synopsis
Expressions of essentially character type were found used inappropriately in addition and subtraction operations.

Enabled by default
Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) Expressions of essentially character type shall not be used inappropriately in addition and subtraction operations.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.2
(Required) Expressions of essentially character type shall not be used inappropriately in addition and subtraction operations

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    char a = '9';
    char c = a + '0';
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a = 9;
    char dig = a + '0';
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-10.3**

Synopsis
The value of an expression was found assigned to an object with a narrower essential type or a different essential type category.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The value of an expression shall not be assigned to an object with a narrower essential type or of a different essential type category

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.3
Descriptions of checks

(Required) The value of an expression shall not be assigned to an object with a narrower essential type or of a different essential type category.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    char a = 'a';
    unsigned int b = 10;
    b = a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned int a = 10;
    unsigned int b = 5;
    b = a;
}
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-10.4_a**

**Synopsis**
Operands of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed were found, that do not have the same essential type category.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Both operands of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed shall have the same essential type category.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.4

(Required) Both operands of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed shall have the same essential type category.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    unsigned int a = 5;
    float f = 0.001f;
    a + f;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {
    int a = 10;
    int b = 10;
    a + b;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-10.4_b**

**Synopsis**  
The second and third operands of the ternary operator do not have the same essential type category.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Low

**Full description**  
(Required) The second and third operands of the ternary operator shall have the same essential type category.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.4

(Required) Both operands of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed shall have the same essential type category.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

void example(void) {  
    int x;  
    float y;  
    int z = (x > 0)?x:y;  
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MISRAC2012-Rule-10.5

Synopsis
A value of an expression was found that is cast to an inappropriate essential type.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) The value of an expression should not be cast to an inappropriate essential type.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.5

(Advisory) The value of an expression should not be cast to an inappropriate essential type

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdbool.h>
void example(void) {
    bool a = false;
    int s32a = (int) a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdbool.h>
void example(void) {
    bool a = false;
    bool b = (bool) a;
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-10.6

Synopsis
The value of a composite expression is assigned to an object with wider essential type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The value of a composite expression shall not be assigned to an object with wider essential type.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.6
(Required) The value of a composite expression shall not be assigned to an object with wider essential type.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>

void example(void) {
    uint16_t a = 5;
    uint16_t b = 10;
    uint32_t c;
    c = a + b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdint.h>

void example(void) {
    uint16_t a;
    uint16_t b;
    b = a + a;
}
MISRAC2012-Rule-10.7

Synopsis
An operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed was found, where a composite expression is used as one of the operands, but the other operand is of wider essential type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) If a composite expression is used as one operand of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed then the other operand shall not have wider essential type

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.7

(Required) If a composite expression is used as one operand of an operator in which the usual arithmetic conversions are performed then the other operand shall not have wider essential type

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(long l, short s) {
  l * ( s + s ); /* Implicit conversion of (ua + ua) */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(long l, short s) {
  l * s + s; /* No composite conversion */
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-10.8

Synopsis
A composite expression was found whose value is cast to a different essential type category or a wider essential type.

Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) The value of a composite expression shall not be cast to a different essential type category or a wider essential type.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-10.8

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int s16a = 3;
    int s16b = 3;

    // arithmetic makes it a complex expression
    long long x = (long long)(s16a + s16b);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int array[10];

    // A non complex expression is considered safe
    long x = (long)(array[5]);
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-11.1

Synopsis: Conversion between a pointer to a function and another type were found.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>(Required) Conversions shall not be performed between a pointer to a function and any other type. This check is identical to CERT-EXP39-C_b.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Coding standards | MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.1  
(Required) Conversions shall not be performed between a pointer to a function and any other type |
| Code examples | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
```c  
#include <stdlib.h>  
void example(void) {  
    int (*fptr)(int,int);  
    (int*)fptr;  
}  
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c  
typedef void ( *fp16 ) ( int n );  
typedef fp16 ( *pfpl6 ) ( void );  
void example(void) {  
    pfpl6 pfpl;  
    ( void ) ( *pfpl ( ) ); /* Compliant - exception 2 - cast function */  
    * pointer into void */  
}  
```

---

### MISRAC2012-Rule-11.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>A conversion from or to an incomplete type pointer was found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>(Required) Conversions shall not be performed between a pointer to an incomplete type and any other types. This check is identical to CERT-EXP39-C_c.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Required) Conversions shall not be performed between a pointer to an incomplete type and any other type

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct a;
struct b;
void example(void) {
    struct a * p1;
    struct b * p2;
    unsigned int x;
    p1 = (struct a *) 0x12345678;
    x = (unsigned int) p2;
    p1 = (struct a *) p2;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
struct a;
extern struct a *f (void);
void example(void) {
    struct a * p;
    unsigned int x;
    /* exception 1: NULL -> incomplete type ptr */
    p = (struct a *) NULL;
    /* exception 2: incomplete type ptr -> void */
    (void) f();
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-11.3**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to object type is cast to a pointer to a different object type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium
(Required) A cast shall not be performed between a pointer to object type and a pointer to a different object type. A pointer to object type is cast to a pointer to a different object type. Conversions of this type might be invalid if the new pointer type requires a stricter alignment. This check is identical to CERT-EXP39-C_d.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.3

(Required) A cast shall not be performed between a pointer to object type and a pointer to a different object type.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

typedef unsigned int uint32_t;
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t * p1;
    uint32_t * p2;
    p2 = (uint32_t *)p1;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef unsigned int uint32_t;
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t * p1;
    uint8_t * p2;
    p2 = (uint8_t *)p1;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-11.4

Synopsis

A cast between a pointer type and an integral type was found.

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium
Full description  (Advisory) A conversion should not be performed between a pointer to object and an integer type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-11.3, MISRAC++2008-5-2-9.

Coding standards  MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.4  
(Advisory) A conversion should not be performed between a pointer to object and an integer type.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    int x;
    x = (int)p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    int *x;
    x = p;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-11.5**

Synopsis  A conversion from a pointer to void into a pointer to object was found.

Enabled by default  No

Severity/Certainty  Medium/Medium

Full description  (Advisory) A conversion should not be performed from pointer to void into pointer to object. This check is identical to CERT-EXP36-C_b.

Coding standards  MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.5  
(Advisory) A conversion should not be performed from pointer to void into pointer to object.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int * x;
    void * y;
    x = y;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void) {}

MISRAC2012-Rule-11.6

Synopsis
A conversion between a pointer to void and an arithmetic type was found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) A cast shall not be performed between pointer to void and an arithmetic type.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.6
(Required) A cast shall not be performed between pointer to void and an arithmetic type

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

void example(void) {
    void * x;
    unsigned int y;
    x = (void *) 0x12345678;
    y = (unsigned int) x;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
C-STAT checks

`void example(void) {
    void * x;
    void * y;
    x = (void *) y;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-11.7

Synopsis
A cast between a pointer to object and a non-integer arithmetic type was found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) A cast shall not be performed between pointer to object and a non-integer arithmetic type. This check is identical to CERT-EXP39-C_e.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.7
(Required) A cast shall not be performed between pointer to object and a non-integer arithmetic type.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    float f;
    f = (float)p;  /* Non-compliant */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    short f;
    f = (short)p;
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-11.8

Synopsis
A cast that removes a const or volatile qualification was found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
(Required) A cast shall not remove any const or volatile qualification from the type pointed to by a pointer. A cast that removes a const or volatile qualification was found. This violates the principle of type qualification. Changes to the qualification of the pointer during the cast were not checked for. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-11.5, MISRAC++2008-5-2-5.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.8

(Required) A cast shall not remove any const or volatile qualification from the type pointed to by a pointer.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint16_t x;
    const uint16_t *pci;  /* pointer to const int */
    uint16_t *pi;        /* pointer to int */

    pi = (uint16_t *)pci;  // not compliant
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint16_t x;
    uint16_t * const cpi = &x; /* const pointer to int */
    uint16_t * pi;       /* pointer to int */

    pi = cpi; // compliant - no cast required
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-11.9**

**Synopsis**
An integer constant was found where the NULL macro should be.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The macro NULL shall be the only permitted form of integer null pointer constant

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-11.9

(Required) The macro NULL shall be the only permitted form of integer null pointer constant

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    char *a = malloc(sizeof(char) * 10);
    if (a != 0) {
        *a = 5;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MISRAC2012-Rule-12.1

Synopsis
Implicit operator precedence was detected, without parenthesis to make it explicit.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) The precedence of operators within expressions should be made explicit

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-12.1

(Advisory) The precedence of operators within expressions should be made explicit

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
    if (a != NULL) {
        *a = 5;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
`void example(void) {
    int i;
    int j;
    int k;
    int result;
    result = i + (j - k);
}`

**MISRAC2012-Rule-12.2**

**Synopsis**
Out of range shifts were found

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The right hand operand of a shift operator shall lie in the range zero to one less than the width in bits of the essential type of the left hand operand. This check is identical to ATH-shift-bounds, MISRAC2004-12.8, MISRAC++2008-5-8-1.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT34-C
Do not shift a negative number of bits or more bits than exist in the operand

CWE 682
Incorrect Calculation

MISRA C:2012 Rule-12.2
(Required) The right hand operand of a shift operator shall lie in the range zero to one less than the width in bits of the essential type of the left hand operand

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x, unsigned int y) {
    int shift = 33; // too big
    return 3U << shift;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    int y = 1;  // OK - this is within the correct range
    return x << y;
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-12.3

**Synopsis**
There are uses of the comma operator.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Advisory) The comma operator should not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.10, MISRAC++2008-5-18-1.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-12.3
(Advisory) The comma operator should not be used

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>
void reverse(char *string) {
    int i, j;
    j = strlen(string);
    for (i = 0; i < j; i++, j--) {
        char temp = string[i];
        string[i] = string[j];
        string[j] = temp;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <string.h>

void reverse(char *string) {
    int i;
    int length = strlen(string);
    int half_length = length / 2;
    for (i = 0; i < half_length; i++) {
        int opposite = length - i;
        char temp = string[i];
        string[i] = string[opposite];
        string[opposite] = temp;
    }
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-13.1

Synopsis  
The initialization list of an array contains side effects.

Enabled by default  
Yes

Severity/Certainty  
Medium/Medium

Full description  
(Required) Initializer lists shall not contain persistent side effects. This check is identical to SPC-init-list.

Coding standards  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-13.1

(Required) Initializer lists shall not contain persistent side effects

Code examples  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
volatile int v1;
extern void p ( int a[2] );
int x = 10;

void example(void) {
    int a[2] = { v1, 0 };
    p( (int[2]) { x++, x-- });
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[2] = { 1, 2 };
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2.a**

**Synopsis**
Expressions that depend on order of evaluation were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Required) The value of an expression and its persistent side effects shall be the same under all permitted evaluation orders. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-5-0-1.a, MISRAC2004-12.2.a, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3.i, SPC-order, CERT-EXP30-C.a.

**Coding standards**

- CERT EXP10-C
  Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place

- CERT EXP30-C
  Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

- CWE 696
  Incorrect Behavior Order

- MISRA C:2012 Rule-13.2
  (Required) The value of an expression and its persistent side effects shall be the same under all permitted evaluation orders

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    i = i * i++;  //unspecified order of operations
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;  
x = x * i;  //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_b

Synopsis
There are multiple read accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
(Required) The value of an expression and its persistent side effects shall be the same under all permitted evaluation orders This check is identical to SPC-volatile-reads, MISRAC2004-12.2_b, MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_b.

Coding standards
CERT EXP10-C
Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place

CERT EXP30-C
Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696
Incorrect Behavior Order
MISRA C:2012 Rule-13.2

(Required) The value of an expression and its persistent side effects shall be the same under all permitted evaluation orders.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v;
    x = v + v;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i;  //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_c**

**Synopsis**

There are multiple write accesses with volatile-qualified type within one and the same sequence point.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/High

**Full description**

(Required) The value of an expression and its persistent side effects shall be the same under all permitted evaluation orders. This check is identical to SPC-volatile-writes, MISRAC2004-12.2_c, MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_c.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP10-C

Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place.
CERT EXP30-C

Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696

Incorrect Behavior Order

MISRA C:2012 Rule-13.2

(Required) The value of an expression and its persistent side effects shall be the same under all permitted evaluation orders

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v, w;
    v = w = x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdbool.h>

void InitializeArray(int *);
const int *example(void)
{
    static volatile bool s_initialized = false;
    static int s_array[256];
    if (!s_initialized)
    {
        InitializeArray(s_array);
        s_initialized = true;
    }
    return s_array;
}
```

MISRA2012-Rule-13.3

Synopsis

The increment (++) and decrement (--) operators are being used mixed with other operators in an expression.

Enabled by default

No
Full description

(Advisory) A full expression containing an increment (++) or decrement (--) operator should have no other potential side effects other than that caused by the increment or decrement operator. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.13, MISRAC++2008-5-2-10.

Coding standards

MISRAC C:2012 Rule-13.3

(Advisory) A full expression containing an increment (++) or decrement (--) operator should have no other potential side effects other than that caused by the increment or decrement operator.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(char *src, char *dst) {
    while ((*src++ = *dst++));
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(char *src, char *dst) {
    while (*src) {
        *dst = *src;
        src++;
        dst++;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4_a**

**Synopsis**

An assignment might be mistakenly used as the condition for an if, for, while, or do statement.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High
(Advisory) The result of an assignment operator should not be used. This check is identical to EXP-cond-assign.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP18-C  
Do not perform assignments in selection statements

CERT EXP19-CPP  
Do not perform assignments in conditional expressions

CWE 481  
Assigning instead of Comparing

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-13.4**  
(Advisory) The result of an assignment operator should not be used

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
  int x = 2;
  if (x = 3)
    return 1;
  return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
  int x = 2;
  if (x == 3)
    return 1;
  return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4_b**

**Synopsis**  
Assignments were found in a sub-expression.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium
(Advisory) The result of an assignment operator should not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-2-1.

**MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4**

Synopsis: There are right-hand operands of && or || operators that contain side effects.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) The right hand operand of a logical && or || operator shall not contain persistent side effects. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.4, MISRAC++2008-5-14-1.

Coding standards: CWE 768
Incorrect Short Circuit Evaluation
MISRA C:2012 Rule-13.5

(Required) The right hand operand of a logical && or || operator shall not
contain persistent side effects

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = rand() && i++;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = rand() && i;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-13.6

Synopsis
The operand of the sizeof operator contains an expression that has potential side effects.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
(Mandatory) The operand of the sizeof operator shall not contain any expression which has potential side effects

Coding standards
CERT EXP06-C
Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects

CERT EXP06-CPP
Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects

MISRA C:2012 Rule-13.6
(Mandatory) The operand of the sizeof operator shall not contain any expression which has potential side effects

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = sizeof(i++);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = sizeof(i);
    i++;  
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-14.1_a

Synopsis

A loop counter were found having floating type.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

Full description

(Required) A loop counter shall not have essentially floating type. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-5-1_a, CERT-FLP30-C_a.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2012 Rule-14.1

(Required) A loop counter shall not have essentially floating type

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main() {
    for (float i = 0.0; i < 10.0; ++i)
    {
    }
    return 0;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main() {
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-14.1_b**

**Synopsis**
A variable of essentially float type that is used in the loop condition, is then modified in the loop body.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A loop counter shall not have essentially floating type This check is identical to CERT-FLP30-C_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-14.1

(Required) A loop counter shall not have essentially floating type

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a = 10;
    float f = 0.001f;

    while (f < 1.00f) {
        f = f + (float) a;
        a++;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
    int a = 10;
    float f = 0.001f;
    while (a < 30) {
        f = f + (float) a;
        a++;
    }
}

### MISRAC2012-Rule-14.2

**Synopsis**
A malformed for loop was found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) A for loop shall be well-formed.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-14.2
(Required) A for loop shall be well-formed

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int main(void) {
    int i;
    /* i is incremented inside the loop body */
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        i = i + 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int main(void) {
    int i;
    int x = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        x = i + 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3_a**

**Synopsis**
The condition in an if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will always be true.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Controlling expressions shall not be invariant This check is identical to RED-cond-always, MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP17-C
Do not perform bitwise operations in conditional expressions

MISRA C:2012 Rule-14.3
(Required) Controlling expressions shall not be invariant

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x++; x--);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x++; x++);
}
**MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3_b**

**Synopsis**
The condition in if, for, while, do-while, or ternary operator will never be true.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Controlling expressions shall not be invariant This check is identical to RED-cond-never, MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_b.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP17-C
Do not perform bitwise operations in conditional expressions
CWE 570
Expression is Always False
MISRA C:2012 Rule-14.3
(Required) Controlling expressions shall not be invariant

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x >= 1; x++);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x >= 0; x++);
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_a**

**Synopsis**
Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in do ... while statements.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_a, MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_a.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-14.4

(Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int func();

void example(void)
{
    do {
    } while (func());
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_b

Synopsis
Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in for loops.

Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_b, MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_b.

Coding standards: MISRA C.2012 Rule-14.4

(Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type.

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    for (int x = 10; x; --x) {} 
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    for (int x = 10; x; --x) {} 
}
```
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}

void example(void)
{
    for (fn(); fn3(); fn2())  // Compliant
    {
    }

    for (fn(); true; fn()) // Compliant
    {
        int * ptr = fn();
        if ( NULL == ptr )
        {
            break;
        }
    }

    for (int len = fn2(); len < 10; len++)  // Compliant
    ;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_c**

**Synopsis**
Non-Boolean conditions were found in `if` statements.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_c, MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>MISRA C.2012 Rule-14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    if (u8) {}  
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void)
{
    if (true) {}  
}
```
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}

void example(void)
{
    while (int *ptr = fn() )  // Compliant by exception
    {
    }

do
{
    int *ptr = fn();
    if ( NULL == ptr )
    {
        break;
    }
} while (true); // Compliant

while (int len = fn2() )  // Compliant by exception
{
    if (int *p = fn()) {}   // Compliant by exception
    if (int len = fn2() ) {} // Compliant by exception
    if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_d**

**Synopsis**
Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in while statements.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_d, MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_d.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-14.4

(Required) The controlling expression of an if statement and the controlling expression of an iteration-statement shall have essentially Boolean type.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    while (u8) {}  
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
  int * ptr;
  return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
  return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
  return true;
}

void example(void)
{
  while (int *ptr = fn() ) // Compliant by exception
  {
  }
  do
  {
    int *ptr = fn();
    if ( NULL == ptr )
    {
      break;
    }
  } while (true); // Compliant
  while (int len = fn2() ) // Compliant by exception
  {
  }
  if (int *p = fn()) {} // Compliant by exception
  if (int len = fn2() ) {} // Compliant by exception
  if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-15.1

Synopsis
Uses of the goto statement were found.

Enabled by default
No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Full description**

(Advisory) The goto statement should not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.4.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.1

(Advisory) The goto statement should not be used.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    goto testin;

testin:
    printf("Reached by goto");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    printf ("Not reached by goto");
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-15.2**

**Synopsis**

A goto statement is declared after the destination label.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Low
**Descriptions of checks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full description</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Required) The goto statement shall jump to a label declared later in the same function. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-6-2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding standards</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Required) The goto statement shall jump to a label declared later in the same function.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code examples</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>void f1 ( )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int j = 0;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for ( j = 0; j &lt; 10 ; ++j )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1: // Non-compliant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goto L1;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MISRAC2012-Rule-15.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synopsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: (Required) Any label referenced by a goto statement shall be declared in the same block, or in any block enclosing the goto statement. This check is identical to MISRA C++2008-6-6-1.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.3

(Required) Any label referenced by a goto statement shall be declared in the same block, or in any block enclosing the goto statement.

Code examples:

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f1 ( )
{
  int j = 0;
  goto L1;
  for (;;)
  {
    L1: // Non-compliant
    j;
  }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void f2 ()
{
  for(;;)
  {
    for(;;)
    {
      goto L1;
    }
  }
  L1:
  return;
}
```
### MISRAC2012-Rule-15.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
<td>One or more iteration statements are terminated by more than one break or goto statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Advisory) There should be no more than one break or goto statement used to terminate any iteration statement. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-6-6-4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
void func()
{
    int x = 1;
    for ( int i = 0; i < 10; i++ )
    {
        if ( x )
        {
            break;
        }
        else if ( i )
        {
            break; // Non-compliant – second jump from loop
        }
        else
        {
            // Code
        }
    }
}
int test1(int);
int test2(int);
void example(void)
{
    int i = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        if (test1(i)) {
            break;
        } else if (test2(i)) {
            break;
        }
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void)
{
    int i = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < 10 && i != 9; i++) {
        if (i == 9) {
            break;
        }
    }
}

void func()
{
    int x = 1;
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
    {
        if (x)
        {
            break;
        }
        else if (i)
        {
            while (true)
            {
                if (x)
                {
                    break;
                }
                do
                {
                    break;
                }
                while(true);
            }
        }
    }
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-15.5**

**Synopsis**
One or more functions have multiple exit points or an exit point that is not at the end of the function.

**Enabled by default**
No
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Advisory) A function should have a single point of exit at the end. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.7, MISRAC++2008-6-6-5.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.5
(Advisory) A function should have a single point of exit at the end.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
extern int errno;
void example(void) {
    if (errno) {
        return;
    }
    return;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
extern int errno;
void example(void) {
    if (errno) {
        goto end;
    }
end:
    {
        return;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_a**

Synopsis: There are missing braces in `do ... while` statements.

Enabled by default: Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Full description

(Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_a, MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_a.

#### Coding standards

- **CERT EXP19-C**
  - Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

- **CWE 483**
  - Incorrect Block Delimitation

- **MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.6**
  - (Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    do
        return 0;
    while (1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    do {
        return 0;
    } while (1);
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_b

#### Synopsis

There are missing braces in for statements.

#### Enabled by default

Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description:
(Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_b, MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_b.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP19-C
  - Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement
- CWE 483
  - Incorrect Block Delimitation
- MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.6
  - (Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example(void) {
    for (;;) { 
        return 0;
    }
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int example(void) {
    for (;;) {
        return 0;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_c**

Synopsis:
There are missing braces in if, else, or else if statements.

Enabled by default: Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  Low/Low

Full description  (Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.9, MISRAC++2008-6-4-1.

Coding standards  CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

MISRAC2012 Rule-15.6
(Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    if (random());
    if (random());
    else;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    if (random()) {
    }
    if (random()) {
    } else {
    }
    if (random()) {
    } else if (random()) {
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_d**

**Synopsis**  There are missing braces in `switch` statements.
C-STAT checks

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_c, MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_c.

Coding standards
CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.6
(Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    while(1);
    for(;;);
    do ;
    while (0);
    switch(0);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    while(1) {
    }
    for(;;) {
    }
    do {
    } while (0);
    switch(0) {
    }
}
```
Descriptions of checks

MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_e

Synopsis
There are missing braces in while statements.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_d, MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_d.

Coding standards
CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.6
(Required) The body of an iteration-statement or a selection-statement shall be a compound-statement

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    while (1)
        return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    while (1){
        return 0;
    }
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-15.7

Synopsis
If ... else if constructs that are not terminated with an else clause were detected.
C-STAT checks

Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/High

Full description: (Required) All if ... else if constructs shall be terminated with an else statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.10, MISRAC++2008-6-4-2.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-15.7
(Required) All if ... else if constructs shall be terminated with an else statement.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    if (!rand()) {
        printf("The first random number is 0");
    } else if (!rand()) {
        printf("The second random number is 0");
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    if (!rand()) {
        printf("The first random number is 0");
    } else if (!rand()) {
        printf("The second random number is 0");
    } else {
        printf("Neither random number was 0");
    }
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-16.1

Synopsis: Detected switch statements that do not conform to the MISRA C switch syntax.

Enabled by default: Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) All switch statements shall be well-formed. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.0, MISRAC++2008-6-4-3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>MISRA C:2012 Rule-16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) All switch statements shall be well-formed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
void example(void) {
    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            // WARNING: missing break at end of statement list
            default:
                break; // statement list ends in a break
    }

    switch(expr()) {
        // WARNING: missing at least one case label
        default:
            break; // statement list ends in a break
    }

    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        case 0:
            stmt();
            // WARNING: declaration list without block
            int decl = 0;
            int x;
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        default:
            break; // statement list ends in a break
    }

    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1: {
            // statement list
            stmt();
            // WARNING: Additional block inside of the case clause
            block
            {
                stmt();
            }
        }
    }
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    switch(expr()) {
    // at least one case label
    case 1:
        // statement list (no declarations)
        stmt();
        stmt();
        break; // statement list ends in a break
    case 0: {
        // one level of block is allowed
        // declaration list
        int decl = 0;
        // statement list
        stmt();
        stmt();
        break; // statement list ends in a break
    }
    case 2: // empty cases are allowed
    default:
        break; // statement list ends in a break
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-16.2**

**Synopsis**
Switch labels were found in nested blocks.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium
Full description (Required) A switch label shall only be used when the most closely-enclosing compound statement is the body of a switch statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.1, MISRAC++2008-6-4-4.

Coding standards MISRA C:2012 Rule-16.2

(Required) A switch label shall only be used when the most closely-enclosing compound statement is the body of a switch statement.

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    switch(rand()) {
        {case 1:}
        case 2;
        case 3;
        default;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    switch(rand()) {
        case 1;
        case 2;
        case 3;
        default:
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-16.3**

Synopsis Non-empty switch cases were found that are not terminated by a break.

Enabled by default Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) An unconditional break statement shall terminate every switch-clause. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.2, MISRAC++2008-6-4-5.

Coding standards
CERT MSC17-C
Finish every set of statements associated with a case label with a break statement

CWE 484
Omitted Break Statement in Switch

MISRA C:2012 Rule-16.3
(Required) An unconditional break statement shall terminate every switch-clause

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
    case 0:
        if (rand()) {
            break;
        }
    default:
        break;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
    case 0:
        break;
    default:
        break;
    }
}
```
`void example(int input) {`  

`switch(input) {`  

`case 0:`  

`if (rand()) {`  

`break;`  

`}`  

`break;`  

`default:`  

`break;`  

`}`  

`}`

**MISRAC2012-Rule-16.4**

**Synopsis**
Switch statements without a default clause were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Every switch statement shall have a default label

**Coding standards**
CWE 478  
Missing Default Case in Switch Statement

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-16.4**
(Required) Every switch statement shall have a default label

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int example(int x) {
    switch(x) {
        case 3:
            return 0;
            break;
        case 5:
            return 1;
            break;
        default:
            return 2;
            break;
    } 
    }

**MISRAC2012-Rule-16.5**

**Synopsis**  
A switch was found whose default label is neither the first nor the last label of the switch.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) A default label shall appear as either the first or the last switch label of a switch statement

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-16.5

(Required) A default label shall appear as either the first or the last switch label of a switch statement

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void test(int a) {
  switch (a) {
    case 1:
      a = 1;
      break;
    default:
      a = 10;
      break;
    case 2:
      a = 2;
      break;
  }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-16.6**

**Synopsis**
Switch statements without case clauses were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Every switch statement shall have at least two switch-clauses

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-16.6
(Required) Every switch statement shall have at least two switch-clauses

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
        default:
            return 2;
            break;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
        case 3:
            return 0;
            break;
        case 5:
            return 1;
            break;
        default:
            return 2;
            break;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-16.7**

**Synopsis**
A switch expression was found that represents a value that is effectively Boolean.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A switch-expression shall not have essentially Boolean type This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.4, MISRAC++2008-6-4-7.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-16.7
(Required) A switch-expression shall not have essentially Boolean type

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int x) {
    switch(x == 0) {
        case 0:
        case 1:
        default:
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int x) {
    switch(x) {
        case 1:
        case 0:
        default:
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-17.1**

**Synopsis**

Inclusion of the stdarg header file was detected.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) The features of `<stdarg.h>` shall not be used

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.1

(Required) The features of `<stdarg.h>` shall not be used

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdarg.h>

void example(int a, ...) {
    va_list vl;
    va_list v2;
    int val;
    va_start(vl, a);
    va_copy(vl, v2);
    val=va_arg(vl, int);
    va_end(vl);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(void) {
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_a**

**Synopsis**
There are functions that call themselves directly.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.2_a, MISRAC++2008-7.5-4_a.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.2

(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    example();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_b**

**Synopsis**
There are functions that call themselves indirectly.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.2_b, MISRAC++2008-7.5-4_b. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.2
(Required) Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void);
void callee(void) {
    example();
}
void example(void) {
    callee();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void);
void callee(void) {
    // example();
}
void example(void) {
    callee();
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-17.3**

**Synopsis**
Functions are used without prototyping.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Mandatory) A function shall not be declared implicitly This check is identical to FUNC-implicit-decl, MISRAC2004-8.1, CERT-DCL31-C.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL31-C
Declare identifiers before using them

MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.3
(Mandatory) A function shall not be declared implicitly

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void);
void func2(void)
{
    func();
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-17.4

Synopsis
For some execution paths, no return statement is executed in a function with a non-void return type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
(Mandatory) All exit paths from a function with non-void return type shall have an explicit return statement with an expression. This check is identical to SPC-return, MISRAC2004-16.8, MISRAC++2008-8-4-3.

Coding standards
CERT MSC37-C
Ensure that control never reaches the end of a non-void function

MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.4
(Mandatory) All exit paths from a function with non-void return type shall have an explicit return statement with an expression

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int example(void) {
    int x;
    scanf("%d", &x);
    if (x > 10) {
        return 10;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdio.h>

int example(void) {
    int x;
    scanf("%d",&x);
    if (x > 10) {
        return 10;
    }
    return 0;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-17.5**

**Synopsis**
A function call is made with the wrong array type argument.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) The function argument corresponding to a parameter declared to have an array type shall have an appropriate number of elements.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.5
(Advisory) The function argument corresponding to a parameter declared to have an array type shall have an appropriate number of elements

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void callee(int array[10]);

void caller(void) {
    int arr4[4];
    callee(arr4);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
```
void callee(int array[10]);

void caller(void) {
    int arr4[10];
    callee(arr4);
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-17.6**

**Synopsis**
There are array parameters with the *static* keyword between the `[]`.  

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Mandatory) The declaration of an array parameter shall not contain the static keyword between the `[ ]`

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.6
(Mandatory) The declaration of an array parameter shall not contain the static keyword between the `[ ]`

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int a[static 20]) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
        a[i] = i;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int a[20]) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
        a[i] = i;
    }
}  
```
### MISRAC2012-Rule-17.7

**Synopsis**
There are unused function return values (other than overloaded operators).

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The value returned by a function having non-void return type shall be used.

This check is identical to RED-unused-return-val, MISRAC++2008-0-1-7.

**Coding standards**
CWE 252
Unchecked Return Value
MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.7
(Required) The value returned by a function having non-void return type shall be used

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int func ( int para1 )
{
    return para1;
}

void discarded ( int para2 )
{
    func(para2);         // value discarded - Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int func ( int para1 )
{
    return para1;
}

int not_discarded ( int para2 )
{
    if (func(para2) > 5){
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-17.8**

**Synopsis**
A function parameter was found that is modified.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Advisory) A function parameter should not be modified.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-17.8
(Advisory) A function parameter should not be modified

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int p) {
    int a = p + 5;
    p = a;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *p) {
    *p = 5;
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_a

Synopsis
An array access is out of bounds.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
(Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c, CERT-ARR30-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT ARR33-C
Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.1
(Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x, int y)
{
    int a[10];
    if((x >= 0) && (x < 20)) {
        if(x < 10) {
            y = a[x];
        } else {
            y = a[x - 10];
            y = a[x];
        }
    }
    return y;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int a[4];
    a[3] = 0;
    return 0;
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_b

#### Synopsis
An array access might be out of bounds, depending on which path is executed.

#### Enabled by default
Yes

#### Severity/Certainty
High/High

#### Full description
(Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-pos, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_d, CERT-ARR30-C_b.

#### Coding standards
CERT ARR33-C

Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size

CWE 119
Descriptions of checks

Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.1
(Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int cond;
int main(void)
{
    int a[7];
    int x;
    if (cond)
        x = 3;
    else
        x = 20;
    a[x] = 0;  // x may be set to 20 in line 11
               // but a only has an interval of [0,6]
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int cond;

int main(void)
{
    int a[25];
    int x;
    if (cond)
        x = 3;
    else
        x = 20;
    a[x] = 0;  //here, both possible values of
               //x are in the interval [0,24]
    return 0;
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_c**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to an array is used outside the array bounds.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-ptr, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_e, CERT-ARR30-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR33-C
  Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size
CWE 119
  Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 120
  Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 121
  Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.1
(Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    p[10];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    p[9];
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_d**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to an array is potentially used outside the array bounds.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-ptr-pos, MISRAC++2008-5-0-16.f, CERT-ARR30-C.d.

Coding standards:
- CERT ARR33-C
  Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size
- CWE 119
  Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- CWE 120
  Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- CWE 121
  Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 122
  Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- CWE 124
  Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
- CWE 126
  Buffer Over-read
- CWE 127
  Buffer Under-read
- CWE 129
  Improper Validation of Array Index
- MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.1
  (Required) A pointer resulting from arithmetic on a pointer operand shall address an element of the same array as that pointer operand.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(int b) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    int x = (b<10 ? 8 : 11);
    p[x];
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(int b) {
    int arr[12];
    int *p = arr;
    int x = (b<10 ? 8 : 11);
    p[x];
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-18.2**

**Synopsis**
A subtraction was found between pointers that address elements of different arrays.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Subtraction between pointers shall only be applied to pointers that address elements of the same array. Note: This rule will only accept arrays of the form `<type> <name>[<size>]`. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.2, CERT-ARR36-C_a.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 17.2
(Required) Pointer subtraction shall only be applied to pointers that address elements of the same array.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.2
(Required) Subtraction between pointers shall only be applied to pointers that address elements of the same array.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:


```c
#include <stddef.h>

void example(void) {
    int a[20];
    int b[20];
    int *p1 = &a[5];
    int *p2 = &b[2];
    ptrdiff_t diff;
    diff = p2 - p1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

void example(void) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p1 = &arr[5];
    int *p2 = &arr[5];
    ptrdiff_t diff;
    diff = p2 - p1;
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-18.3

**Synopsis**
A relational operator was found applied to an object of pointer type that does not point into the same object.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The relational operators >, >=, < and <= shall not be applied to objects of pointer type except where they point into the same object. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.3, CERT-ARR36-C_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2004 17.3

(Required) >, >=, <, <= shall not be applied to pointer types except where they point to the same array.
MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.3

(Required) The relational operators >, >=, < and <= shall not be applied to objects of pointer type except where they point into the same object.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[10];
    int b[10];
    int *p1 = &a[1];
    if (p1 < b) {
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int a[10];
    int b[10];
    int *p1 = &a[1];
    if (p1 < a) {
    }
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-18.4**

**Synopsis**
A +, -, +=, or -= operator was found applied to an expression of pointer type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The +, -, += and -= operators should not be applied to an expression of pointer type.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.4

(Advisory) The +, -, += and -= operators should not be applied to an expression of pointer type.
MISRAC2012-Rule-18.5

Synopsis
Declarations that contain more than two levels of pointer indirection have been found.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) Declarations should contain no more than two levels of pointer nesting. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.5, MISRAC++2008-5-0-19.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.5
(Advisory) Declarations should contain no more than two levels of pointer nesting

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void) {
    int ***p;
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
    int **p;
}
### MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_a

**Synopsis**  
Might return address on the stack.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/High

**Full description**  
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack, MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_b, MISRAC2004-17.6_a, CERT-DCL30-C_a.

**Coding standards**  
- **CERT DCL30-C**  
  Declare objects with appropriate storage durations
- **CWE 562**  
  Return of Stack Variable Address
- **MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.6**  
  (Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *example(void) {
    int a[20];
    return a; //a is a local array
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int * example(void) {
    int *p,i;
    p = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    return p;  //OK - p is dynamically allocated
}
```
## MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_b

**Synopsis**
A stack address is stored in a global pointer.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_a, MISRAC2004-17.6_b, CERT-DCL30-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL30-C
- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
- Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

**MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.6**
- (Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int *px;
void example() {
    int i = 0;
    px = &i; // assigning the address of stack variable a to the global px
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(int *pz) {
    int x; int *px = &x;
    int *py = px; /* local variable */
    pz = px; /* parameter */
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_c

Synopsis
A stack address is stored in the field of a global struct.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global-field, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_b, MISRAC2004-17.6_c, CERT-DCL30-C_d.

Coding standards
CERT DCL30-C

- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466

- Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.6

- (Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i; //storing local address in global struct
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct S{
    int px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i;
}
```
#include <stdlib.h>

struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i;  //OK - the field is written to later
    s.px = NULL;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_d

Synopsis
A stack address is stored outside a function via a parameter.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-param, MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_c, MISRAC2004-17.6_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s, CERT-DCL30-C_e.

Coding standards
CERT DCL30-C

- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466

- Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

MISRAC C:2012 Rule-18.6

(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be copied to another object that persists after the first object has ceased to exist

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(int **ppx) {
    int x;
    ppx[0] = &x; //local address
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
static int y = 0;
void example3(int **ppx){
    *ppx = &y; //OK - static address
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-18.7**

**Synopsis**  Flexible array members are declared.

**Enabled by default**  Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  Medium/Medium

**Full description**  (Required) Flexible array members shall not be declared

**Coding standards**  MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.7

(Required) Flexible array members shall not be declared

**Code examples**  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
struct example {
    int size;
    int data[];
} example;

void function(void) {
    struct example *e;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
struct example {
    int size;
    int data[5];
} example;

void function(void) {
    struct example *e;
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-18.8

Synopsis There are arrays declared with a variable length.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty Medium/Medium

Full description (Required) Variable-length array types shall not be used

Coding standards MISRA C:2012 Rule-18.8

(Required) Variable-length array types shall not be used

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int a) {
    int arr[a];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int a) {
    int arr[10];
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-19.1

Synopsis Assignments from one field of a union to another were found.

Enabled by default Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  High/High

Full description  (Mandatory) An object shall not be assigned or copied to an overlapping object. This check is identical to UNION-overlap-assign, MISRAC2004-18.2, MISRAC++2008-0-2-1.

Coding standards  MISRA C:2012 Rule-19.1

(Mandatory) An object shall not be assigned or copied to an overlapping object

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    u.i = u.c[2];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    int x;
    x = (int)u.c[2];
    u.i = x;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-19.2

Synopsis  Unions were found.

Enabled by default  No
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Advisory) The union keyword should not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-18.4, MISRAC++2008-9-5-1.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-19.2
(Advisory) The union keyword should not be used

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
union cheat {
    int i;
    float f;
};

int example(float f) {
    union cheat u;
    u.f = f;
    return u.i;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return x;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-20.1

Synopsis
#include directives were found that are not first in the source file.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low
Descriptions of checks

Full description
(Advisory) #include directives should only be preceded by preprocessor directives or comments. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.1, MISRAC++2008-16-0-1.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 19.1
(Advisory) #include statements in a file should only be preceded by other preprocessor directives or comments.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.1
(Advisory) #include directives should only be preceded by preprocessor directives or comments

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int x;
#include <cstdio>
void example(void) {}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <cstdio>
void example(void) {}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-20.2

Synopsis
Illegal characters were found in the names of header files.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) The ',' or \ characters and the /* or // character sequences shall not occur in a header file name. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.2.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.2
(Required) The ',' or \ characters and the /* or // character sequences shall not occur in a header file name

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include "header.h"
void example(void) {}  
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-20.4_c89

**Synopsis**
A macro was found defined with the same name as a keyword.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) A macro shall not be defined with the same name as a keyword

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.4
(Required) A macro shall not be defined with the same name as a keyword

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define int some_other_type
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define unless( E ) if ( ! ( E ) ) /* Compliant */
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-20.4_c99

**Synopsis**
A macro was found defined with the same name as a keyword.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  Low/Low

Full description  (Required) A macro shall not be defined with the same name as a keyword

Coding standards  MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.4

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

/* The following example is compliant in C90, but not C99, 
because inline is not a keyword in C99. */

/* Remove inline if compiling for C90 */
#define inline

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#define unless( E ) if ( ! ( E ) ) /* Compliant */

MISRAC2012-Rule-20.5

Synopsis  Found occurrences of #undef.

Enabled by default  No

Severity/Certainty  Low/Low

Full description  (Advisory) #undef should not be used This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.6, MISRAC++2008-16-0-3.

Coding standards  MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.5

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define SYM

MISRAC2012-Rule-20.6_a

Synopsis
A preprocessing directive was found within a macro argument.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Low

Full description
(Required) Tokens that look like a preprocessing directive shall not occur within a macro argument. This check is identical to CERT-PRE32-C_a.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.6
(Required) Tokens that look like a preprocessing directive shall not occur within a macro argument

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void func(const char *src) {
    /* Validate the source string; calculate size */
    char *dest;
    /* malloc() destination string */
    memcpy(dest, src,
    #ifdef PLATFORM1
        12
    #else
        24
    #endif
        );
    /* ... */
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <string.h>

void func(const char *src) {
    /* Validate the source string; calculate size */
    char *dest;
    /* malloc() destination string */
    #ifdef PLATFORM1
        memcpy(dest, src, 12);
    #else
        memcpy(dest, src, 24);
    #endif
    /* ... */
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-20.6_b**

**Synopsis**
A preprocessing directive was found within a macro argument.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
(Required) Tokens that look like a preprocessing directive shall not occur within a macro argument. This check is identical to CERT-PRE32-C_b.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.6

(Required) Tokens that look like a preprocessing directive shall not occur within a macro argument.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define memcpy(a,b,c) _myfn(a,b,c)
void func(const char *src) {
    /* Validate the source string; calculate size */
    char *dest;
    /* malloc() destination string */
    memcpy(dest, src,
    #ifdef PLATFORM1
        12
    #else
        24
    #endif
    };
    /* ... */
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-20.7**

**Synopsis**
An expansion of macro parameters was found that is not enclosed in parentheses.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The expansion of macro parameters shall be enclosed in parentheses.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.7

(Required) Expressions resulting from the expansion of macro parameters shall be enclosed in parentheses

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int r;
    #define M( x, y ) ( x / y )
    r = M( 1 + 2, 1 - 2 );
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
static struct str {
    int val;
} s;

void example(void) {
    int r;
    int a[10];
    /* already enclosed in macro def*/
    #define M( x, y ) ( ( x ) << ( y ) )
    r = M( 1 + 2, 3 + 4 );

    /* no need after ## or # */
    #define N( x ) a [ ##x ] = (x)
    N ( 0 + 2 );

    /* no need after . or ->, member name */
    #define MEMBER( S, M ) ( S ).M
    r = MEMBER ( s, val );

    /* enclosed in inner macro */
    #define F( X ) G( X )
    #define G( Y ) ( Y )
    r = F ( 2 );

    /* enclosed at invocation site, even single literal should have parentheses */
    #define M( x, y ) ( x / y )
    r = M ( ( 1 ), ( 2 + 3 ) );
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-20.10

Synopsis  # and ## operators were found in macro definitions.

Enabled by default  No

Severity/Certainty  Low/Low

Full description  (Advisory) The # and ## preprocessor operators should not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.13, MISRAC++2008-16-3-2.

Coding standards  MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.10

(Advisory) The # and ## preprocessor operators should not be used.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

#define A(Y)#Y/* Non-compliant */

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#define A(x)(x)/* Compliant */

MISRAC2012-Rule-20.11

Synopsis  A macro parameter immediately following a # was found that is immediately followed by a ##.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  Medium/Medium

Full description  (Required) A macro parameter immediately following a # operator shall not immediately be followed by a ## operator. Note: This check is not part of C-STAT but detected by the IAR compiler.
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards

MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.11

(Required) A macro parameter immediately following a # operator shall not immediately be followed by a ## operator

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define AAA( a, b ) #a ## b
#define BBB 1
#define CCC( a, b ) BBB + ( #a ## b )
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define AAA( a ) #a
#define BBB( a, b ) a ## b
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-20.13

Synopsis

A line was found whose first token is # but that is not a valid preprocessing directive.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Low

Full description

(Required) A line whose first token is # shall be a valid preprocessing directive. Note: This check is not part of C-STAT but detected by the IAR compiler.

Coding standards

MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.13

(Required) A line whose first token is # shall be a valid preprocessing directive

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define hello
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define hello
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-20.14

Synopsis
Unbalanced #if/#endif preprocessor directives were found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) All #else, #elif, and #endif preprocessor directives shall reside in the same file as the #if, #ifdef, or #ifndef directive to which they are related. Note: This check is not part of C-STAT but detected by the IAR compiler.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-20.14

(Required) All #else, #elif and #endif preprocessor directives shall reside in the same file as the #if, #ifdef or #ifndef directive to which they are related

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#ifdef HAS_INCLUDE_H
#include "include.h"
/* include.h content:
#endif
*/

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#ifdef HAS_INCLUDE_H
#include "include.h"
#endif
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-21.1

Synopsis
Detected a #define or #undef of a reserved identifier in the standard library.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) #define and #undef shall not be used on a reserved identifier or reserved macro name. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.1, MISRAC++2008-17-0-1.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.1
(Required) #define and #undef shall not be used on a reserved identifier or reserved macro name

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#define __TIME__ 11111111 /* Non-compliant */
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#define A(x) (x) /* Compliant */

MISRAC2012-Rule-21.2

Synopsis
One or more library functions are being overridden.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) A reserved identifier or macro name shall not be declared. This check is identical to MISRAC++2008-17-0-3, MISRAC2004-20.2.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2004 20.2
(Required) The names of Standard Library macros, objects, and functions shall not be reused.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.2

(Required) A reserved identifier or macro name shall not be declared

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern "C" void strcpy(void);
void strcpy(void) {} 
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern "C" void bar(void);
void foo(void) {} 
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-21.3**

**Synopsis**

Uses of malloc, calloc, realloc, or free were found.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) The memory allocation and deallocation functions of `<stdlib.h>` shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.4, MISRAC++2008-18-4-1.

**Coding standards**

MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.3

(Required) The memory allocation and deallocation functions of `<stdlib.h>` shall not be used.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void *example(void) {
    return malloc(100);
}
```
Descriptions of checks

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-21.4

**Synopsis**
Found uses of setjmp.h.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The standard header file setjmp.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.7, MISRAC++2008-17-0-5.

**Coding standards**
CERT ERR34-CPP
Do not use longjmp
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.4
(Required) The standard header file <setjmp.h> shall not be used.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <setjmp.h>
jmp_buf ex;
void example(void) {
    setjmp(ex);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```
### MISRAC2012-Rule-21.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Uses of signal.h were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Full description

(Required) The standard header file signal.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.8, MISRAC++2008-18-7-1.

#### Coding standards

MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.5

(Required) The standard header file <signal.h> shall not be used

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <signal.h>
#include <stddef.h>

void example(void) {
    signal(SIGFPE, NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    }
```

### MISRAC2012-Rule-21.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Uses of stdio.h were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Descriptions of checks

Full description
(Required) The Standard Library input/output functions shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.9, MISRAC++2008-27.0-1.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.6
(Required) The Standard Library input/output functions shall not be used

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
}

MISRAC2012-Rule-21.7

Synopsis
Uses of atof, atoi, atol, and atoll were found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) The atof, atoi, atol, and atoll functions of stdlib.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.10, MISRAC++2008-18.0-2.

Coding standards
CERT INT06-C
Use strtol() or a related function to convert a string token to an integer

MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.7
(Required) The atof, atoi, atol and atoll functions of stdlib.h shall not be used

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(char buf[]) {
    return atoi(buf);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
}

**MISRAC2012-Rule-21.8**

**Synopsis**
Uses of abort, exit, getenv, and system were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The library functions abort, exit, getenv and system of stdlib.h shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.11, MISRAC++2008-18-0-3.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.8

(Required) The library functions abort, exit, getenv and system of <stdlib.h> shall not be used

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    abort();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
}
**MISRAC2012-Rule-21.9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Synopsis</strong></th>
<th>Uses of the library functions bsearch and qsort in stdlib.h were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Medium/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full description**

(Required) The library functions bsearch and qsort of stdlib.h shall not be used

**Coding standards**

MISRAC2012 Rule-21.9

(Required) The library functions bsearch and qsort of <stdlib.h> shall not be used

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int values[] = { 40, 10, 100, 90, 20, 25};

int compare (const void * a, const void * b) {
    return *(int*)a - *(int*)b;
}

int main () {
    qsort (values, 6, sizeof(int), compare);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

int values[] = { 40, 10, 100, 90, 20, 25 };

int compare (const void * a, const void * b) {
    return (*(int*)a - *(int*)b);
}

int main () {
    return 0;
}

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-21.10**

**Synopsis**
Use of the following time.h functions was found: asctime, clock, ctime, difftime, gmtime, localtime, mktime, strftime, and time.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The Standard Library time and date functions shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.12, MISRAC++2008-18-0-4.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.10

(Required) The Standard Library time and date functions shall not be used.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
#include <time.h>

time_t example(void) {
    return time(NULL);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-21.11**

**Synopsis**
Use of the standard header file tgmath.h was found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The standard header file tgmath.h shall not be used

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.11
(Required) The standard header file <tgmath.h> shall not be used

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <tgmath.h>
float f1, f2;
void example(void) {
    f1 = sqrt(f2);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <math.h>
float f1, f2;
void example(void) {
    f1 = sqrt(f2);
}
**MISRAC2012-Rule-21.12_a**

**Synopsis**  
The exception-handling features of `<fenv.h>` are used.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/High

**Full description**  
(Advisory) The exception-handling features of `<fenv.h>` should not be used.

**Coding standards**  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.12  
(Advisory) The exception handling features of `<fenv.h>` should not be used

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <fenv.h>
void f ()
{
    feclearexcept ( FE_DIVBYZERO );
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <fenv.h>
void f ()
{
    /* ... */
}
```

---

**MISRAC2012-Rule-21.12_b**

**Synopsis**  
Macros are used in `<fenv.h>`.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/High
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**
(Advisory) The exception handling features of `<fenv.h>` should not be used.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.12
(Advisory) The exception handling features of `<fenv.h>` should not be used

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <fenv.h>
void example(void) {
  feclearexcept(FE_INEXACT);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <fenv.h>
void example(void) {
  /* including the header but not used its features */
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_a**

**Synopsis**
A memory leak due to incorrect deallocation was detected.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/Low

**Full description**
(Required) All resources obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions shall be explicitly released This check is identical to MEM-leak, SEC-BUFFER-memory-leak, CERT-MEM31-C.

**Coding standards**
CERT MEM31-C
Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once
CWE 401
Improper Release of Memory Before Removing Last Reference (‘Memory Leak’)
CWE 772
Missing Release of Resource after Effective Lifetime

MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.1

(Required) All resources obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions shall be explicitly released

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    ptr = NULL; //losing reference to the allocated memory
    free(ptr);
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    if (rand() < 5) {
        free(ptr);
    } else {
        free(ptr);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-22.1_b

Synopsis
A file pointer is never closed.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty  Medium/Medium

Full description  (Required) All resources obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions shall be explicitly released. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Dir-4.13_c, RESOURCE-file-no-close-all, SEC-FILEOP-open-no-close, CERT-FIO42-C_a.

Coding standards  CWE 404

Improper Resource Shutdown or Release

MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.1
(Required) All resources obtained dynamically by means of Standard Library functions shall be explicitly released

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *fp = fopen("test.txt", "c");
    fclose(fp);
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_a

Synopsis  A memory location is freed more than once.

Enabled by default  Yes
**Severity/Certainty**
High/Medium

**Full description**
(Mandatory) A block of memory shall only be freed if it was allocated by means of a Standard Library function. This check is identical to MEM-double-free.

**Coding standards**
CERT MEM31-C
Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

CWE 415
Double Free

MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.2
(Mandatory) A block of memory shall only be freed if it was allocated by means of a Standard Library function

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void f(int *p) {
    free(p);
    if(p) free(p);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *p=malloc(4);
    free(p);
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_b**

**Synopsis**
Freeing a memory location more than once on some paths but not others.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Desired Checks

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Mandatory) A block of memory shall only be freed if it was allocated by means of a Standard Library function. This check is identical to MEM-double-free-some.

Coding standards
CERT MEM31-C
Free dynamically allocated memory exactly once

CWE 415
Double Free

MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.2
(Mandatory) A block of memory shall only be freed if it was allocated by means of a Standard Library function.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    free(ptr);
    if(rand() % 2 == 0)
    {
        free(ptr);
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void) {
    int *ptr = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int));
    if(rand() % 2 == 0)
    {
        free(ptr);
    }
    else
    {
        free(ptr);
    }
}
MISRAC2012-Rule-22.2_c

Synopsis  
A stack address might be freed.

Enabled by default  
Yes

Severity/Certainty  
High/High

Full description  
(Mandatory) A block of memory shall only be freed if it was allocated by means of a Standard Library function. This check is identical to MEM-free-variable, CERT-MEM34-C_a.

Coding standards  
CERT MEM34-C
  Only free memory allocated dynamically

CWE 590
  Free of Memory not on the Heap

MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.2
  (Mandatory) A block of memory shall only be freed if it was allocated by means of a Standard Library function

Code examples  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
void example(void){
  int x=0;
  free(&x);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
  int *p;
  p = (int *)malloc(sizeof( int));
  free(p);
}
```
**MISRAC2012-Rule-22.3**

*Synopsis*  
A file was found that is open for read and write access at the same time on different streams.

*Enabled by default*  
Yes

*Severity/Certainty*  
Medium/Medium

*Full description*  
(Required) The same file shall not be open for read and write access at the same time on different streams.

*Coding standards*  
MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.3  
(Required) The same file shall not be open for read and write access at the same time on different streams

*Code examples*  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1 = fopen("foo", "r");
    FILE *f2;
    f2 = fopen("foo", "w");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1 = fopen("foo", "r");
    FILE *f2;
    fclose(f1);
    f2 = fopen("foo", "r");
}
```
MISRAC2012-Rule-22.4

Synopsis
A file opened as read-only is written to.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Mandatory) There shall be no attempt to write to a stream which has been opened as read-only. This check is identical to RESOURCE-write-ronly-file.

Coding standards
MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.4
(Mandatory) There shall be no attempt to write to a stream which has been opened as read-only.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test-file.txt", "r");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!");
    fclose(f1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test-file.txt", "r+");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!");
    fclose(f1);
}
```
**MISRAC2012-Rule-22.5_a**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to a FILE object is dereferenced.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Mandatory) A pointer to a FILE object shall not be dereferenced. This check is identical to RESOURCE-deref-file.

**Coding standards**
MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.5

(Mandatory) A pointer to a FILE object shall not be dereferenced.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    FILE *f2;
    *f2 = *f1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    FILE *f2;

    f1 = f2;
}
```

**MISRAC2012-Rule-22.5_b**

**Synopsis**
A file pointer was found that is implicitly dereferenced by a library function.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Mandatory) A pointer to a FILE object shall not be dereferenced. This check is identical to RESOURCE-implicit-deref-file.

Coding standards: MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.5
(Mandatory) A pointer to a FILE object shall not be dereferenced.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *ptr1 = fopen("hello", "r");
    int *a;
    memcpy(ptr1, a, 10);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *ptr1;
    int *a;
    memcpy(a, a, 0);
}
```

MISRAC2012-Rule-22.6

Synopsis: A file pointer was found that is used after it has been closed.

Enabled by default: Yes
### Descriptions of checks

**Severity/Certainty**
- Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Mandatory) The value of a pointer to a FILE shall not be used after the associated stream has been closed

**Coding standards**
- MISRA C:2012 Rule-22.6

(Mandatory) The value of a pointer to a FILE shall not be used after the associated stream has been closed

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fclose(f1);
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    FILE *f1;
    f1 = fopen("test_file", "w");
    fprintf(f1, "Hello, World!\n");
    fclose(f1);
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-0-1-1

**Synopsis**
A part of the application is never executed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
### Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

### Full description
(Required) A project shall not contain unreachable code. This check is identical to RED-dead, MISRAC2004-14.1, MISRAC++2008-0-1-9, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_b.

### Coding standards
CERT MSC07-C
   Detect and remove dead code
CWE 561
   Dead Code

### Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
        case 0:
            return 1;
            printf("Hello!"); // This line cannot execute.
        default:
            return -1;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
        case 0:
            printf("Hello!"); // This line can execute.
            return 1;
        default:
            return -1;
    }
}
```
**MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_a**

**Synopsis**
The condition in if, for, while, do-while statement sequences and the ternary operator is always met.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A project shall not contain infeasible paths. This check is identical to RED-cond-always, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3.a.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP17-C

*Do not perform bitwise operations in conditional expressions*

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && 1; x--);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && 1; x++);
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_b**

**Synopsis**
The condition in if, for, while, do-while statement sequences and the ternary operator will never be met.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) A project shall not contain infeasible paths. This check is identical to RED-cond-never, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3_b.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP17-C
  - Do not perform bitwise operations in conditional expressions
- CWE 570
  - Expression is Always False

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x >= 1; x++);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 5;
    for (x = 0; x < 6 && x >= 0; x++);
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_c**

Synopsis: A case statement within a switch statement is unreachable.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) A project shall not contain infeasible paths. This check is identical to RED-case-reach, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_a.
Coding standards

CERT MSC07-C

Detect and remove dead code

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    switch(2 * x) {
        case 42 :  //unreachable case, as x is 84
          ;
        default :
          ;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = 42;

    switch(2 * x) {
        case 84 :
          ;
        default :
          ;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-3**

**Synopsis**

A variable is never read or written during execution.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

**Full description**

(Required) A project shall not contain unused variables. This check is identical to RED-unused-var-all.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards  CERT MSC13-C
Detect and remove unused values

CWE 563
Unused Variable

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example(void) {
    int x;  //this value is not used
    return 0;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int example(void) {
    int x = 0;  //OK - x is returned
    return x;
}
```

MISRAC++2008-0-1-4_a

Synopsis  A variable is only used once.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  Low/Medium

Full description  (Required) A project shall not contain non-volatile POD variables having only one use.

Coding standards  CWE 563
Unused Variable

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int example(void) {
    int x = 1;
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int example(void) {
    int x;
    x = 20;
    return x;
}

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-4_b**

**Synopsis**
A global variable is only used once.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A project shall not contain non-volatile POD variables having only one use.

**Coding standards**
CWE 563
Unused Variable

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int x = 1;
int example(void) {
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int example(void) {
    int x;
    x = 20;
    return x;
}

MISRAC++2008-0-1-6

Synopsis
A variable is assigned a value that is never used.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) A project shall not contain instances of non-volatile variables being given values that are never subsequently used. This check is identical to RED-unused-val, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_c.

Coding standards
CWE 563
Unused Variable

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x;
    x = 20;
    x = 3;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
Descriptions of checks

```c
int example(void) {
    int x;
    x = 20;
    return x;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>There are unused function return values (excluding overloaded operators)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description (Required)</td>
<td>The value returned by a function having a non-void return type that is not an overloaded operator shall always be used. This check is identical to RED-unused-return-val, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>CWE 252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unchecked Return Value

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int func ( int para1 )
{
    return para1;
}

void discarded ( int para2 )
{
    func(para2);        // value discarded - Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int func ( int para1 )
{
    return para1;
}

int not_discarded ( int para2 )
{
    if (func(para2) > 5){
        return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-8**

**Synopsis**
There are functions with no effect. A function with no return type and no side effects effectively does nothing.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) All functions with void return type shall have external side effect(s). This check is identical to RED-func-no-effect.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void pointless (int i, char c)
{
    int local;
    local = 0;
    local = i;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void func(int *i)
{
    int p;
    p = *i;
    int *ptr;
    ptr = i;
    *i = p;
    (*i)++;
}

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-9**

**Synopsis**
A part of the application is never executed.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) There shall be no dead code. This check is identical to RED-dead, MISRAC2004-14.1, MISRAC++2008-0-1-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_b.

**Coding standards**
CERT MSC07-C

- Detect and remove dead code

CWE 561

- Dead Code

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
        case 0:
            return 1;
            printf("Hello!"); // This line cannot execute.
        default:
            return -1;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <stdio.h>

int f(int mode) {
    switch (mode) {
        case 0:
            printf("Hello!"); // This line can execute.
            return 1;
        default:
            return -1;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-0-1-11**

Synopsis  
A function parameter is declared but not used.

Enabled by default  
Yes

Severity/Certainty  
Low/Medium

Full description  
(Required) There shall be no unused parameters (named or unnamed) in nonvirtual functions. This check is identical to RED-unused-param, MISRAC2012-Rule-2.7.

Coding standards  
CWE 563

Unused Variable
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x) {
    /* `x' is not used */
    return 20;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return x + 20;
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-0-2-1

**Synopsis**
There are assignments from one field of a union to another.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Required) An object shall not be assigned to an overlapping object. This check is identical to UNION-overlap-assign, MISRAC2004-18.2, MISRAC2012-Rule-19.1.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    u.i = u.c[2];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void)
{
    union
    {
        char c[5];
        int i;
    } u;
    int x;
    x = (int)u.c[2];
    u.i = x;
}

**MISRAC++2008-0-3-2**

**Synopsis**
The return value for a library function that might return an error value is not used.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) If a function generates error information, then that error information shall be tested. This check is identical to LIB-return-error, MISRAC2004-16.10.

**Coding standards**
CWE 252
Unchecked Return Value

CWE 394
Unexpected Status Code or Return Value

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    malloc(sizeof(int));  // This function could fail,
    // and the return value is
    // not checked
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int *x = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));  // OK - return value
    // is stored
}

## MISRAC++2008-2-7-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Detected /* inside comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Full description

(Required) The character sequence /* shall not be used within a C-style comment. This check is identical to COMMENT-nested, MISRAC2004-2.3.

### Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    /* This comment starts here
    /* Nested comment starts here
    */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    /* This comment starts here */
    /* Nested comment starts here */
}
```
**MISRAC++2008-2-7-2**

**Synopsis**
Commented-out code has been detected. (To allow comments to contain pseudo-code or code samples, only comments that end in ;, {, or } characters are considered to be commented-out code.)

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Sections of code shall not be "commented out" using C-style comments.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    /*
    int i;
    */
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    #if 0
    int i;
    #endif
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-2-7-3**

**Synopsis**
Commented-out code has been detected. (To allow comments to contain pseudo-code or code samples, only comments that end in ';', ']', or ']' characters are considered to be commented-out code.)

**Enabled by default**
No
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

Full description

(Advisory) Sections of code should not be "commented out" using C++ comments.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    //int i;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    #if 0
    int i;
    #endif
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-2-10-1**

Synopsis

Two identifiers have names that can be confused with each other.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Low

Full description

(Required) Different identifiers shall be typographically unambiguous.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
MISRAC++2008-2-10-2 (C++ only)

Synopsis
There are identifier names that are not distinct from other names in an outer scope.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) Identifiers declared in an inner scope shall not hide an identifier declared in an outer scope.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    char idB_S;
    char idB_5;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    char idB_5rm;
    char idB_irh;
}
```
extern int f2(void);
extern int f3(void);
extern int n01_param_hides_var;
extern int n02_var_hides_var;
void n03_var_hides_function (void) {}

union n04_var_hides_union_tag {
    int v1;
    unsigned int v2;
};
enum n05_var_hides_enum_tag {
    n06_var_hides_enum_const,
};
extern int n07_type_hides_var;

struct n08_var_hides_class1 {
    int n09_var_hides_mem;
};

class n10_var_hides_class2 {
    int cm1;
};

void f1(int n01_param_hides_var) {
    int n02_var_hides_var;
    int n03_var_hides_function;
    int n04_var_hides_union_tag;
    int n05_var_hides_enum_tag;
    int n06_var_hides_enum_const;

    switch(f2()) {
    case 1: {
        typedef int n07_type_hides_var;
        int n08_var_hides_class1;
        int n09_var_hides_mem;
        int n10_var_hides_class2;
        do {
            struct n11_var_hides_struct_tag {
                int ff1;
            } b;
            if(f3()) {
                int n11_var_hides_struct_tag = 1;
            }
        } while(f2());
    }
    }
}
namespace ns1 {
    int n12_var_hides_var_ns;
    void f4(void) {
        int n12_var_hides_var_ns;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

namespace ns1 {
    int n16_var_hides_var_ns;
}

namespace ns2 {
    void f2(void) {
        int n16_var_hides_var_ns;
    }
}

### MISRAC++2008-2-10-3

**Synopsis**
A typedef with this name has already been declared.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A typedef name (including qualification, if any) shall be a unique identifier. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-5.3, MISRAC2012-Rule-5.6. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef int WIDTH;

void f1()
{
    WIDTH w1;
}

void f2()
{
    void f1()
    {
        WIDTH w1;
    }

typedef float WIDTH;
    WIDTH w2;
    WIDTH w3;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

namespace NS1
{
    typedef int WIDTH;
}
// f2.cc
namespace NS2
{
    typedef float WIDTH; // Compliant - NS2::WIDTH is not the same as NS1::WIDTH
}
NS1::WIDTH w1;
NS2::WIDTH w2;

**MISRAC++2008-2-10-4**

**Synopsis**
A class, struct, union, or enum declaration clashes with a previous declaration.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A class, union or enum name (including qualification, if any) shall be a unique identifier. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-5.4, MISRAC2012-Rule-5.7. This is a link analysis check.
C-STAT checks

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f1()
{
    class TYPE {};
}

void f2()
{
    float TYPE; // non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
enum ENS {ONE, TWO };

void f1()
{
    class TYPE {};
}

void f4()
{
    union GRRR {
        int i;
        float f;
    };
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-2-10-5**

**Synopsis**
An identifier is used that might clash with another static identifier.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium
(Advisory) The identifier name of a non-member object or function with static storage duration should not be reused. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-5.5.

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
namespace NS1 {
    static int global = 0;
}
namespace NS2 {
    void fn() {
        int global; // Non-compliant
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
namespace NS1 {
    int global = 0;
}
namespace NS2 {
    void f1() {
        int global; // Non-compliant
    }
}
void f2() {
    static int global;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-2-10-6 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**

There is a clash with type names.
Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) If an identifier refers to a type, it shall not also refer to an object or a function in the same scope.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct foo {
    int x;
};
void foo();
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func()
{
    typedef struct vector { int x ; int y; int z; } a_vector;
    struct vector2 { int x ; int y; int z; } a_vector2;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-2-13-2**

Synopsis: Octal integer constants are used.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium
## Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Full description</th>
<th>Coding standards</th>
<th>Code examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Required) Octal constants (other than zero) and octal escape sequences (other than 0) shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-7.1, MISRAC2012-Rule-7.1.</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning: void func(void) { int x = 077;} The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue: void func(void) { int x = 63;}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MISRAC++2008-2-13-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synopsis</td>
<td>There are unsigned integer constants without a U suffix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) A &quot;U&quot; suffix shall be applied to all octal or hexadecimal integer literals of unsigned type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-10.6, MISRAC2012-Rule-7.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```c
void example(void) {
    // 2147483648 -- does not fit in 31 bits
    unsigned int x = 0x80000000;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
    unsigned int x = 0x80000000u;
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-2-13-4_a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Suffixes on floating-point constants are lower case.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) Literal suffixes shall be upper case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdint.h>

void func()
{
    float l = 2.4l;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
MISRAC++2008-2-13-4_b

Synopsis
Suffixes on integer constants are lower case.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) Literal suffixes shall be upper case.

Coding standards
CERT DCL16-C
Use 'L', not 'l', to indicate a long value
CERT DCL16-CPP
Use 'L', not 'l', to indicate a long value

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>

void func()
{
    uint32_t    a = 0U;
    int64_t     c = 0L;
    uint64_t    e = 0UL;
    uint32_t    g = 0x12bU;
    float       i = 1.2F;
    float       k = 1.2L;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdint.h>

void func()
{
    uint32_t    a = 0U;
    int64_t     c = 0L;
    uint64_t    e = 0UL;
    uint32_t    g = 0x12bU;
    float       i = 1.2F;
    float       k = 1.2L;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-3-1-1**

**Synopsis**
Non-inline functions have been defined in header files.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) It shall be possible to include any header file in multiple translation units without violating the One Definition Rule. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.5_b.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
Descriptions of checks

Synopsis
One or more external arrays are declared without their size being stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) When an array is declared, its size shall either be stated explicitly or defined implicitly by initialization. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-8.12, MISRAC2012-Rule-8.11.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern int a[];
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern int a[10];
extern int b[] = { 0, 1, 2 };
```

**MISRAC++2008-3.9.2**

**Synopsis**
There are uses of the basic types char, int, short, long, double, and float without a typedef.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Advisory) typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic numerical types. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-6.3, MISRAC2012-Dir-4.6.a.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef signed char SCHAR;
typedef int INT;
typedef float FLOAT;

INT func(FLOAT f, INT *pi)
{
    INT x;
    INT (*fp)(const char *);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef signed char SCHAR;
typedef int INT;
typedef float FLOAT;
```
typedef signed char SCHAR;
typedef int INT;
typedef float FLOAT;

INT func(FLOAT f, INT *pi)
{
    INT x;
    INT (*fp)(const SCHAR *);
}

**MISRAC++2008-3-9-3**

**Synopsis**
An expression provides access to the bit-representation of a floating-point variable.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The underlying bit representations of floating-point values shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.12_b.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(float f) {
    int * x = (int *)&f;
    int i = *x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(float f) {
    int i = (int)f;
}
```
**Synopsis**  
Arithmetic operators are used on boolean operands.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Low

**Full description**  
(Required) Expressions with type bool shall not be used as operands to built-in operators other than the assignment operator =, the logical operators &&, ||, !, the equality operators == and !=, the unary & operator, and the conditional operator. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.6_b.

**Coding standards**  
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(bool b)
{
    bool x;
    bool y;
    y = x % b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
typedef char boolean_t; /* Compliant: Boolean-by-enforcement */

void example(void)
{
    boolean_t d;
    boolean_t c = 1;
    boolean_t b = 0;
    boolean_t a = 1;

    d = (c && a) && b;
}

void func()
{
    bool x;
    bool y;
    y = x && y;
}

**MISRAC++2008-4-5-2**

**Synopsis**
Unsafe operators are used on variables of enumeration type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low

**Full description**
(Required) Expressions with type enum shall not be used as operands to builtin operators other than the subscript operator [], the assignment operator =, the equality operators == and !=, the unary & operator, and the relational operators <, <=, >, >=.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
enum ens { ONE, TWO, THREE };  
void func(ens b)  
{  
    ens x;  
    bool y;  
    y = x | b;  
}  
```

MISRAC++2008-4-5-3

Synopsis
Arithmetic is performed on objects of type plain char, without an explicit signed or unsigned qualifier.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
(Required) Expressions with type (plain) char and wchar_t shall not be used as operands to built-in operators other than the assignment operator =, the equality operators == and !=, and the unary & operator. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-6.1.

Coding standards
CERT INT07-C

Use only explicitly signed or unsigned char type for numeric values

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef signed char INT8;
typedef unsigned char UINT8;

UINT8 toascii(INT8 c)
{
    return (UINT8)c & 0x7f;
}

int func(int x)
{
    char sc = 4;
    char *scp = &sc;
    UINT8 (*fp)(INT8 c) = &toascii;

    x = x + sc;
    x *= *scp;
    return (*fp)(x);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef signed char INT8;
typedef unsigned char UINT8;

UINT8 toascii(INT8 c)
{
    return (UINT8)c & 0x7f;
}

int func(int x)
{
    signed char sc = 4;
    signed char *scp = &sc;
    UINT8 (*fp)(INT8 c) = &toascii;

    x = x + sc;
    x *= *scp;
    return (*fp)(x);
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_a**

**Synopsis**
There are expressions that depend on the order of evaluation.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/High

Full description: (Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_i, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_a, SPC-order, CERT-EXP30-C_a.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP10-C
  Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place
- CERT EXP30-C
  Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points
- CWE 696
  Incorrect Behavior Order

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    i = i * i++; //unspecified order of operations
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int i = 0;
    int x = i;
    i++;
    x = x * i; //OK - statement is broken up
    return 0;
}
```

MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_b

Synopsis: There are more than one read access with volatile-qualified type within a single sequence point.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
<td>Medium/High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
<td>(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits. This check is identical to SPC-volatile-reads, MISRAC2004-12.2_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
<td>CERT EXP10-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CERT EXP30-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CWE 696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incorrect Behavior Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int x;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>volatile int v;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x = v + v;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int main(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>volatile int i = 0;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>int x = i;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i++;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x = x * i;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>//OK - statement is broken up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>return 0;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MISRAC++2008-5-0-I_c

Synopsis
There are more than one modification access with volatile-qualified type within a single sequence point.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
(Required) The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits. This check is identical to SPC-volatile-writes, MISRAC2004-12.2_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_c.

Coding standards
CERT EXP10-C
Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which side effects take place

CERT EXP30-C
Do not depend on order of evaluation between sequence points

CWE 696
Incorrect Behavior Order

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v, w;
    v = w = x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x;
    volatile int v, w;
    v = w = x;
}
```
#include <stdbool.h>

void InitializeArray(int *);

const int *example(void)
{
    static volatile bool s_initialized = false;
    static int s_array[256];

    if (!s_initialized)
    {
        InitializeArray(s_array);
        s_initialized = true;
    }
    return s_array;
}

### MISRAC++2008-5-0-2

**Synopsis**

Parentheses to avoid implicit operator precedence are missing.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

(Advisory) Limited dependence should be placed on C++ operator precedence rules in expressions. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.1.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int j;
    int k;
    int result;

    result = i + j * k;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int j;
    int k;
    int result;
    result = i + (j - k);
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-3**

**Synopsis**
One or more cvalue expressions have been implicitly converted to a different underlying type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A cvalue expression shall not be implicitly converted to a different underlying type.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f ( )
{
    int32_t s32;
    int8_t s8;
    s32 = s8 + s8; // Example 1 - Non-compliant
    // The addition operation is performed with an underlying type of int8_t and the result
    // is converted to an underlying type of int32_t.
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f ( )
{
    int32_t s32;
    int8_t s8;
    s32 = static_cast < int32_t > ( s8 ) + s8; // Example 2 - Compliant
    // the addition is performed with an underlying type of int32_t and therefore
    // no underlying type conversion is required.
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-4**

**Synopsis**
One or more implicit integral conversions have been found that change the signedness of the underlying type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An implicit integral conversion shall not change the signedness of the underlying type.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f()
{
    int8_t s8;
    uint8_t u8;
    s8 = u8; // Non-compliant
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f()
{
    int8_t s8;
    uint8_t u8;
    u8 = static_cast< uint8_t > ( s8 ) + u8; // Compliant
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-5**

**Synopsis**
One or more implicit floating-integral conversions were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) There shall be no implicit floating-integral conversions.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f()
{
    float f32;
    int s32;
    s32 = f32; // Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void f()
{
    float f32;
    int s32;
    f32 = static_cast<float>(s32); // Compliant
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-6 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
One or more implicit integral or floating-point conversion were found that reduce the size of the underlying type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An implicit integral or floating-point conversion shall not reduce the size of the underlying type.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```
#include <stdint.h>
void f() {
    int32_t s32;
    int16_t s16;
    s16 = s32;  // Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdint.h>
void f ( )
{
    int32_t s32;
    int16_t s16;
    s16 = static_cast< int16_t > ( s32 ); // Compliant
}

**Synopsis**
One or more explicit floating-integral conversions of a cvalue expression were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) There shall be no explicit floating-integral conversions of a cvalue expression.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f1 ( )
{
    int i;
    int j;
    float f;
    f = static_cast< float > ( i / j ); // Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void f1 ( )
{
  int i;
  int j;
  int k;
  float f;
  k = i / j;
  f = static_cast< float > ( k ); // Compliant
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-8**

**Synopsis**
One or more explicit integral or floating-point conversions were found that increase the size of the underlying type of a cvalue expression.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An explicit integral or floating-point conversion shall not increase the size of the underlying type of a cvalue expression.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f ( )
{
  int16_t s16;
  int32_t s32;
  s32 = static_cast< int32_t > ( s16 + s16 ); // Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stdint.h>
void f( ) {
    int16_t s16;
    int32_t s32;
    s32 = static_cast< int32_t >( s16 ) + s16; // Compliant
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-9**

**Synopsis**
One or more explicit integral conversions were found that change the signedness of the underlying type of a cvalue expression.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An explicit integral conversion shall not change the signedness of the underlying type of a cvalue expression.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f( ) {
    int8_t s8;
    uint8_t u8;
    s8 = static_cast< int8_t >( u8 + u8 ); // Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
**MISRAC++2008-5-0-10**

**Synopsis**
A bitwise operation on unsigned char or unsigned short was found, that was not immediately cast to this type to ensure consistent truncation.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) If the bitwise operators ~ and << are applied to an operand with an underlying type of unsigned char or unsigned short, the result shall be immediately cast to the underlying type of the operand. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-10.5.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f ( )
{
    int8_t s8;
    uint8_t u8;
    s8 = static_cast< int8_t >( u8 ) + static_cast< int8_t >( u8 ); // Compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t port = 0x5aU;
    uint8_t result_8;
    uint16_t result_16;
    uint16_t mode;

    result_8 = (~port) >> 4;
}
```
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t port = 0x5aU;
    uint8_t result_8;
    uint16_t result_16;
    uint16_t mode;

    result_8 = ( static_cast< uint8_t > (~port) ) >> 4; // Compliant
    result_16 = ( static_cast < uint16_t > ( static_cast< uint16_t>
                                        ( port ) << 4 ) & mode ) >> 6; // Compliant
}

MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_a

Synopsis  Non-Boolean termination conditions were found in do ... while statements.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  Low/Medium

Full description  (Required) The condition of an if-statement and the condition of an iteration-statement shall have type bool. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_a.

Coding standards  This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

typedef int int32_t;
int32_t func();

void example(void)
{
    do {
    } while (func());
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}

void example(void)
{
    while (int *ptr = fn()) // Compliant by exception
    {
    }

    do
    {
        int *ptr = fn();
        if (NULL == ptr)
        {
            break;
        }
    }
    while (true); // Compliant

    while (int len = fn2()) // Compliant by exception
    {
    }

    if (int *p = fn()) {} // Compliant by exception
    if (int len = fn2()) {} // Compliant by exception
    if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant
}
```
MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_b

Synopsis
Non-boolean termination conditions were found in for loops.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The condition of an if-statement and the condition of an iteration-statement shall have type bool. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_b.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    for (int x = 10; x; --x) {}
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    for (int x = 10; x; --x) {}
}
```
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}

void example(void)
{
    for (fn(); fn3(); fn2()) // Compliant
    {
    }

    for (fn(); true; fn()) // Compliant
    {
        int *ptr = fn();
        if (NULL == ptr)
        {
            break;
        }
    }

    for (int len = fn2(); len < 10; len++) // Compliant
    {
    }

    MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_c
    Synopsis Non-boolean conditions were found in if statements.
    Enabled by default Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) The condition of an if-statement and the condition of an iteration-statement shall have type bool. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_c.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    if (u8) {}
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <stddef.h>

int * fn() 
{ 
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2() 
{ 
    return 5;
}

bool fn3() 
{ 
    return true;
}

void example(void) 
{ 
    while (int *ptr = fn())  // Compliant by exception 
    { 
    
    do 
    { 
        int *ptr = fn();
        if ( NULL == ptr ) 
        { 
            break;
        }
    } 
    while (true); // Compliant 

    while (int len = fn2())  // Compliant by exception 
    { 
        if (int *p = fn()) {} // Compliant by exception  
        if (int len = fn2()) {} // Compliant by exception  
        if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant  
    }

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-13_d**

**Synopsis**
Non-boolean termination conditions were found in while statements.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) The condition of an if-statement and the condition of an iteration-statement shall have type bool. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.4_d.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    while (u8) {}
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int u8;
    while (!u8) {}
}
```
#include <stddef.h>

```c
int * fn()
{
    int * ptr;
    return ptr;
}

int fn2()
{
    return 5;
}

bool fn3()
{
    return true;
}

void example(void)
{
    while (int *ptr = fn() )  // Compliant by exception
    {} 

do
    { 
    int *ptr = fn();
    if ( NULL == ptr )
    {
        break;
    }
    } 
while (true); // Compliant

while (int len = fn2() )  // Compliant by exception
{} 

if (int *p = fn()) {}   // Compliant by exception
if (int len = fn2() ) {} // Compliant by exception
if (bool flag = fn3()) {} // Compliant
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-14**

**Synopsis**
Non-boolean operands to the conditional (?:) operator were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The first operand of a conditional-operator shall have type bool. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.2.e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Code examples      | The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:  
```c
void example(int x) {
    int z;
    z = x ? 1 : 2; // x is an int, not a bool
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
void example(bool b) {
    int x;
    x = b ? 1 : 2; // OK - b is a bool
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-15_a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Pointer arithmetic that is not array indexing was found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) Array indexing shall be the only form of pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.4.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

typedef int INT32;

void example(INT32 array[]) {
    INT32 *pointer = array;
    INT32 *end = array + 10;
    for (; pointer != end; pointer += 1) {
        *pointer = 0;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef int INT32;

void example(INT32 array[]) {
    INT32 index = 0;
    INT32 end = 10;
    for (; index != end; index += 1) {
        array[index] = 0;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-15_b**

**Synopsis**
Array indexing applied to objects not defined as an array type was found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Array indexing shall be the only form of pointer arithmetic. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.4_b.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef unsigned char UINT8;
typedef unsigned int UINT;

void example(UINT8 *p, UINT size) {
    UINT i;
    for (i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        p[i] = 0;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typedef unsigned char UINT8;
typedef unsigned int UINT;

void example(void) {
    UINT8 p[10];
    UINT i;
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        p[i] = 0;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_a**

**Synopsis**
Pointer arithmetic applied to a pointer that references a stack address was found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Required) A pointer operand and any pointer resulting from pointer arithmetic using that operand shall both address elements of the same array. This check is identical to PTR-arith-stack, MISRAC2004-17.1_b.

**Coding standards**
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
**MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_b**

**Synopsis**
Invalid pointer arithmetic with an automatic variable that is neither an array nor a pointer was found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Required) A pointer operand and any pointer resulting from pointer arithmetic using that operand shall both address elements of the same array. This check is identical to PTR-arith-var, MISRAC2004-17.1_c.

**Coding standards**
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int x) {
    *(x+10) = 5;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int *p = &i;
    p++;
    *p = 0;
}
```
void example(int *x) {
    *(x+10) = 5;
}

MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c

Synopsis
An array access is out of bounds.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/High

Full description
(Required) A pointer operand and any pointer resulting from pointer arithmetic using that operand shall both address elements of the same array. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_a, CERT-ARR30-C_a.

Coding standards
CERT ARR33-C
Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int x, int y)
{
    int a[10];
    if((x >= 0) && (x < 20)) {
        if(x < 10) {
            y = a[x];
        } else {
            y = a[x - 10];
            y = a[x];
        }
    }
    return y;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void)
{
    int a[4];
    a[3] = 0;
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_d**

**Synopsis**
An array access might be out of bounds for some execution paths.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Required) A pointer operand and any pointer resulting from pointer arithmetic using that operand shall both address elements of the same array. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-pos, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_b, CERT-ARR30-C_b.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR33-C
C-STAT checks

Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow

CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')

CWE 126
Buffer Over-read

CWE 127
Buffer Under-read

CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int cond;

int main(void)
{
    int a[7];
    int x;

    if (cond)
        x = 3;
    else
        x = 20;

    a[x] = 0;  // x may be set to 20 in line 11
               // but a only has an interval of [0,6]
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int cond;

int main(void)
{
    int a[25];
    int x;

    if (cond)
        x = 3;
    else
        x = 20;

    a[x] = 0;  //here, both possible values of
    //x are in the interval [0,24]
    return 0;
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_e**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to an array is used outside the array bounds.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Required) A pointer operand and any pointer resulting from pointer arithmetic using that operand shall both address elements of the same array. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-ptr, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_c, CERT-ARR30-C_c.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR33-C

Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size

CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer

CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122

Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 124

Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126

Buffer Over-read
CWE 127

Buffer Under-read
CWE 129

Improper Validation of Array Index

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    p[10];
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    p[9];
}
```

MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_f

Synopsis
A pointer to an array might be used outside the array bounds.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**
(Required) A pointer operand and any pointer resulting from pointer arithmetic using that operand shall both address elements of the same array. This check is identical to ARR-inv-index-ptr-pos, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_d, CERT-ARR30-C_d.

**Coding standards**
CERT ARR33-C
Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size
CWE 119
Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
CWE 120
Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
CWE 121
Stack-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 122
Heap-based Buffer Overflow
CWE 124
Buffer Underwrite ('Buffer Underflow')
CWE 126
Buffer Over-read
CWE 127
Buffer Under-read
CWE 129
Improper Validation of Array Index

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(int b) {
    int arr[10];
    int *p = arr;
    int x = (b<10 ? 8 : 11);
    p[x];
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(int b) {
    int arr[12];
    int *p = arr;
    int x = (b<10 ? 8 : 11);
    p[x];
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-19**

**Synopsis**
Declarations that contain more than two levels of pointer indirection have been found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The declaration of objects shall contain no more than two levels of pointer indirection. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-17.5, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.5.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int ***p;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int **p;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-0-21**

**Synopsis**
Applications of bitwise operators to signed operands were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) Bitwise operators shall only be applied to operands of unsigned underlying type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.7.

Coding standards: CERT INT13-C
Use bitwise operators only on unsigned operands

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = -(1U);
    x ^ 1;
    x & 0x7F;
    ((unsigned int)x) & 0x7F;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int x = -1;
    ((unsigned int)x) ^ 1U;
    2U ^ 1U;
    ((unsigned int)x) & 0x7FU;
    ((unsigned int)x) & 0x7FU;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-2-4 (C++ only)**

Synopsis: Old style casts (other than void casts) were found.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium
(Required) C-style casts (other than void casts) and functional notation casts (other than explicit constructor calls) shall not be used. This check is identical to CAST-old-style.

**Coding standards**

CERT EXP05-CPP

Do not use C-style casts

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(float b)
{
    return (int)b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(float b)
{
    return static_cast<int>(b);
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-5-2-5

**Synopsis**

Casts that remove a const or volatile qualification were found.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/High

(Required) A cast shall not remove any const or volatile qualification from the type of a pointer or reference. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-11.5, MISRAC2012-Rule-11.8.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint16_t x;
    const uint16_t * pci; /* pointer to const int */
    uint16_t * pi; /* pointer to int */

    pi = (uint16_t *)pci; // not compliant
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

typecast unsigned short uint16_t;

void example(void) {
    uint16_t x;
    uint16_t * const cpi = &x; /* const pointer to int */
    uint16_t * pi; /* pointer to int */

    pi = cpi; // compliant - no cast required
}

MISRAC++2008-5-2-6

Synopsis
A cast shall not convert a pointer to a function to any other pointer type, including a
pointer to function type.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty Medium/Medium

Full description (Required) A cast shall not convert a pointer to a function to any other pointer type,
including a pointer to function type.

Coding standards This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f ( int32_t )
{
    reinterpret_cast< void (*)( ) >( &f ); // Non-compliant
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdint.h>
void f ( int32_t )
{
    void (*fp)(int32_t) = &f;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-2-7**

**Synopsis**
A pointer to object type is cast to a pointer to a different object type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An object with pointer type shall not be converted to an unrelated pointer type, either directly or indirectly. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-11.4.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
typedef unsigned int uint32_t;
typedef unsigned char uint8_t;

void example(void) {
    uint8_t * p1;
    uint32_t * p2;
    p2 = (uint32_t *)(p1);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

define unsigned int uint32_t;
define unsigned char uint8_t;

define example(void) {
define uint8_t * p1;
define uint8_t * p2;
define p2 = (uint8_t *)(p1);  
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-2-9**

**Synopsis**
A cast from a pointer type to an integral type was found.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) A cast should not convert a pointer type to an integral type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-11.3, MISRAC2012-Rule-11.4.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

define example(void) {
define int *p;
define int x;
define x = (int)p;  
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

define example(void) {
define int *p;
define int *x;
define x = p;  
}
**MISRAC++2008-5-2-10**

**Synopsis**
The increment (++) and decrement (--) operators are being used mixed with other operators in an expression.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Advisory) The increment (++) and decrement (--) operators should not be mixed with other operators in an expression. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.13, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.3.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(char *src, char *dst) {
    while (src++ = dst++);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(char *src, char *dst) {
    while (*src) {
        *dst = *src;
        src++;
        dst++;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-2-11_a (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Overloaded && and || operators were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding standards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code examples</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MISRAC++2008-5-2-11_b (C++ only)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synopsis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled by default</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity/Certainty</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Full description (Required) The comma operator, && operator and the || operator shall not be overloaded. This check is identical to COMMA-overload.

Coding standards This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C{
    bool x;
    bool operator,(bool other);
};

bool C::operator,(bool other){
    return x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
class C{
    int x;
    int operator+(int other);
};

int C::operator+(int other){
    return x + other;
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-5-3-1

**Synopsis** Operands of the logical operators (&&, ||, and !) were found that are not of type bool.

**Enabled by default** Yes

**Severity/Certainty** Low/Medium

**Full description** (Required) Each operand of the ! operator, the logical && or the logical || operators shall have type bool. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.6_a.

**Coding standards** This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
Descriptions of checks

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int d, c, b, a;
    d = ( c & a ) && b;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
typedef char boolean_t; /* Compliant: Boolean-by-enforcement */
void example(void)
{
    boolean_t d;
    boolean_t c = 1;
    boolean_t b = 0;
    boolean_t a = 1;
    d = ( c && a ) && b;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-3-2_a**

**Synopsis**

Uses of unary minus on unsigned expressions were found.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

![Severity/Certainty](image)

**Full description**

(Required) The unary minus operator shall not be applied to an expression whose underlying type is unsigned. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-10.1_R8, MISRAC2004-12.9.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned int max = -1U;
    // use max = -0U;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int neg_one = -1;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-3-2_b**

**Synopsis**
Uses of unary minus on unsigned expressions were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The unary minus operator shall not be applied to an expression whose underlying type is unsigned. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.9.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    unsigned int max = -1U;
    // use max = -0U;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int neg_one = -1;
}
```
**MISRAC++2008-5-3-3 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Occurances of overloaded & operators were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) The unary & operator shall not be overloaded. This check is identical to PTR-overload.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
class C{
    bool x;
    bool* operator&();
};

bool* C::operator&(){
    return &x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```cpp
class C{
    int x;
    int operator+(int other);
};

int C::operator+(int other){
    return x + other;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-5-3-4**

**Synopsis**
There are sizeof expressions that contain side effects.
AFE1_AFE2-1:1

C-STAT checks

Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) Evaluation of the operand to the sizeof operator shall not contain side effects. This check is identical to SIZEOF-side-effect, MISRAC2004-12.3.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP06-C
  Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects
- CERT EXP06-CPP
  Operands to the sizeof operator should not contain side effects

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = sizeof(i++);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = sizeof(i);
    i++;
}
```

MISRAC++2008-5-8-1

Synopsis: Possible out-of-range shifts were found.

Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium
Descriptions of checks

Full description
(Required) The right hand operand of a shift operator shall lie between zero and one less than the width in bits of the underlying type of the left hand operand. This check is identical to ATH-shift-bounds, MISRAC2004-12.8, MISRAC2012-Rule-12.2.

Coding standards
CERT INT34-C
Do not shift a negative number of bits or more bits than exist in the operand

CWE 682
Incorrect Calculation

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x, unsigned int y)
{
    int shift = 33; // too big
    return 3U << shift;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
unsigned int foo(unsigned int x)
{
    int y = 1; // OK - this is within the correct range
    return x << y;
}
```

MISRAC++2008-5-14-1

Synopsis
There are right-hand operands of && or || operators that contain side effects.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) The right hand operand of a logical && or || operator shall not contain side effects. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.4, MISRAC2012-Rule-13.5.

Coding standards
CWE 768
Incorrect Short Circuit Evaluation
**MISRAC++2008-5-18-1**

**Synopsis**
There are uses of the comma operator.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) The comma operator shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-12.10, MISRAC2012-Rule-12.3.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = rand() && i++;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    int i;
    int size = rand() && i;
}
```
#include <string.h>

void reverse(char *string) {
    int i, j;
    j = strlen(string);
    for (i = 0; i < j; i++, j--) {
        char temp = string[i];
        string[i] = string[j];
        string[j] = temp;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

#include <string.h>

void reverse(char *string) {
    int i;
    int length = strlen(string);
    int half_length = length / 2;
    for (i = 0; i < half_length; i++) {
        int opposite = length - i;
        char temp = string[i];
        string[i] = string[opposite];
        string[opposite] = temp;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-5-19-1**

**Synopsis**

A constant unsigned integer expression overflows.

**Enabled by default**

No

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

(Advisory) Evaluation of constant unsigned integer expressions should not lead to wrap-around. This check is identical to EXPR-const-overflow, MISRAC2004-12.11.

**Coding standards**

CWE 190
Integer Overflow or Wraparound

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    (0xFFFFFFFF + 1u);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    0x7FFFFFFF + 0;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-2-1**

**Synopsis**  
One or more assignment operators are used in sub-expressions.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) Assignment operators shall not be used in sub-expressions. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4_b.

**Coding standards**  
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func() {
    int x;
    int y;
    int z;
    x = y = z;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void func()
{
    int x = 2;
    int y;
    int z;
    x = y;
    x == y;
}

**MISRAC++2008-6-2-2**

**Synopsis**
There are floating-point comparisons that use the == or != operators.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) Floating-point expressions shall not be directly or indirectly tested for equality or inequality. This check is identical to ATH-cmp-float, MISRAC2004-13.3.

**Coding standards**
CERT FLP06-C
Understand that floating-point arithmetic in C is inexact
CERT FLP35-CPP
Take granularity into account when comparing floating point values

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void)
{
    float f = 3.0;
    int i = 3;

    if (f == i) //comparison of a float and an int
        ++i;

    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int main(void)
{
    int i = 60;
    char c = 60;
    if (i == c)
    {
        ++i;
        return 0;
    }
}

MISRAC++2008-6-2-3

Synopsis There are stray semicolons on the same line as other code.

Enabled by default No

Severity/Certainty Low/Low

Full description (Required) Before preprocessing, a null statement shall only occur on a line by itself; it may be followed by a comment, provided that the first character following the null statement is a white-space character. This check is identical to EXP-stray-semicolon, MISRAC2004-14.3.

Coding standards CERT EXP15-C

Do not place a semicolon on the same line as an if, for, or while statement

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i);  //Null statement as the
    //body of this for loop
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i!=10; ++i){ //An empty block is much
        //more readable
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_a**

**Synopsis**
There are missing braces in `do ... while` statements.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do … while or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT EXP19-C
- Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
- Incorrect Block Delimitation

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    do
        return 0;
    while (1);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    do {
        return 0;
    } while (1);
}
```
MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_b

Synopsis
There are missing braces in for statements.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_b.

Coding standards
CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    for (;;) {
        return 0;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    for (;;) {
        return 0;
    }
}
```

MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_c

Synopsis
There are missing braces in switch statements.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>CERT EXP19-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CWE 483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incorrect Block Delimitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code examples</td>
<td>The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>while(1);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for(;;);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do ;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>while (0);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>switch(0);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>void example(void) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>while(1) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for(;;) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>while (0);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>switch(0) {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISRAC++2008-6-3-1_d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>There are missing braces in while statements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) The statement forming the body of a switch, while, do ... while or for statement shall be a compound statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.8_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_e.

Coding standards
CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void) {
    while (1)
        return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    while (1){
        return 0;
    }
}
```

MISRAC++2008-6-4-1

Synopsis
There are missing braces in if, else, or else if statements.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low
Descriptions of checks

Full description  (Required) An if ( condition ) construct shall be followed by a compound statement. The else keyword shall be followed by either a compound statement, or another if statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.9, MISRAC++2008-6-4-1, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.6_c.

Coding standards

CERT EXP19-C
Use braces for the body of an if, for, or while statement

CWE 483
Incorrect Block Delimitation

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    if (rand());
    if (rand());
    else;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    if (rand()) {
    }
    if (rand()) {
    } else {
    }
    if (rand()) {
    } else if (rand()) {
    }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-4-2**

**Synopsis**
If ... else if constructs that are not terminated with an else clause were detected.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty
Low/High

Full description
(Required) All if...else if constructs shall be terminated with an else clause. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.10, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.7.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    if (!rand()) {
        printf("The first random number is 0");
    } else if (!rand()) {
        printf("The second random number is 0");
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    if (!rand()) {
        printf("The first random number is 0");
    } else if (!rand()) {
        printf("The second random number is 0");
    } else {
        printf("Neither random number was 0");
    }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-4-3**

Synopsis
Detected switch statements that do not conform to the MISRA C++ switch syntax.

Enabled by default
Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Full description

(Required) A switch statement shall be a well-formed switch statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.0, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.1.

#### Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int expr();
void stmt();
void example(void) {
    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            // WARNING: missing break at end of statement list
            default:
                break; // statement list ends in a break
    }

    switch(expr()) {
        // WARNING: missing at least one case label
        default:
            break; // statement list ends in a break
    }

    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        case 0:
            stmt();
            // WARNING: declaration list without block
            int decl = 0;
            int x;
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        default:
            break; // statement list ends in a break
    }

    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1: {
            // statement list
            stmt();
            // WARNING: Additional block inside of the case clause
            block
        }
    }
}
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int expr();
void stmt();
void example(void) {
    switch(expr()) {
        // at least one case label
        case 1:
            // statement list (no declarations)
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        case 0: {
            // one level of block is allowed
            // declaration list
            int decl = 0;
            // statement list
            stmt();
            stmt();
            break; // statement list ends in a break
        }
        case 2: // empty cases are allowed
            default:
                break; // statement list ends in a break
    }
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-6-4-4

**Synopsis**
Switch labels were found in nested blocks.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) A switch-label shall only be used when the most closely-enclosing compound statement is the body of a switch statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.1, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.2.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    switch(rand()) {
    {case 1:}
    case 2:
    case 3:
    default:
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    switch(rand()) {
    case 1:
    case 2:
    case 3:
    default:
    }
}
```
MISRAC++2008-6-4-5

Synopsis
Non-empty switch cases were found that are not terminated by a break.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/Medium

Full description
(Required) An unconditional throw or break statement shall terminate every non-empty switch-clause. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.2, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.3.

Coding standards
CERT MSC17-C

- Finish every set of statements associated with a case label with a break statement

CWE 484
Omitted Break Statement in Switch

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <cstdlib>

void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
        case 0:
            if (rand()) {
                break;
            }
        default:
            break;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <cstdlib>

void example(int input) {
    switch(input) {
    case 0:
        if (rand()) {
            break;
        }
        break;
    default:
        break;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-6-4-6**

**Synopsis**
Switch statements without a default clause, or with a default clause that is not the final clause, were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The final clause of a switch statement shall be the default-clause. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.3.

**Coding standards**
CWE 478

  Missing Default Case in Switch Statement

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
        default:
            return 2;
            break;
        case 0:
            return 0;
            break;
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
        case 3:
            return 0;
            break;
        case 5:
            return 1;
            break;
        default:
            return 2;
            break;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-6-4-7**

**Synopsis**
A switch expression was found that represents a value that is effectively Boolean.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The condition of a switch statement shall not have bool type. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.4, MISRAC2012-Rule-16.7.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int x) {
    switch(x == 0) {
        case 0:
        case 1:
        default:
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int x) {
    switch(x) {
        case 1:
        case 0:
        default:
    }
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-6-4-8

**Synopsis**
One or more switch statements without a case clause were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Every switch statement shall have at least one case-clause. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-15.5.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
    default:
        return 2;
        break;
    }
}
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int example(int x) {
    switch(x){
    case 3:
        return 0;
        break;
    case 5:
        return 1;
        break;
    default:
        return 2;
        break;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-6-5-1_a**

**Synopsis**
A loop counter were found having floating type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A for loop shall contain a single loop-counter which shall not have floating type. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-14.1_a, CERT-FLP30-C_a.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int main() {
    for (float i = 0.0; i < 10.0; ++i)
    {
    }
    return 0;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

int main() {
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
    {
    }
    return 0;
}

**MISRAC++2008-6-5-1_b (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Multiple variables are being used to control a for loop.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A for loop shall contain a single loop-counter which shall not have floating type.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
void func()
{
    int j;
    for (int i = 0; i < j; i = j++)
    {}
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func()
{
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
    {}}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-5-2**

**Synopsis**
A loop counter was found that might not match the loop condition test.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) If loop-counter is not modified by -- or ++, then, within condition, the loop-counter shall only be used as an operand to <=, <, > or >=

**Coding standards**
CERT MSC21-C
   Use robust loop termination conditions
CERT MSC21-CPP
   Use inequality to terminate a loop whose counter changes by more than one

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    for(int i = 0; i != 10; i += 2) {}
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    for(int i = 0; i <= 10; i+= 2) {}
}
```
**MISRAC++2008-6-5-3**

**Synopsis**  
A for loop counter variable was found that is modified in the body of the loop.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/High

**Full description**  
(Required) The loop-counter shall not be modified within condition or statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-13.6, MISRAC2012-Rule-14.2.

**Coding standards**  
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {  
    int i;

    /* i is incremented inside the loop body */
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
        i = i + 1;
    }

    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {  
    int i;
    int x = 0;

    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
        x = i + 1;
    }

    return 0;
}
```
**MISRAC++2008-6-5-4**

Synopsis: A potentially inconsistent loop counter modification was found.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: (Required) The loop-counter shall be modified by one of: --, ++, -=n, or +=n; where n remains constant for the duration of the loop.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void example(void)
{
    int i;
    for(i = 0; i != 10; i = i * i) {}
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void)
{
    bool b;
    for(int i = 0; i != 10 || b; i-=2) {}
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-5-5**

Synopsis: A non-loop-counter variable was found that is assigned in the condition or expression part of a for loop.

Enabled by default: Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) A loop-control-variable other than the loop-counter shall not be modified within condition or expression.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func()
{
    int j;
    int x;
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; j++ )
    {
        i++;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func()
{
    int j;
    int x;
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++ )
    {
        j++;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-5-6**

Synopsis: A non-boolean variable was detected that is modified in the loop and used as loop condition.

Enabled by default: Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty

Low/Low

Full description

(Required) A loop-control-variable other than the loop-counter which is modified in statement shall have type bool.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void)
{
    int j;
    for (int i = 0; i < 10 || j > 5; ++i)
    {
        j = i;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void)
{
    bool found = false;
    for (int i = 0; i < 10 || found; ++i)
    {
        found = (i + 1) % 9;
    }
}
```

MISRAC++2008-6-6-1

Synopsis

The destination of a goto statement is a nested code block.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Low
(Required) Any label referenced by a goto statement shall be declared in the same block, or in a block enclosing the goto statement. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-15.3.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f1 ( )
{
    int j = 0;
    goto L1;
    for (;;) {
        L1: // Non-compliant
        j;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void f2() {
    for (;;) {
        for (;;) {
            goto L1;
        }
    }
    L1: return;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-6-2**

**Synopsis**

A goto statement is declared after the destination label.

**Enabled by default**

Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty

Low/Low

Full description

(Required) The goto statement shall jump to a label declared later in the same function body. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-15.2.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void f1 ( )
{
    int j = 0;
    for ( j = 0; j < 10 ; ++j )
    {
        L1: // Non-compliant
        j;
    }
    goto L1;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void f1 ( )
{
    int j = 0;
    goto L1;
    for ( j = 0; j < 10 ; ++j )
    {
        j;
    }
    L1:
    return;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-6-6-4**

**Synopsis**

One or more loops have more than one termination point.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabled by default</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Required) For any iteration statement there shall be no more than one break or goto statement used for loop termination. This check is identical to MISRAC2012-Rule-15.4.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void func()
{
    int x = 1;
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
    {
        if (x)
        {
            break;
        }
        else if (i)
        {
            break;  // Non-compliant - second jump from loop
        }
        else
        {
            // Code
        }
    }
    int test1(int);
    int test2(int);
}

void example(void)
{
    int i = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
    {
        if (test1(i))
        {
            break;
        }
        else if (test2(i))
        {
            break;
        }
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void)
{
    int i = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < 10 && i != 9; i++) {
        if (i == 9) {
            break;
        }
    }
}

void func()
{
    int x = 1;
    for ( int i = 0; i < 10; i++ )
    {
        if ( x )
        {
            break;
        }
        else if ( i )
        {
            while ( true )
            {
                if ( x )
                {
                    break;
                }
                do
                {
                    break;
                }
                while(true);
            }
        }
        else
        {
        }
    }
}

MISRAC++2008-6-6-5

Synopsis
One or more functions have multiple exit points or an exit point that is not at the end of the function.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) A function shall have a single point of exit at the end of the function. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-14.7, MISRAC2012-Rule-15.5.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern int errno;

void example(void) {
    if (errno) {
        return;
    }
    return;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern int errno;

void example(void) {
    if (errno) {
        goto end;
    }
end:
    {
        return;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-7-1-1**

**Synopsis**
A local variable that is not modified after its initialization is not `const` qualified.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) A variable which is not modified shall be const qualified.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
int example( void ){
  int x = 7;
  return x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
int example( void ){
  int x = 7;
  ++x;
  return x;
}
```

MISRAC++2008-7-1-2

Synopsis
A parameter in a function that is not modified by the function is not const qualified.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Medium

Full description
(Required) A pointer or reference parameter in a function shall be declared as pointer to const or reference to const if the corresponding object is not modified. This check is identical to CONST-param, MISRAC2004-16.7.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(int* x) { // x should be const
    if (*x > 5){
        return *x;
    } else {
        return 5;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(const int* x) { // OK
    if (*x > 5){
        return *x;
    } else {
        return 5;
    }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-7-2-1**

**Synopsis**
There are conversions to enum type that are out of range of the enumeration.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) An expression with enum underlying type shall only have values corresponding to the enumerators of the enumeration. This check is identical to ENUM-bounds.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
enum ens { ONE, TWO, THREE };

void example(void)
{
    ens one = (ens)10;
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
enum ens { ONE, TWO, THREE };

void example(void)
{
    ens one = ONE;
    ens two = TWO;
    two = one;
}

**MISRAC++2008-7-4-3**

**Synopsis**
There are inline assembler statements that are not encapsulated in functions.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Assembler language shall be encapsulated and isolated. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-2.1, MISRAC2012-Dir-4.3.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int example(void)
{
    int r;
    asm("\n");
    return r + 1;
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x)
{
    asm("#");
    return x;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_a (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**  
A stack object is returned from a function as a reference.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
High/High

**Full description**  
(Required) A function shall not return a reference or a pointer to an automatic variable (including parameters), defined within the function. This check is identical to MEM-stack-ref.

**Coding standards**  
CERT DCL30-C  
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 562  
Return of Stack Variable Address

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int& example(void) {
    int x;
    return x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(void) {
    int x;
    return x;
}
```
**MISRAC++2008-7-5-1_b**

**Synopsis**
A function might return an address on the stack.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
High/High

**Full description**
(Required) A function shall not return a reference or a pointer to an automatic variable (including parameters), defined within the function. This check is identical to MEM-stack, MISRAC2004-17.6_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_a, CERT-DCL30-C_a.

**Coding standards**
CERT DCL30-C
- Declare objects with appropriate storage durations
CWE 562
- Return of Stack Variable Address

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *example(void) {
  int a[20];
  return a;  //a is a local array
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int* example(void) {
  int *p,i;
  p = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
  return p;  //OK - p is dynamically allocated
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_a**

**Synopsis**
Detected a stack address stored in a global pointer.
Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description:
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global, MISRAC2004-17.6_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_b, CERT-DCL30-C_c.

Coding standards:
- CERT DCL30-C
  Declare objects with appropriate storage durations
- CWE 466
  Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int *px;
void example() {
    int i = 0;
    px = &i; // assigning the address of stack
    // variable a to the global px
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *pz) {
    int x; int *px = &x;
    int *py = px; /* local variable */
    pz = px; /* parameter */
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_b**

Synopsis: Detected a stack address in the field of a global struct.

Enabled by default: Yes
Full description

(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-global-field, MISRAC2004-17.6_c, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_c, CERT-DCL30-C_d.

Coding standards

CERT DCL30-C

Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466

Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i; //storing local address in global struct
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

struct S{
    int *px;
} s;

void example() {
    int i = 0;
    s.px = &i; //OK - the field is written to later
    s.px = NULL;
}
```
MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_c

Synopsis
Detected a stack address stored in a parameter of pointer or array type.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
High/Medium

Full description
(Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-param. MISRAC2004-17.6_d, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s, MISRAC2012-Rule-18.6_d, CERT-DCL30-C_e.

Coding standards
CERT DCL30-C
Declare objects with appropriate storage durations

CWE 466
Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int **ppx) {
    int x;
    ppx[0] = &x; //local address
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
static int y = 0;
void example3(int **ppx) {
    *ppx = &y; //OK - static address
}
```

MISRAC++2008-7-5-2_d (C++ only)

Synopsis
Detected a stack address stored via a reference parameter.

Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: (Required) The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist. This check is identical to MEM-stack-param-ref, MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_s.

Coding standards:
- CERT DCL30-C: Declare objects with appropriate storage durations
- CWE 466: Return of Pointer Value Outside of Expected Range

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(int *&pxx) {
    int x;
    pxx = &x;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(int *p, int *&q) {
    int x;
    int *px = &x;
    p = px; // ok, pointer
    q = p; // ok, not local
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-7-5-4_a**

Synopsis: There are functions that call themselves directly.

Enabled by default: No

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**  
(Advisory) Functions should not call themselves, either directly or indirectly. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.2_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_a.

**Coding standards**  
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    example();
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-7.5.4_b**

**Synopsis**  
There are functions that call themselves indirectly.

**Enabled by default**  
No

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/Medium

**Full description**  
(Advisory) Functions should not call themselves, either directly or indirectly. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.2_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.2_b. This is a link analysis check.

**Coding standards**  
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
void example(void);
void callee(void) {
    example();
}
void example(void) {
    callee();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

void example(void);
void callee(void) {
    // example();
}
void example(void) {
    callee();
}

**MISRAC++2008-8-0-1**

**Synopsis**
There are declarations that contain more than one variable or constant each.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) An init-declarator-list or a member-declarator-list shall consist of a single init-declarator or member-declarator respectively.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int foo(){
    int a,b,c;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int foo(){
    int a; int b; int c;
}

**MISRAC++2008-8-4-1**

**Synopsis**
There are functions defined using the ellipsis (…) notation.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/High

**Full description**
(Required) Functions shall not be defined using the ellipsis notation. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.1.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdarg.h>
int putchar(int c);

void minprintf(const char *fmt, ...)
{
    va_list ap;
    const char *p, *s;

    va_start(ap, fmt);
    for (p = fmt; *p != '\0'; p++) {
        if (*p != '%') {
            putchar(*p);
            continue;
        }
        switch (*++p) {
        case 's':
            for (s = va_arg(ap, const char *); *s != '\0'; s++)
                putchar(*s);
            break;
        }
    }
    va_end(ap);
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int puts(const char *);
void func(void)
{
    puts("Hello, world!");
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-8-4-3**

**Synopsis**
For some execution paths, no return statements are executed in functions with a non-void return type.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
### Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Medium/High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Full description

(Required) All exit paths from a function with non-void return type shall have an explicit return statement with an expression. This check is identical to SPC-return, MISRAC2004-16.8, MISRAC2012-Rule-17.4.

#### Coding standards

CERT MSC37-C

Ensure that control never reaches the end of a non-void function.

#### Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int example(void) {
    int x;
    scanf("%d", &x);
    if (x > 10) {
        return 10;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int example(void) {
    int x;
    scanf("%d", &x);
    if (x > 10) {
        return 10;
    }
    return 0;
}
```
### MISRAC++2008-8-4-4

**Synopsis**  
The addresses of one or more functions are taken without an explicit `&`.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Low/High

**Full description**  
(Required) A function identifier shall either be used to call the function or it shall be preceded by `&`. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-16.9.

**Coding standards**  
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void func(void);  
void example(void)  
{  
    void (*pf)(void) = func;  
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void func(void);  
void example(void)  
{  
    void (*pf)(void) = &func;  
}
```

### MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_a

**Synopsis**  
In all execution paths, variables are read before they are assigned a value.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes
Severity/Certainty: High/High

Full description: (Required) All variables shall have a defined value before they are used. This check is identical to SPC-uninit-var-all, MISRAC2004-9.1_a, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_e.

Coding standards: CERT EXP33-C
Do not reference uninitialized memory
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x;
    x++;  // x is uninitialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int main(void) {
    int x = 0;
    x++;  
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_b**

**Synopsis:** In some execution paths, variables might be read before they are assigned a value.

**Enabled by default:** Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty
High/Low

Full description
(Required) All variables shall have a defined value before they are used. This check is identical to SPC-uninit-var-some, MISRAC2004-9.1_b, MISRAC2012-Rule-9.1_f.

Coding standards
CWE 457
Use of Uninitialized Variable

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x, y;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    y = x;  //x may not be initialized
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void) {
    int x;
    if (rand()) {
        x = 0;
    }
    /* x never read */
    return 0;
}
```

MISRAC++2008-8-5-1_c

Synopsis
One or more uninitialized or NULL pointers are dereferenced.

Enabled by default
Yes
Descriptions of checks

Severity/Certainty: High/Medium

Full description: (Required) All variables shall have a defined value before they are used. This check is identical to PTR-uninit, MISRAC2004-9.1_c.

Coding standards:
- CERT EXP33-C
  Do not reference uninitialized memory
- CWE 457
  Use of Uninitialized Variable
- CWE 824
  Access of Uninitialized Pointer

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p;
    *p = 4;  //p is uninitialized
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
    int *p,a;
    p = &a;
    *p = 4;  //OK - p holds a valid address
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-8-5-2**

Synopsis: There are one or more non-zero array initializations that do not exactly match the structure of the array declaration.

Enabled by default: Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium

Full description

(Required) Braces shall be used to indicate and match the structure in the nonzero initialization of arrays and structures. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-9.2.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
void example(void) {
  int y[3][3] = { { 1, 2, 3 }, { 4, 5, 6 } );
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
  int y[3][2] = { { 1, 2 }, { 3, 4 }, { 5, 6 } );
}
```

MISRAC++2008-9-3-1 (C++ only)

Synopsis

A member function qualified as `const` returns a pointer member variable.

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium

Full description

(Required) `const` member functions shall not return non-const pointers or references to class-data. This check is identical to CONST-member-ret.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
class C{
    int* foo() const {
        return p;
    }
    int* p;
};

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

class C{
    int* foo() {
        return p;
    }
    int* p;
};

**MISRAC++2008-9-3-2 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Member functions return non-const handles to members.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/High

**Full description**
(Required) Member functions shall not return non-const handles to class-data. This check is identical to CPU-return-ref-to-class-data.

**Coding standards**
CERT OOP35-CPP

Do not return references to private data

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
class C{
    int x;
    public:
        int& foo();
        int* bar();
};

int& C::foo() {
    return x;  //returns a non-const reference to x
}

int* C::bar() {
    return &x;  //returns a non-const pointer to x
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

class C{
    int x;
    public:
        const int& foo();
        const int* bar();
};

const int& C::foo() {
    return x;  //OK - returns a const reference
}

const int* C::bar() {
    return &x;  //OK - returns a const pointer
}

**MISRAC++2008-9-5-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Unions were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Descriptions of checks

**Full description**
(Required) Unions shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-18.4, MISRAC2012-Rule-19.2.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
union cheat {
    int   i;
    float f;
};

int example(float f) {
    union cheat u;
    u.f = f;
    return u.i;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
int example(int x) {
    return x;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-9-6-2**

**Synopsis**
Bitfields of plain int type were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) Bit-fields shall be either bool type or an explicitly unsigned or signed integral type.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
struct bad {
    int x:3;
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct good {
    unsigned int x:3;
};
```

**MISRAC++2008-9-6-3**

**Synopsis**  
Bitfields of plain int type were found.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium

**Full description**  
(Required) Bit-fields shall not have enum type.

**Coding standards**  
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
enum digs { ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR };
struct bad {
    digs d:3;
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
struct good {
    unsigned int x:3;
};
```
MISRAC++2008-9-6-4

Synopsis
Signed single-bit bitfields (excluding anonymous fields) were found.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Required) Named bit-fields with signed integer type shall have a length of more than one bit. This check is identical to STRUCT-signed-bit, MISRAC2004-6.5, MISRAC2012-Rule-6.2.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c++
struct S
{
    signed int a : 1; // Non-compliant
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c++
struct S
{
    signed int b : 2;
    signed int : 0;
    signed int : 1;
    signed int : 2;
};
```

MISRAC++2008-12-1-l_a (C++ only)

Synopsis
A virtual member function is called in a class constructor.

Enabled by default
Yes
C-STAT checks

Severity/Certainty  Medium/High

Full description  (Required) An object's dynamic type shall not be used from the body of its constructor or destructor. This check is identical to CPU-ctor-call-virt.

Coding standards  CERT OOP30-CPP

Do not invoke virtual functions from constructors or destructors

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
#include <iostream>

class A {
public:
    A() { f(); } //virtual member function is called
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
};

class B: public A {
public:
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
};

int main(void) {
    B *b = new B();
    delete b;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include <iostream>

class A {
public:
    A() {}  //OK - constructor does not call any virtual
    //member functions
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
};

class B: public A {
public:
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
};

int main(void) {
    B *b = new B();
    delete b;
    return 0;
}

MISRAC++2008-12-1-1_b (C++ only)

Synopsis
A virtual member function is called in a class destructor.

Enabled by default
Yes

Severity/Certainty
Medium/High

Full description
(Required) An object’s dynamic type shall not be used from the body of its constructor
or destructor. This check is identical to CPU-dtor-call-virt.

Coding standards
CERT OOP30-CPP
Do not invoke virtual functions from constructors or destructors

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
#include <iostream>

class A {
public:
    ~A() { f(); } //virtual member function is called
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
};

class B: public A {
public:
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
};

int main(void) {
    B *b = new B();
    delete b;
    return 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```cpp
#include <iostream>

class A {
public:
    ~A() { } //OK - constructor does not call any virtual
             //member functions
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "A::f\n"; }
};

class B: public A {
public:
    virtual void f() const { std::cout << "B::f\n"; }
};

int main(void) {
    B *b = new B();
    delete b;
    return 0;
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-12-1-3 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**

Constructors that can be called with a single argument of fundamental type are not declared `explicit`. 
Descriptions of checks

Enabled by default

Yes

Severity/Certainty

Low/Medium

Full description

(Required) All constructors that are callable with a single argument of fundamental type shall be declared explicit. This check is identical to CPU-ctor-implicit.

Coding standards

CERT OOP32-CPP

Ensure that single-argument constructors are marked "explicit"

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

class C{
    C(double x) {} //should be explicit
};

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

class C{
    explicit C(double x) {} //OK
};

MISRAC++2008-15-0-2

Synopsis

Throw of exceptions by pointer.

Enabled by default

No

Severity/Certainty

Medium/Medium

Full description

(Advisory) An exception object should not have pointer type. This check is identical to THROW-ptr.

Coding standards

CERT ERR09-CPP

Throw anonymous temporaries and catch by reference
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

class Except {};

Except *new_except();

void example(void)
{
  throw new Except();
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

class Except {};

void example(void)
{
  throw Except();
}

**MISRAC++2008-15-1-2**

**Synopsis**

Throw of NULL integer constant.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Medium/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) NULL shall not be thrown explicitly. This check is identical to THROW-null.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
  try {
    throw ( NULL );  // Non-compliant
  }
  catch ( int i ) {  // NULL exception handled here
    // ...
  }
  catch ( const char * ) { // Developer may expect it to be caught here
    // ...
  }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void)
{
  char * p = NULL;
  try {
    throw ( p );  // Compliant
  }
  catch ( int i ) {
    // ...
  }
  catch ( const char * ) { // Exception handled here
    // ...
  }
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-15-1-3 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**  
Unsafe rethrow of exception.

**Enabled by default**  
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**  
Medium/Medium
Full description (Required) An empty throw (throw;) shall only be used in the compound-statement of a catch handler. This check is identical to THROW-empty.

Coding standards This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
void func()
{
    try
    {
        throw;
    }
    catch (...) {}
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void func()
{
    try
    {
        throw (42);
    }
    catch (int i)
    {
        if (i > 10)
        {
            throw;
        }
    }
}
```

MISRAC++2008-15-3-1 (C++ only)

Synopsis There are exceptions thrown without a handler in some call paths that lead to that point.

Enabled by default Yes

Severity/Certainty Medium/Medium
(Required) Exceptions shall be raised only after start-up and before termination of the program. This check is identical to THROW-static.

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class C {
public:
    C() { throw (0); } // Non-compliant – thrown before main starts
    ~C() { throw (0); } // Non-compliant – thrown after main exits
};

// An exception thrown in C’s constructor or destructor will
// cause the program to terminate, and will not be caught by
// the handler in main
C c;

int main( ... )
{
    try {
        // program code
        return 0;
    }
    // The following catch-all exception handler can only
    // catch exceptions thrown in the above program code
    catch ( ... ) {
        // Handle exception
        return 0;
    }
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
class C {
public:
    C() {} // Compliant – doesn’t throw exceptions
    ~C() {} // Compliant – doesn’t throw exceptions
};
C c;

int main( ... )
{
    try {
        // program code
        return 0;
    }
    // The following catch-all exception handler can only
    // catch exceptions thrown in the above program code
    catch ( ... ) {
        // Handle exception
        return 0;
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-15-3-2 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
There are no default exception handlers for try.

**Enabled by default**
No

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low

**Full description**
(Advisory) There should be at least one exception handler to catch all otherwise unhandled exceptions. This check is identical to THROW-main.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
int main()
{
 try
 {
   throw (42);
 }
 catch (int i)
 {
   if (i > 10)
   {
     throw;
   }
   return 1;
 }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
int main()
{
 try
 {
   throw;
 }
 catch (...) {}
 // spacer
 try {} 
 catch (int i) {}
 catch (...) {}
 return 0;
}

**MISRAC++2008-15-3-3 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
One or more exception handlers in a constructor or destructor accesses a non-static member variable that might not exist.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Low
Full description

(Required) Handlers of a function-try-block implementation of a class constructor or destructor shall not reference non-static members from this class or its bases. This check is identical to CATCH-xtor-bad-member.

Coding standards

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
int throws();

class C
{
public:
  int x;
  static char c;
  C ( )
  {
    x = 0;
  }

  ~C ( )
  {
    try
    {
      throws();
      // Action that may raise an exception
    }
    catch ( ... )
    {
      if ( 0 == x ) // Non-compliant - x may not exist at this point
      {
        // Action dependent on value of x
      }
    }
  }
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
class C
{
 public:
  int x;
  static char c;
 C ( )
{
   try
   {
      // Action that may raise an exception
   }
   catch ( ... )
   {
      if ( 0 == c )
      {
          // Action dependent on value of c
      }
   }
}
~C ( )
{
   try
   {
      // Action that may raise an exception
   }
   catch (int i) {}
   catch ( ... )
   {
      if ( 0 == c )
      {
          // Action dependent on value of c
      }
   }
}
};

**MISRAC++2008-15-3-4 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis** There are calls to functions that are explicitly declared to throw an exception type that are not handled (or declared as thrown) by the caller.

**Enabled by default** Yes
Severity/Certainty: Medium/Medium

Full description: (Required) Each exception explicitly thrown in the code shall have a handler of a compatible type in all call paths that could lead to that point. This check is identical to THROW-unhandled.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples: The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```cpp
class E1{};
 ifndef __cpp_noexcept_function_type
 void foo(int i) throw (E1) { 
 elif
 void foo(int i) { 
 endif
 if (i<0)
 throw E1();
 }

 int bar() {
 foo(-3);
 }
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
class E1{};

#ifndef __cpp_noexcept_function_type
void foo(int i) throw (E1) {
#else
void foo(int i) {
#endif
    if (i<0)
        throw E1();
}

int bar() {
    try {
        foo(-3);
    } catch (E1){
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-15-3-5 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**   Exception objects are caught by value, not by reference.

**Enabled by default**    Yes

**Severity/Certainty**    Medium/Medium

**Full description**    (Required) A class type exception shall always be caught by reference. This check is identical to CATCH-object-slicing.

**Coding standards**    CERT ERR09-CPP

   Throw anonymous temporaries and catch by reference

**Code examples**    The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
typedef char char_t;

// base class for exceptions
class ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who() { return "base"; }
};

class ExpD1: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who() { return "type 1 exception"; }
};

class ExpD2: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who() { return "type 2 exception"; }
};

void example() {
    try {
        // ...
        throw ExpD1();
        // ...
        throw ExpBase();
    }
    catch (ExpBase b) { // Non-compliant - derived type objects will be
        b.who();          // Will always be 'base'
        throw b;          // The exception re-thrown is of the base class,
        // not the original exception type
    }
}

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
typedef char char_t;

// base class for exceptions
class ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "base"; }
};

class ExpD1: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "type 1 exception"; }
};

class ExpD2: public ExpBase {
public:
    virtual const char_t *who ( ) { return "type 2 exception"; }
};

void example()
{
    try {
        // ...
        throw ExpD1 ( );
        // ...
        throw ExpBase ( );
    }
    catch ( ExpBase &b ) { // Compliant – exceptions caught by reference
        // ...
        b.who(); // "base", "type 1 exception" or "type 2 exception"
        // depending upon the type of the thrown object
    }
}

**MISRAC++2008-15-5-1 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
An exception is thrown, or might be thrown, in a class destructor.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Medium/Medium
Full description  
(Required) A class destructor shall not exit with an exception. This check is identical to COP-dtor-throw.

Coding standards  
CERT ERR33-CPP  
Destructors must not throw exceptions

Code examples  
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```cpp
class E{};
class C {
    ~C() {
        if (!p){
            throw E(); //may throw an exception here
        }
        int* p;
    }
};
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```cpp
void do_something();
class C {
    ~C() { //OK
        if (!p){
            do_something();
        }
    }
    int* p;
};
```

MISRAC++2008-16-0-3

Synopsis  
Found occurrences of #undef.

Enabled by default  
Yes

Severity/Certainty  
Low/Low
Descriptions of checks

Full description  (Required) #undef shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.6, MISRAC2012-Rule-20.5.

Coding standards  This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```
#define SYM
#undef SYM
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```
#define SYM
```

MISRAC++2008-16-0-4

Synopsis  Definitions of function-like macros were found.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  Low/Low

Full description  (Required) Function-like macros shall not be defined. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.7, MISRAC2012-Dir-4.9.

Coding standards  This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```
#define ABS(x)((x) < 0 ? -(x) : (x))

void example(void) {
  int a;
  ABS {a};
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
template <typename T>
inline T ABS(T x) { return x < 0 ? -x : x; }

**MISRAC++2008-16-2-2 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
Definitions of macros that are not include guards were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) C++ macros shall only be used for: include guards, type qualifiers, or storage class specifiers.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
#define X(Y)(Y) // Non-compliant
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
#include "header.h" /* contains #ifndef HDR #define HDR ... #endif */
void example(void) {} 
```

**MISRAC++2008-16-2-3**

**Synopsis**
Header files without #include guards were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low
Descriptions of checks

**MISRAC++2008-16-2-4**

**Synopsis**
There are illegal characters in header file names.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) The ', ", /* or // characters shall not occur in a header file name.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
#include "file.h" /* Non-compliant */
void example(void) {} 
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
#include "header.h"
void example(void) {} 
```
MISRAC++2008-16-2-5

Synopsis
There are illegal characters in header file names.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Advisory) The backslash character should not occur in a header file name.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#include "fi\le.h"/* Non-compliant */

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#include "header.h"
void example(void) {}
Descriptions of checks

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#define C(x, y)# x ## y/* Non-compliant */
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#define A(x)#x/* Compliant */

**MISRAC++2008-16-3-2**

Synopsis
# and ## operators were found in macro definitions.

Enabled by default
No

Severity/Certainty
Low/Low

Full description
(Advisory) The # and ## operators should not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-19.13, MISRAC2012-Rule-20.10.

Coding standards
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
#define A(Y)#Y/* Non-compliant */
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
#define A(x)(x)/* Compliant */

**MISRAC++2008-17-0-1**

Synopsis
Detected a #define or #undef of a reserved identifier in the standard library.

Enabled by default
Yes
Severity/Certainty: Low/Low

Full description: (Required) Reserved identifiers, macros and functions in the standard library shall not be defined, redefined or undefined. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.1, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.1.

Coding standards: This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples:
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#define __TIME__ 11111111 /* Non-compliant */
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
#define A(x) (x) /* Compliant */
```

**MISRAC++2008-17-0-3**

Synopsis: One or more library functions are being overridden.

Enabled by default: Yes

Severity/Certainty: Low/Medium

Full description: (Required) The names of standard library functions shall not be overridden. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.2, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.2.

Coding standards:

MISRA C:2004 20.2

(Required) The names of Standard Library macros, objects, and functions shall not be reused.

MISRA C:2012 Rule-21.2

(Required) A reserved identifier or macro name shall not be declared
Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
extern "C" void strcpy(void);
void strcpy(void) {}  
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
extern "C" void bar(void);
void foo(void) {}  
```

**MISRAC++2008-17-0-5**

**Synopsis**

Found uses of setjmp.h.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) The setjmp macro and the longjmp function shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.7, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.4.

**Coding standards**

CERT ERR34-CPP

Do not use longjmp

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <setjmp.h>
jmp_buf ex;
void example(void) {
  setjmp(ex);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```
**MISRAC++2008-18-0-1 (C++ only)**

**Synopsis**
C library includes were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Low

**Full description**
(Required) The C library shall not be used.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```
#include <stdio.h>
void example(void) {} 
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```
#include <cstdio>
void example(void) {} 
```

---

**MISRAC++2008-18-0-2**

**Synopsis**
Uses of atof, atoi, atol and atoll were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The library functions atof, atoi and atol from library cstdlib shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.10, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.7.

**Coding standards**
CERT INT06-C
Use `strtol()` or a related function to convert a string token to an integer

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(char buf[]) {
    return atoi(buf);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-18-0-3**

**Synopsis**

Uses of `abort`, `exit`, `getenv`, and `system` were found.

**Enabled by default**

Yes

**Severity/Certainty**

Low/Medium

**Full description**

(Required) The library functions `abort`, `exit`, `getenv` and `system` from library `cstdlib` shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.11, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.8.

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void example(void) {
    abort();
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
void example(void) {
}

MISRAC++2008-18-0-4

Synopsis  Uses of time.h functions: asctime, clock, ctime, difftime, gmtime, localtime, mktime, strftime, and time were found.

Enabled by default  Yes

Severity/Certainty  Low/Medium

Full description  (Required) The time handling functions of library ctime shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.12, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.10.

Coding standards  This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

Code examples  The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>
#include <time.h>

time_t example(void) {
    return time(NULL);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

MISRAC++2008-18-0-5

Synopsis  Uses of strcpy, strcmp, strcat, strchr, strspn, strcspn, strpbrk, strstr, strtok, or strlen were found.

Enabled by default  Yes
Descriptions of checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity/Certainty</th>
<th>Low/Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The unbounded functions of library &lt;cstring&gt; shall not be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Code examples

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <string.h>

void example(void) {
    char buf[100];
    strcpy(buf, "Hello, world!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-18-2-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synopsis</th>
<th>Uses of the built-in function offsetof were found.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabled by default</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity/Certainty</td>
<td>Low/Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full description</td>
<td>(Required) The macro offsetof shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding standards</td>
<td>This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stddef.h>

struct stat {
    int st_size;
};

int example(void) {
    return offsetof(struct stat, st_size);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-18-4-1**

**Synopsis**
 Uses of malloc, calloc, realloc, or free were found.

**Enabled by default**
 Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
 Low/Medium

**Full description**
 (Required) Dynamic heap memory allocation shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.4, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.3.

**Coding standards**
 This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>

void *example(void) {
    return malloc(100);
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
void example(void) {
}

**MISRAC++2008-18-7-1**

**Synopsis**
Uses of signal.h were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The signal handling facilities of csignal shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.8, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.5.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:
```c
#include <signal.h>
#include <stddef.h>
void example(void) {
    signal(SIGFPE, NULL);
}
```
The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:
```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-19-3-1**

**Synopsis**
Uses of errno were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes
**AFE1_AFE2-1:1**

**C-STAT checks**

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The error indicator errno shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.5.

**Coding standards**
This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**
The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <errno.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int example(char buf[]) {
    int i;
    errno = 0;
    i = atoi(buf);
    return (errno == 0) ? i : 0;
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```

**MISRAC++2008-27-0-1**

**Synopsis**
Uses of stdio.h were found.

**Enabled by default**
Yes

**Severity/Certainty**
Low/Medium

**Full description**
(Required) The stream input/output library cstdio shall not be used. This check is identical to MISRAC2004-20.9, MISRAC2012-Rule-21.6.
Descriptions of checks

**Coding standards**

This check does not correspond to any coding standard rules.

**Code examples**

The following code example fails the check and will give a warning:

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void example(void) {
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
}
```

The following code example passes the check and will not give a warning about this issue:

```c
void example(void) {
}
```
Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks

The following pages contain information about:

- Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT)

**Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT)**

The Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) Secure Coding Standard is a collection of guidelines—either rules or recommendations—designed to eliminate vulnerabilities in C and C++ code. Some of these guidelines are part of the C-STAT package of checks.

This table lists all CERT guidelines that are not part of the C-STAT package, but that can be mapped to one or more C-STAT checks. This helps you to identify which checks to enable or disable to verify a certain CERT guideline that is not part of C-STAT. Note that code with one of the listed guidelines will not necessarily fail each associated check, but it might fail some.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT ID</th>
<th>CERT guideline</th>
<th>Associated C-STAT checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARR01-C</td>
<td>Do not apply the <code>sizeof</code> operator to a pointer when taking the size of an array.</td>
<td>MEM-malloc-sizeof-ptr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARR32-CPP</td>
<td>Do not use iterators invalidated by container modification.</td>
<td>ITR-invalidated (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ARR33-C | Guarantee that copies are made into storage of sufficient size. | ARR-inv-index
ARR-inv-index-pos
ARR-inv-index-ptr
ARR-inv-index-ptr-pos
MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_c
MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_d
MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_e
MISRAC++2008-5-0-16_f
MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_a
MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_b
MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_c
MISRAC2012-Rule-18.1_d |

Table 7: Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT ID</th>
<th>CERT guideline</th>
<th>Associated C-STAT checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTR35-CPP</td>
<td>Do not allow loops to iterate beyond the end of an array or container.</td>
<td>ITR-end-cmp-aft (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCL01-C</td>
<td>Do not reuse variable names in sub-scopes.</td>
<td>RED-local-hides-global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-local-hides-local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-local-hides-member (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-local-hides-param</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCL01-CPP</td>
<td>Do not reuse variable names in sub-scopes.</td>
<td>RED-local-hides-global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-local-hides-local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-local-hides-member (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-local-hides-param</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCL16-C</td>
<td>Use L or l to indicate a long value.</td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-13-4_b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCL16-CPP</td>
<td>Use L, not l, to indicate a long value.</td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-2-13-4_b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCL20-C</td>
<td>Always specify void if a function accepts no arguments.</td>
<td>FUNC-unprototyped-all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FUNC-unprototyped-used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2004-16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-8.2_a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR09-CPP</td>
<td>Throw anonymous temporaries (and catch by reference).</td>
<td>CATCH-object-slicing (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THROW-ptr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-3-5 (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR33-CPP</td>
<td>Destuctors must not throw exceptions.</td>
<td>COP-dtor-throw (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-15-5-1 (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR34-CPP</td>
<td>Do not use longjmp() or setjmp().</td>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-17-0-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR38-CPP</td>
<td>Deallocation functions must not throw exceptions.</td>
<td>CPU-delete-throw (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP01-C</td>
<td>Do not take the size of a pointer to determine the size of the pointed-to type.</td>
<td>MEM-malloc-sizeof-ptr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP05-CPP</td>
<td>Do not use C-style casts.</td>
<td>CAST-old-style (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-2-4 (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT ID</th>
<th>CERT guideline</th>
<th>Associated C-STAT checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXP06-C</td>
<td>Operands to the <code>sizeof</code> operator should not contain side effects.</td>
<td>SIZEOF-side-effect&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2004-12.3&lt;br&gt;MISRAC++2008-5-3-4&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP06-CPP</td>
<td>Operands to the <code>sizeof</code> operator should not contain side effects.</td>
<td>SIZEOF-side-effect&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2004-12.3&lt;br&gt;MISRAC++2008-5-3-4&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP10-C</td>
<td>Do not depend on the order of evaluation of subexpressions or the order in which size effects take place.</td>
<td>SPC-order&lt;br&gt;SPC-volatile-reads&lt;br&gt;SPC-volatile-writes&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2004-12.2_a&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2004-12.2_b&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2004-12.2_c&lt;br&gt;MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_a&lt;br&gt;MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_b&lt;br&gt;MISRAC++2008-5-0-1_c&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_i&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_a&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_b&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-13.2_c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP12-C</td>
<td>Do not ignore values returned by functions.</td>
<td>LIB-return-const</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP15-C</td>
<td>Do not place a semicolon on the same line as an <code>if, for, or while</code> statement.</td>
<td>EXP-null-stmt&lt;br&gt;EXP-stray-semicon&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2004-I4.3&lt;br&gt;MISRAC++2008-6-2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP16-C</td>
<td>Do not compare function pointers to constant values.</td>
<td>FPT-misuse&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-1.3_m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP17-C</td>
<td>Do not perform bitwise operations in conditional expressions.</td>
<td>RED-cond-always&lt;br&gt;RED-cond-never&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2008-0-1-2.a&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2008-0-1-2.b&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3.a&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-14.3.b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP18-C</td>
<td>Do not perform assignments in selection statements.</td>
<td>EXP-cond-assign&lt;br&gt;MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4.a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT ID</th>
<th>CERT guideline</th>
<th>Associated C-STAT checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXP19-CPP</td>
<td>Do not perform assignments in conditional expressions.</td>
<td>EXP-cond-assign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-13.4_a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP00-C</td>
<td>Understand the limitations of floating-point numbers.</td>
<td>ATH-cmp-float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP06-C</td>
<td>Understand that floating-point arithmetic in C is inexact.</td>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-2-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP35-CPP</td>
<td>Take granularity into account when comparing floating-point values.</td>
<td>ATH-cmp-float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2004-13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-6-2-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT04-C</td>
<td>Enforce limits on integer values originating from untrusted sources.</td>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-alloc-size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-copy-length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SEC-BUFFER-tainted-index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT06-C</td>
<td>Use <code>strtol()</code> or a related function to convert a string token to an integer.</td>
<td>MISRAC2004-20.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-18-0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT07-C</td>
<td>Use only explicitly signed or unsigned char type for numeric values.</td>
<td>MISRAC2004-6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-4-5-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT13-C</td>
<td>Use bitwise operators only on unsigned operands.</td>
<td>MISRAC2004-12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-5-0-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM42-CPP</td>
<td>Ensure that copy assignment operators do not damage an object that is copied to itself.</td>
<td>COP-assign-op-self (C++ only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC07-C</td>
<td>Detect and remove dead code.</td>
<td>RED-case-reach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-2_c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.1_b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC12-C</td>
<td>Detect and remove code that has no effect.</td>
<td>RED-no-effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2004-14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC13-C</td>
<td>Detect and remove unused values.</td>
<td>RED-unused-assign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED-unused-var-all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC++2008-0-1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MISRAC2012-Rule-2.2_b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks
### Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERT ID</th>
<th>CERT guideline</th>
<th>Associated C-STAT checks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| MSC17-C   | Finish every set of statements associated with a case label, with a break statement. | SWITCH-fall-through  
MISRAC2004-15.2  
MISRAC++2008-6-4-5  
MISRAC2012-Rule-16.3 |
| MSC21-C   | Use robust loop termination conditions.                                         | MISRAC++2008-6-5-2                                                                         |
| MSC215-CPP| Use inequality to terminate a loop whose counter changes by more than one.     | MISRAC++2008-6-5-2                                                                         |
| OOP30-CPP | Do not invoke virtual functions from constructors or destructors.              | CPU-ctor-call-virt (C++ only)  
CPU-dtor-call-virt (C++ only)  
MISRAC++2008-12-1.1_a (C++ only)  
MISRAC++2008-12-1.1_b (C++ only) |
| OOP32-CPP | Ensure that single-argument constructors are marked explicit.                  | CPU-ctor-implicit (C++ only)  
MISRAC++2008-12-1.3 (C++ only) |
| OOP34-CPP | Ensure the proper destructor is called for polymorphic objects.                | CPU-nonvirt-dtor (C++ only) |
| OOP35-CPP | Do not return references to private data.                                       | CPU-return-ref-to-class-data (C++ only)  
MISRAC++2008-9-3-2 (C++ only) |
| OOP37-CPP | Constructor initializers should be ordered correctly.                          | COP-init-order (C++ only) |

*Table 7: Mapping of CERT rules to C-STAT checks*